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## Glossary for Mark Schemes

GCSE examinations are marked in such a way as to award positive achievement wherever possible. Thus, for GCSE Statistics papers, marks are awarded under various categories.

If a student uses a method which is not explicitly covered by the mark scheme the same principles of marking should be applied. Credit should be given to any valid methods. Examiners should seek advice from their senior examiner if in any doubt.

M Method marks are awarded for a correct method which could lead to a correct answer.

A Accuracy marks are awarded when following on from a correct method. It is not necessary to always see the method. This can be implied.

B Marks awarded independent of method.
ft Follow through marks. Marks awarded for correct working following a mistake in an earlier step.

SC Special case. Marks awarded for a common misinterpretation which has some mathematical worth.

M dep A method mark dependent on a previous method mark being awarded.

B dep A mark that can only be awarded if a previous independent mark has been awarded.
oe Or equivalent. Accept answers that are equivalent. eg accept 0.5 as well as $\frac{1}{2}$
[a, b] Accept values between a and b inclusive.
[a, b) $\quad$ Accept values $\mathrm{a} \leq$ value $<\mathrm{b}$
3.14... Accept answers which begin 3.14 eg 3.14, 3.142, 3.1416

Use of brackets It is not necessary to see the bracketed work to award the marks.

Examiners should consistently apply the following principles

## Diagrams

Diagrams that have working on them should be treated like normal responses. If a diagram has been written on but the correct response is within the answer space, the work within the answer space should be marked. Working on diagrams that contradicts work within the answer space is not to be considered as choice but as working, and is not, therefore, penalised.

## Responses which appear to come from incorrect methods

Whenever there is doubt as to whether a student has used an incorrect method to obtain an answer, as a general principle, the benefit of doubt must be given to the student. In cases where there is no doubt that the answer has come from incorrect working then the student should be penalised.

## Questions which ask students to show working

Instructions on marking will be given but usually marks are not awarded to students who show no working.

## Questions which do not ask students to show working

As a general principle, a correct response is awarded full marks.

## Misread or miscopy

Students often copy values from a question incorrectly. If the examiner thinks that the student has made a genuine misread, then only the accuracy marks (A or B marks), up to a maximum of 2 marks are penalised. The method marks can still be awarded.

## Further work

Once the correct answer has been seen, further working may be ignored unless it goes on to contradict the correct answer.

## Choice

When a choice of answers and/or methods is given, mark each attempt. If both methods are valid then M marks can be awarded but any incorrect answer or method would result in marks being lost.

## Work not replaced

Erased or crossed out work that is still legible should be marked.

## Work replaced

Erased or crossed out work that has been replaced is not awarded marks.

## Premature approximation

Rounding off too early can lead to inaccuracy in the final answer. This should be penalised by 1 mark unless instructed otherwise.

## Continental notation

Accept a comma used instead of a decimal point (for example, in measurements or currency), provided that it is clear to the examiner that the student intended it to be a decimal point.

| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\mathbf{1}$ | Laboratory experiment | B 1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2}$ 0 B 1  |  |  |  |


| 3(a) | Which farm the sprouts were from | B1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |


| 3(b) | How well the sample was cooked | B1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |


| 4(a) | 60 | B1 |  |  | accept sixty |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore any mention of teachers |  |  |  |  |


