A. DattaCanno

# CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

**June 2003** 

#### GCE A AND AS LEVEL

# **MARK SCHEME**

**MAXIMUM MARK: 120** 

SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9705/01

DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY

Written 1

		74
Page 1	Mark Scheme	Paper
	A/AS LEVEL – JUNE 2003	7.0 1

### **Section A**

					4	
<u> </u>	P	Page 1	Mark Scheme A/AS LEVEL – JUNE 2003		24	Paper 1
<u> </u>			A/A3 LEVEL - JUIL 2003			apa
			Section A			andrig
1	(a)	-	s of appropriate data identified size, finger size	2 x 1	2	Paper 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	(b)		priate features identified sizes, width of control designed to fit hand	2 x 1	2	(4)
2	(a)		e advantage explanation	1 up to 2	3	
	(b)	Appropriate Quality of e		1 up to 2	3	(6)
3	(a)		ngers, risk to eyes, fumes ective gloves, use tongs, goggles	2 x 1 2 x 1	4	
	(b)	Fumes, tox Fume cupb	xicity, eyes board, goggles, mask	1	2	(6)
4	(a)	Rotary. Lin	near OR Reciprocating	2 x 1	2	
	(b)	Cam. Follow	wer	2 x 1	2	
	(c)		echanism shown, eg. Crank and slider, sketch showing detail of parts,	2 1 1	4	(8)
5	(a)	Any suitabl	le materials, eg. Timbers, metals, plastics	2 x 1	2	
	(b) Suitable solut Feasibility Construction Sketch or exp			2 2 2	6	(8)
6	Coll	W	Materials need to be collected often mixed in with other rubbish Sorted items can be expensive to collect			
	Sort	rting – C	Can be placed in collecting points Can be expensive to do Can be dirty if done manually	3 x 1	3	
	Re-	use – T n	Expensive equipment if automated Typically plastics quality degrades with recycled material	3 x 1	3	
			Often cheaper to use virgin material Storage of material requires large space	2 x 1	2	(8)

		2
Page 2	Mark Scheme	Paper
	A/AS LEVEL – JUNE 2003	7.0 1

	Р	age 2	Mark Scheme		my	Paper
			A/AS LEVEL – JUNE 2003		2.	0 1
						apac.
			Section B			SIME
7	(a)	Suitable timl Two suitable	ber named e reasons for selection	1 2	3	Paper 1 Adda Cannonidae Conn
	(b)		xetching techniques shown. All stages cov r. Tools and machines identified	vered 7-9		on
		•	of a good standard. Most stages identified a le order. Majority of tools and machines	and 3-6		
			hing techniques used. Only a few stages with limited knowledge of tools and	0-2	9	
	(c)	jig described holes in corr	ketching techniques shown. All details of the dand would clearly work to provide accurate place. Suitable method of being safely pillar drill shown.	ate		
		shown and i	of a good standard. Suitable details of the jit would most probably provide reasonably ples. Some sort of method shown by which fely used on the pillar drill	У		
			hing techniques used. Limited details of jig essible chance of success. Little chance of	•	8	(20)
8	(a)	Slot and hole Slot correct Holes in line	utline shown in correct place les on correct surface sizes (L x W)	1 2 1 1 2 1	8	
	(b)	All stages co	onsidered in detail and presented in correc	ect 8-12		
		Most aspect	ts considered in some detail and ordered	4-7		
		Basic outline	e described	0-3	12	(20)
9	(a)		rdwood named, e.g. Teak, Iroko easons, e.g. Oily surface requires no treat Relatively easy to shape	1 tment 2 x 1	3	
	(b)	Lightweight Easy to mad	chine			
		Requires no	surface treatment	2 x 1	2	

Page 3			Mar	k Scheme		my.	Pan		
		aye	3			EL – JUNE 2003		4	1 1
					74710 221				Pap 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	(c)	Use	curate ma	ps to hold tub		using surface	1 1		100
			rect spe etches	ed of drill			1 1	5	
		Oth	er suitat	ole method wo	ould also gai	n marks			
	(d)	Place Factorial Cer Dril Tur Use Par Rep	ce and see off one of to dian to dian tre drill I hole to no boss of parting	neter suitable lengt n end off tool to cut ponent leavin	k h groove	wing stages: e for second boss	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 1	10	(20)
					Sect	ion C			
10	(a)	(i)	-	n moulding olypropylene			1 1	2	
		(ii)	Magnes Die cas	sium alloy ting			1 1	2	
	(b)	(i)		riate reasons of explanatior	n up to		2 x 1 2 x 2	6	
		(ii)		riate reasons of explanatior	n up to		2 x 1 2 x 2	6	
	(c)			riate standard examination o		iven up to 2 marl to 2 marks	ks	4	(20)
11	(a)	(i)		inderstanding nderstanding		ark		2	
		(ii)-	(iv) As fo	or (i)				2 2 2	
	(b)	(i)		ages/disadvar discussion of	•	ified up to 3 mark o 3 marks	ks	6	

(ii) As for (i)

(20)

6

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Paper
	A/AS LEVEL – JUNE 2003	2.D 1
-		Q.

