

Level 3 Certificate/Extended Certificate **Applied Business** ABS4R

Unit 4 Managing and leading people

Mark scheme January 2018

Version: 1.0 Final



Mark schemes are prepared by the Lead Assessment Writer and considered, together with the relevant questions, by a panel of subject teachers. This mark scheme includes any amendments made at the standardisation events which all associates participate in and is the scheme which was used by them in this examination. The standardisation process ensures that the mark scheme covers the students' responses to questions and that every associate understands and applies it in the same correct way. As preparation for standardisation each associate analyses a number of students' scripts. Alternative answers not already covered by the mark scheme are discussed and legislated for. If, after the standardisation process, associates encounter unusual answers which have not been raised they are required to refer these to the Lead Assessment Writer.

It must be stressed that a mark scheme is a working document, in many cases further developed and expanded on the basis of students' reactions to a particular paper. Assumptions about future mark schemes on the basis of one year's document should be avoided; whilst the guiding principles of assessment remain constant, details will change, depending on the content of a particular examination paper.

Further copies of this mark scheme are available from aqa.org.uk

Copyright © 2018 AQA and its licensors. All rights reserved.

AQA retains the copyright on all its publications. However, registered schools/colleges for AQA are permitted to copy material from this booklet for their own internal use, with the following important exception: AQA cannot give permission to schools/colleges to photocopy any material that is acknowledged to a third party even for internal use within the centre.

Level of response marking instructions

Level of response mark schemes are broken down into levels, each of which has a descriptor. The descriptor for the level shows the average performance for the level. There are marks in each level.

Before you apply the mark scheme to a student's answer read through the answer and annotate it (as instructed) to show the qualities that are being looked for. You can then apply the mark scheme.

Step 1 Determine a level

Start at the lowest level of the mark scheme and use it as a ladder to see whether the answer meets the descriptor for that level. The descriptor for the level indicates the different qualities that might be seen in the student's answer for that level. If it meets the lowest level then go to the next one and decide if it meets this level, and so on, until you have a match between the level descriptor and the answer. With practice and familiarity you will find that for better answers you will be able to quickly skip through the lower levels of the mark scheme.

When assigning a level you should look at the overall quality of the answer and not look to pick holes in small and specific parts of the answer where the student has not performed quite as well as the rest. If the answer covers different aspects of different levels of the mark scheme you should use a best fit approach for defining the level and then use the variability of the response to help decide the mark within the level, ie if the response is predominantly level 3 with a small amount of level 4 material it would be placed in level 3 but be awarded a mark near the top of the level because of the level 4 content.

Step 2 Determine a mark

Once you have assigned a level you need to decide on the mark. The descriptors on how to allocate marks can help with this. The exemplar materials used during standardisation will help. There will be an answer in the standardising materials which will correspond with each level of the mark scheme. This answer will have been awarded a mark by the Lead Examiner. You can compare the student's answer with the example to determine if it is the same standard, better or worse than the example. You can then use this to allocate a mark for the answer based on the Lead Examiner's mark on the example.

You may well need to read back through the answer as you apply the mark scheme to clarify points and assure yourself that the level and the mark are appropriate.

Indicative content in the mark scheme is provided as a guide for examiners. It is not intended to be exhaustive and you must credit other valid points. Students do not have to cover all of the points mentioned in the Indicative content to reach the highest level of the mark scheme.

An answer which contains nothing of relevance to the question must be awarded no marks.

Question	Guidance	Mark
01	В	1
02	D	1
03	В	1
		•
04	В	1

05	Explain one way in which empowering employees might benefit a business suffering from poor communication.	
	• 1 mark for relevant understanding of empowerment. Empowerment provides subordinates with the means to exercise control over their working lives.	
	• 1 mark for explaining a benefit to a business that might arise from the use of empowerment. This is likely to centre on some aspect of motivational benefits, such as helping to meet employees' esteem needs (status, reputation) or act as a motivator (Herzberg).	
	• 1 mark for placing argument in context of a business suffering from poor communication. An obvious line of argument is that giving more control to subordinates may benefit the business if the workforce is clearer about what is should do.	
	Accept 'feasible' answers	

06		xplain why planning is an important role for a manager in an expanding usiness.	
	•	1 mark for understanding of the management role of planning – eg setting targets for the future.	3
	•	1 mark for explaining why this role is important – eg to allow managers to measure performance against targets.	
	•	1 mark for putting in the context of an expanding business – eg will need to plan to acquire more resources at the right times.	