| 4(b) | $\left(\frac{36}{60}=\right) \frac{3}{5} \quad \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{ft}$ | ft denominator from (a) <br> B1 $\frac{36}{60}$ or $\frac{18}{30}$ or $\frac{12}{20}$ or $\frac{9}{15}$ or $\frac{6}{10}$ <br> (these denominators may be different if they had a different total in (a)) <br> or $60 \%$ or 0.6 <br> or <br> B1ft any correctly simplified fraction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | Do not accept incorrect 'simplification' or change of form after $\frac{3}{5}$ |  |  |
|  | Allow follow through if (a) indicates they've chosen the wrong school for up to B2ft; assume start again if correct answer seen, even if (a) was incorrect eg $\frac{2}{14}=\frac{1}{7}$ with 14 in (a) or $\frac{20}{26}=\frac{10}{13}$ with 26 in (a) eg $\frac{2}{14}=\frac{1}{12}$ with 14 in (a) |  | B2ft B1ft |
|  | $\operatorname{eg} \frac{20}{40}=\frac{1}{2}$ <br> (this is any correctly simplified fraction regardless of answer given in (a)) |  | B1ft |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 4(c) | ```14 or 2+12 and 60 or 36 + 24 (ft their 60 from (a)) and 26 or 20+6 or correct partial comparison``` | M1 | allow one error in totals for Lindsey and Bushfield <br> eg Ridge High has the most teachers |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ridge High has the most teachers, followed by Lindsey College with Bushfield Primary School having the least | A1ft | oe ft only their 60 |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Correct position of two schools is the minimally acceptable answer |  |  |  |
|  | Numbers need not be seen if correct comparison given |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore reference to male/female numbers |  |  |  |
|  | eg Ridge High has more than the other two together eg Ridge High has the most and Bushfield is next <br> (This is not a correct partial comparison as Bushfield is in the wrong position) |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M1AO } \\ & \text { MOAO } \end{aligned}$ |



| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5(a) | OPPO | B1 |  |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Allow incorrect spelling if the intention is clear |  |  |  |
| 5(b) | $100-(21.7+10.4+7.6+6.3+39.3)$ <br> or $100-85.3$ <br> or $\frac{215.8}{318.3+\cdots+577.7} \times 100 \text { or } \frac{215.8}{1469.1} \times 100$ <br> or $\frac{\text { market share }}{\text { number of sales }} \times 215.8$ | M1 | oe oe eg $\frac{21.7}{318.3} \times 215.8$ |  |
|  | 14.7 | A1 |  |  |


| Alternative method 1:\% for top three |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Adds up the top three market shares <br> $(21.7+$ their $14.7+10.4)$ | M1 | Can be implied by their 46.8 |
| their 46.8 and appropriate conclusion | A1ft | ft only their (b) |

## Alternative method 2 : \% for bottom three

| Adds up the $4^{\text {th }}, 5^{\text {th }}$ and 'others' | M1 | Can be implied by 53.4 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 53.4 and conclusion Wang is wrong | A1 |  |

5(c) Alternate method 3 : actual sales for top 3 versus total

| Adds up the top three company sales <br> $(318.3+215.8+153.1)$ | M1 | Can be implied by 687.2 |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{687.2}{1469.1}=0.47$ or better and conclusion |  |  |
| Wang is wrong | A1 |  |
| or |  |  |
| $1469.1 \div 2=734.55$ and conclusion |  |  |
| Wang is wrong |  |  |

Alternative methods 4 and $5 \&$ Additional Guidance continue on next page

| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |




| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 6(b) | $1-\frac{1}{12}$ <br> $\frac{11}{12}$ or 0.916 (or better) or 0.917 <br> or 0.92 or $91.6 \%$ (or better) or $91.7 \%$ | M1 | oe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A1ft | oe ft their denominator from (a) |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Do not accept ratios for probability |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore attempts to simplify or change form following a correct fraction |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore use of probability words unless contradictory eg $\frac{11}{12}$ and unlikely |  |  | M1A0 |
|  | eg $\frac{7}{10}$ in (a) then $1-\frac{1}{10}=\frac{9}{10}$ in (b) |  |  | M1A1ft |