	Page	4		Mark Scheme		The.	Paper
			A	/AS LEVEL – JUNE	2003	13.	3 1
							Paper 1  AdhaCambhidge.com
12	(a)	•	operties identified of explanation	l	2 x 1 up to 2	4	drage
	(b)	Quality	of explanation		up to 2	2	COM
	(c)		sadvantages ident of explanation	tified	2 x 1 up to 2	4	
	(d)	Disadva Advanta	•		1 1	2	ı
	(e)		mic factors identil		up to 4 up to 4	8	(20)

www.PapaCambridge.com



www.PapaCambridge.com

**June 2003** 

#### GCE A AND AS LEVEL

## **MARK SCHEME**

**MAXIMUM MARK: 120** 

**SYLLABUS/COMPONENT: 9705/03 DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY** Written 2

				4
	Page 1	Mark Scheme A/AS LEVEL – JUNE 2003		Paper 3
		ANO LEVEL VOILE 2000		Page 1
		Section A		Paper 3
		Part A - Product Design		, a
1		For each method:		•
		Quality of description: - clear, logical, detailed - limited detail	4-6 0-3	
		Quality of sketches	up to 2	
		Specific material Method used to ensure accuracy	1 1	2 x 10 [20]
2	(a)	Description of process - fully detailed - some detail	3-5 0-2	
		Quality of sketches	up to 2	7 x 2 [14]
	(b)	Hardening and tempering - hard enough to turn screw - Tough enough to resist breaking		
		Compression moulding - speed - uses thermosets - little waste		
		Moulding (machine or tool) - consistent profile - quality finish		
				3 x 2 [6]

3 x 2 [6]

[Total: 20]

	Page 2	Mark Scheme	4	Paner
	i age z	DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY – JUN	IE 2003	N. S 3
3		Discussion could include:         - gender         - symbols/icons         - colours         - materials         - range/ceremonial  Overall comprehension and interpretation  Examination of issues         - broad range         - limited  Quality of explanation	2 up to 6 marks 4-6 0-3 up to 8 marks	Paper 3
		<ul><li>detailed, logical</li><li>some detail</li><li>limited</li></ul>	6-8 3-5 0-2	
		Supporting examples/evidence	up to 4 marks	
				[Total: 20]
		Part B - Practical Design		
4	(a)	Clear understanding of difference between types of structure Examples	3 2	[5]
	(b)	Explanation could include:  - monocoque  - shell structure  - frame  - consists of joined members  - quality of explanation  - use of appropriate examples	1 1 3	[5]
	(c)	Explanation could include:  - natural  - skull, egg, deflects/transmits loads  - properties of materials e.g. bone  - manufactured  - building, pylon, correct joining methods, triangulation	flexibility,	
		Quality of description - clear, logical, detailed - limited detail	5-8 0-4	
		Examples	2	[10]
				[Total: 20]

	Page 3		Mark Scheme	4	Paper
	i age e	DESIGN	AND TECHNOLOGY – JU	JNE 2003	1 dpc1
					adacar
5	(a)	Efficiency = <u>useful v</u> work	work output x100% input	2	Paper 3  Odo Company  [2]
	(b)	(i) Example Description		1 x 1 1 x 1	[4]
			erials	es	
		Comprehension and	d interpretation	2	
		Quality of explanation - detailed, logic - some detail, some - limited	cal	9-12 5-8 0-4	
					[14]
					[Total: 20]
6	(a)	Differences includ - temperature - materials use - strength of joi	d		
		Quality of descript - clear, logical, - limited detail		4-6 0-3	
		Examples		2	[8]
	(b)	Details could inclu Epoxy resin - clean, grease - correct mix ha	free surface	3	
		<u>PVA</u> - planed or san - surfaces well		3	
			coated, left until tacky reful application, no clar	mps 3	

	Dog 4	Mayle Cahama		4	Panar
	Page 4	Mark Scheme DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY	– JUNE 2003		3 Paper
					Paper 3
		For each: Description	3		OH
		Materials	1		4 x 3 [12]
					[Total: 20]
		Part C - Graphic Produ	ıcts		
7	(0)	Correct perspective		2	
′	(a)	Correct perspective Approx. twice full size		3	
		Quality of linework		2 3	
		Overall shape/proportion		6	
					[14]
	(b)	Rendering			
		- roof		2 2 2	
		- walls - door		2	
		4001		_	[6]
					[Total: 20]
8		Discussion could include: Research - internet - questionnaires - up to date info - Databases			
		Stock control - Accurate statistics - Speed of ordering - Storage reduced			
		Drawings - accuracy - speed/ease of correction - storage of data/transfer			
		Machinery - 24/7 production - guaranteed reliability - quality checks			
		For each section, up to 5 marks: Examination of issues Quality of explanation Supporting examples/evidence		1 mark up to 3 1 mark	marks
					[Total: 20]

	Page 5	)	Mark Scheme		Paper
			DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY – JUNE 2003		3. A.
9	(a)		Pictograms - images in chart form	Ì	Paper 3
			Flow charts - structured procedures		
			Quality of explanation	2 x 2	
			Examples	2 x 1	[6]
	(b)	(i)	Correct orthographic	6	
		(ii)	Fully dimensioned	6	
		(iii)	Angle of projection	2	[4.4]
					[14]
					[Total: 20]