| 7(a) | More friends are week goes by | ed on as the | B1 | oe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |  |
|  | Minimally acceptable answer: increasing / it increases / rising (it being the trend) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Do not accept 'positive' |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore reference to correlation |  |  |  |  |
|  | More play towards the end of the week / Thursday \& Friday |  |  |  | B1 |
|  | Positive increase (not describing the trend) |  |  |  | B0 |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 7(b) | $\frac{3}{36} \times 360$ <br> or <br> states or shows that one person is represented by 10 degrees | M1 | (numerato <br> oe method Implied by |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 30 or 50 or 60 or 100 or 120 | A1 | one corr may be |
|  | All angles drawn correctly: <br> 30 and 50 and 60 and 100 and 120 | A1 | $2^{\circ}$ toleran |
|  | Sectors labelled appropriately | B1ft | labelling m which mus smallest |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |
|  | Accept abbreviations or initials for labels (but need Tu and Th) |  |  |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7(c) | Any attempt to create a dual bar chart, composite bar chart or percentage bar chart | B1 | Accept back-to-back bar chart |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | One correct pair (dual) or correct combined bar (composite/percentage) or one day correctly plotted for both (time series) | M1 | all to include correct vertical scale without scale break |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All bars ruled and drawn accurately or <br> Both sets of data correctly plotted and joined on time series (with solid or dotted line) | M1 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TT } \\ \hline \mathrm{E} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \begin{tabular}{\|l|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |  | W <br> 6 <br> 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Th } \\ & \hline 10 \\ & \hline 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline F \\ & \hline 12 \\ & \hline 8 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Completely correct and fully labelled | A1 | Axes vertic frequ horiz full, must gaps key for chart | uled axis cy <br> tal brev <br> ave etwe <br> dua or la | h mi num <br> - th or ual (n joined <br> mpo s for | of <br> 5 itial <br> n ze pa <br> ite/p me | abels end <br> na <br> leng of bar ent ies | r <br> es in <br> e bar es |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | For time series max is M1M1A1 and for the A1, points should be joined with dotted line and not extend beyond Monday and Friday |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tolerance on plotting of half a small square |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Titles do not need to be given for the graphs (ignore any given) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 1. True, 58 in Easter, 36 in term-time | B1 | must make decision and quote correct <br> values <br> Accept True, 22 more at Easter |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 2. False, they were equal on Thursday <br> or <br> False, Friday had more in term-time | B1 | oe |
| 3. True, but so did Friday <br> or <br> False, it was also Friday <br> or <br> Thursday and Friday are both <br> the same / 20 | B1 | oe values not needed here but must <br> reference Friday as equal |
|  | Accept general description for statement one that on Mon-Wed Easter <br> numbers were a lot higher, the same on Thurs and only 2 less on Fri | B1 |


| $8\left(\right.$8) 50.4    <br>  Additional Guidance    <br>  Do not accept 50.40    |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 8(b) | Yes, none are below 50.01g | B1 | oe <br> eg Yes, line start |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Yes, all are over 50 | (can mention points or balls of wool here) |  | B1 |
|  | Yes, none are below 50 |  |  | B1 |
|  | Yes, most are over 50 |  |  | B0 |
|  | Yes, all are in the 50 g range |  |  | B0 |
|  | Yes, starts are 50 and none had that weight (should mention scale starts at 50) |  |  | B0 |
|  | Yes, all 50 and above |  |  | B0 |
|  | Yes, none weigh 50 |  |  | B0 |
|  | Yes, to 1sf, they are over 50 |  |  | B0 |


| 8(c)(i) | No, the lowest is 49.98 g | B1 | oe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | No, lowest value is under 50 |  |  | B1 |
|  | No, some are under 50 |  |  | B1 |
|  | No, one is under 50 |  |  | B0 |
|  | No, the lowest is 49.9 |  |  | B0 |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 9(a) <br> Any suitable hypothesis with any <br> reference to variables of age and time <br> spent on the internetB1 <br> Additional guidance <br> Must not be in the form of a question <br> internet than adults |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | B1 |  |
|  | Ages $10-20$ use the internet the most | B1 |
|  | Ages $10-20$ use the internet more | B0 |
|  | Younger people spend time on the internet | B0 |
|  | Different age groups spend different amounts of time on the internet |  |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Two valid comments from <br> Any reference to improving the age groups <br> Any reference to introducing a time frame for when/how often they go on the internet <br> Any reference to forming intervals / groups for how long on internet <br> B1 for one valid comment eg get rid of the overlap at 10 years old eg have more age groups eg put 'per day' on heading for time on internet <br> eg put tick boxes for 0-59mins, $1 \mathrm{~h}-$ 1 h59min, $2 \mathrm{~h}-2 \mathrm{~h} 59 \mathrm{mins}$ etc (with no overlap/gaps) |  |  |  |  |
| 9(b) | Additional guidance |  |  |  |
|  | One valid and one invalid comment will score B1 for the valid comment |  |  |  |
|  | Age Group Comments |  |  |  |
|  | Change the overlap |  |  | B1 |
|  | Have age not age group (data would be would need processing) | perfectly | accurate although | B1 |
|  | Have more age groups |  |  | B1 |
|  | Have more ages |  |  | B0 |
|  | 10 in two groups (this is a criticism, not an | improv | ment) | B0 |
|  | $0<$ Age $\leqslant 10$ |  |  | B0 |
|  | Improve the age limit, put in 40-60 |  |  | B0 |
|  | Have more accurate age groups |  |  | B0 |
|  | 'How long' Comments |  |  |  |
|  | Include a time scale / frame |  |  | B1 |
|  | Put per week / month in the heading |  |  | B1 |
|  | Use 0-2h, 3-4h, 5-6h etc (Condone as not gaps - rounded nearest hour) |  |  | B1 |
|  | Have a group of times to pick from |  |  | B1 |
|  | Use groups eg 1-5h, 5-10h etc (do not accept - overlaps) |  |  | B0 |
|  | Specify the units of time |  |  | B0 |
|  | They may not record how long they spend |  |  | B0 |
|  | Must state what they mean by how long |  |  | B0 |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 9(c)(i) | Easier to work with exact data | B1 | oe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional guidance |  |  |  |
|  | (Data) more precise / detailed |  |  | B1 |
|  | Can be used to choose (appropriate) class intervals / groups later |  |  | B1 |
|  | More accurate / specific |  |  | B1 |
|  | Easier to compare data for the same age |  |  | B1 |
|  | Gives an exact mean, not an estimated mean |  |  | B1 |
|  | You don't get an estimate |  |  | B0 |
|  | You have the right age, not rounded ages |  |  | B0 |
|  | Using it you will get better / reliable / representative / clearer results |  |  | B0 |
|  | More information |  |  | B0 |


| 9(c)(ii) | People may not want to give their exact age / may refuse or lie about age <br> or <br> It will take longer to process / interpret the data | B1 | oe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional guidance |  |  |  |
|  | It's too personal / sensitive |  |  | B1 |
|  | It's less accurate |  |  | B0 |
|  | Harder to record the data |  |  | B0 |
|  | More time consuming |  |  | B0 |


| Question |  | Answer | Mark |  | Comments |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9(d)(i) |  | people <br> of ages | B1 | oe |  |  |
|  | Additional guidance |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Allow the implication of a poor spread by statements such as: Most under 30 or most are 10-30 or most are 15-25 etc Didn't really ask $30-50$ year olds |  |  |  |  | B1 |
|  | Didn't collect from a range of ages |  |  |  |  | B0 |
|  | All are under 30 or all are roughly the same age |  |  |  |  | B0 |
|  | Most are 10-20 |  |  |  |  | B0 |


|  | (12, 6.9) only circled | B1 | any clear indication |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | (Holly is wrong,) it is positive (correlation) | B 1 | oe |
|  | Additional guidance |  |  |
|  | Ignore use of weak/strong to describe the correlation | B 1 |  |
|  | It's not negative | B 1 |  |


| 9(d)(iii) | The older the person, the more time <br> appears to be spent on the internet | B1 | oe <br> eg teenagers / young people spend <br> less time on the internet than adults |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |


| 9(e)(i) | 80 (people) | B1 | accept eighty |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 9(e)(ii) | 5 (hours) | B1 | accept five |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |



| $\mathbf{1 0 ( a )}$ | North West and South East and no other <br> regions mentioned | B1 | In either order <br> Accept NW and SE |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore any numbers given as part of the answer |  |  |  |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10(b) | Two correct reasons <br> eg Discusses that bars give misleading impression <br> eg The fastest speed has the shortest bar <br> eg Discusses that diagram is not to scale <br> eg The bars are not drawn to scale <br> eg The speeds are quite similar to each other but the bar lengths are quite different <br> eg There is no scale | B2 | oe <br> B1 one correct reaso |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Accept higher for faster and lower for slower |  |  |  |
|  | There are two bars for each region / row |  |  | B1 |
|  | The bars with the numbers on are the same length |  |  | B1 |
|  | The bars are drawn as arrows |  |  | B1 |
|  | The bars are the wrong way around |  |  | B0 |
|  | Some speeds are the same but the bar lengths are different (not true) |  |  | B0 |
|  | The difference in length between the first two bars is the same as between the second two bars, but there is not the same difference in speed |  |  | B1 |
|  | It is not clear how long each bar is |  |  | B1 |
|  | Length of arrows don't match the speed |  |  | B1 |
|  | Doesn't show units |  |  | B1 |
|  | There should be axes |  |  | B0 |
|  | The length of the bar does not correspond to the ranking (it shouldn't it should be proportional to the value) |  |  | B0 |
|  | The heading says 'How fast are you?' but the data is for regions / shoppers |  |  | B0 |
|  | The values go in descending order whereas it should be in ascending order |  |  | B0 |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10(c)(i) | Correct diagram, with ordered leaves and numbers vertically aligned |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | B3 | B2 three or four correct, ordered rows or <br> all numbers correctly placed in rows but not ordered |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 7 | 7 | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |
|  | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  | B1 correct numbers in at least two rows (not necessarily ordered) but does not score B2 |  |
|  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Condone lack of vertical alignment for B2 and B1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Marks can be scored for work in white space below question if grid blank or crossed out |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 10(c)(iii) | The shopping centre is busier in December | B1 | oe <br> It is the run-up to |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | People are Christmas shopping |  |  | B1 |
|  | References to weather can only be to state or imply underfoot conditions eg More difficult to walk in poor weather in December |  |  | B1 |
|  | It might be icy / snow / be slippery in December |  |  | B1 |
|  | You wear less in June so you will be faster |  |  | B0 |
|  | People have more time in December |  |  | B0 |


| $\mathbf{1 1 ( a )}$ | 2013 | B1 | accept twenty thirteen <br> or two thousand and thirteen |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 11(b) | 4 remaining values correctly plotted | B1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Their plots joined by straight lines | B1dep | Dependent on at least one correct plot <br> Do not accept any part of graph being curved |  |
|  | 'Year(s)' label on horizontal axis | B1 |  |  |
|  | 'Attendances (at all A\&E hospitals) in millions' label on vertical axis | B1 | oe eg (number of) peopl 'millions' must not be om | illions |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | First B1 : Plotting to tolerance of half a small square |  |  |  |
|  | Second B1 : Mark intention, so, (for example), forgive small areas of double lines |  |  |  |
|  | Second B1 : At least one correct plot includes if some or all of the others are omitted |  |  |  |
|  | Fourth B1 : Accept \# for 'number of' eg '\# patients - millions' is B1 |  |  |  |
|  | Fourth B1: Accept 'mil' or (1) 000000 (s) for millions but do not accept 'per million' |  |  |  |
|  | Fourth B1 : 'frequency of patients in millions' |  |  | B1 |
|  | Fourth B1 : 'frequency in millions' |  |  | B0 |
|  | Ignore graph before 2008 and after 2016 |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore any titles to the graph written |  |  |  |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |



| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 11(d) | There could be more doctors / nurses / hospitals <br> or <br> The hospital could be more efficient <br> or <br> Quicker treatment may be available <br> or <br> It will vary between hospitals / patients / emergencies / time of day / time of week (so they won't all have longer waiting times) | B1 | oe |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore irrelevant statements alongside correct ones |  |  |  |
|  | Answers which only reference their answer to a comparison between Major hospitals and All A\&E hospitals score zero |  |  |  |
|  | Hospitals may not have reached capacity |  |  | B1 |
|  | It will depend upon how serious the problem is |  |  | B1 |
|  | Some people are now not going to A\&E for minor conditions |  |  | B0 |
|  | They could build more A\&E hospitals |  |  | B0 |
|  | Dan hasn't collected any data / there are no data about waiting times |  |  | B0 |


| Question | Answer | Mark | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\mathbf{1 2 ( a )}$ | 17 in top middle section | B1 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | 22 in centre right section | B1 |  |
|  | $100-(43+17+22)$ or 18 seen | M1 |  |
|  | 18 in top right section and 0 in all <br> three remaining sections | A1 |  |


| 12(b) | $\frac{22}{40}$ or 0.55 or $55 \%$ | B2ft | oe <br> strictly follow through their Venn diagram <br> B1ft for numerator (their $22+$ their 0 ) <br> B1ft for denominator (their $18+$ their 22 <br> + their 0) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Additional Guidance |  |  |  |
|  | Ignore incorrect attempts to simplify or change form, once correct fraction seen |  |  |  |