Question	Guidance	Mark
07	Explain one reason why the managers of a large business implementing strategic change may encounter resistance.	
	• Award 1 mark for relevant understanding of strategic change. Strategic change is large-scale change that affects an entire business over the long-term.	
	• Award 1 mark for explaining why resistance to change may occur. This could be due to a range of factors including misunderstanding and lack of trust.	3
	• Award 1 mark for a valid reason why such resistance is more likely in a large organisation. Examples may include a greater possibility of differing views of the organisation's performance or objectives emerging.	
	Accept strategic change or resistance to change as knowledge base	

Question	Guidance					Mar
08		how the use c -making proce	of force field analysis could ess.	help May Lai with	her	
	Level		Descriptor		Marks	
	3		ion to analyse how force field vers and resistors) may help l		9-7	
	2	Uses the infor	mation when describing the p rs influencing the decision to		6-4	
	1		generic understanding of for	ce field analysis.	3–1	
	Knowled	lge & anding (L1)	Application (L2)	Analysis (L	.3)	
	Ana reco situa main equi betv that resis • Forc and chan liste valu deci proc • Forc oppo	ce Field lysis ognises that ations are ntained by an ilibrium veen forces drive and st change. ces supporting resisting nge can be d and given les as part of a ision making cess. ces driving or osing change arise internally xternally.	One factor resisting expansion is that the market for taxis in the city is	Force field analysis bring some structu Lai's decision-mak process. Consider factors that resist of her research has of revealed increasing competition. This large and powerful such as Uber and a compare this to the driving change. Lo people will be more use the electric tax MLT Ltd may avoid legal controls the O might impose. By comparing the resis drivers that exist M can make an inforr decision.	re to May ing ring the change, only g includes rivals she can e forces ocal e likely to cis and d any Council stors and lay Lai	9
	N.B. L2 6	6 - 5 marks for 1	becoming more competitive. Some large firms such as Uber have entered the market and could take sales away from MLT Ltd.			

Question			Guidance		Ма	ırk
09			at may have caused the ne Ira Ltd's established teams		255	
	Level		Descriptor		Marks	
	3		tion to analyse why Hydra Ltc ed poorly in comparison to its	-	9-7	
	2	Uses the info	rmation when describing the performance of Hydra Ltd's	oossible factors	6-4	
	1	Demonstrates team perform	s generic understanding of fac ance.	ctors influencing	3–1	
	Knowledg Understar		Application (L2)	Analysis (L3)		
	bala and are dete the of te Perf	e correct ance of skills personalities important erminants of performance eams. eam's formance ends on the	The new team was appointed quickly making it less likely that they would work well together.	The new team was as with five recently-recru employees. The skills personality types of th employees may not have been complementary they may not have have correct balance to ope effectively as a team. not the case with the e	uited and ese new ave and thus d the erate This is	Ð
	resc avai exa and • Hav bus	ources that are ilable to it, for mple finance time. ving a clear iness vision or ective can	The established teams have been together for many years and complement one another well.	teams who (according Yasir) complement on another well. This ena them to have a balanc skills and personality t and to perform well.	e ables æ of	
	affe	ct the formance of	The new team was working to a definite timescale of two months. This team may have been unaware of Pauls Hills' vision regarding quality.	Another line of analysis be that the new team significant disadvantage concerning a clear vision objective and having significant resources to fulfil the They may have been of the vision and this we at odds with the require to complete the project	was at a ge ion or sufficient /ision. unaware vould be rement t within	
			Existing teams have been with the company for many years and are familiar with the business's vision regarding quality.	two months. Putting t of pressure of a new t while allowing more experienced teams more resources and clearer objectives – was likely result in a relatively por performance by the new	eam – pre to por	

Question	Guidance					Mark	
10	Analyse t		vhy the company's employee Descriptor		d views. Marks		
	3	effects in me	ation to analyse why Air Alba's peting different employees' motivation to describe the effects of A	vational needs.	9-7		
	2	on employee	es' motivational needs.	-	6-4 3-1		
		employees.			3-1		
	Knowledg Understar		Application (L2)	Analysis (L	.3)		
	that to m emp sala rate bond rate shar • Non facto busi moti emp oppo pron emp soci safe	ancial factors can be used notivate bloyees include ries, hourly s of pay, uses, piece- pay and profit ring schemes. -financial ors used by inesses to ivate bloyees include ortunities for notion, powerment, al needs and e working ditions.	over £80 000, especially when it is higher than that paid by most other airlines.	Employees may ha this because it coul argued that the fact by the company car and demotivate. Th pay rates are comp high and will most li a positive effect on levels. However, th offset by working co which could demoti employees. In part security needs are threatened by the u temporary contracts hours contracts. Th employees held mix on the impact on m of the company's po	d be ors used in motivate he airline's aratively ikely have motivation his will be onditions vate icular se of s and zero hus ked views otivation blicies.	9	
			items during flights as part of their pay is commission. To a lesser extent commission would also motivate longer-serving cabin crew.	Another line of anal be that having cabin different types of co could be divisive an demotivate employe are in less secure employment – or th receive lower rates commission for sell same product. As s factors motivate and demotivate, employ mixed views of the motivation of the co	n crew on ontracts ad ees who ose who of ing the ome d others rees held		

Question		Guidance		Ма
11	power an	ing the information in Item A, evaluate how Karen's use of d her decision to use ADKAR might affect her ability to in echnology successfully.		
	Level	Descriptor	Marks	
	5	Uses Item A to develop a balanced analytical response. Analyses factors affecting the use of referent power and ADKAR when introducing the new technology to KPW Ltd. Evaluation supported by analysis.	20-17	
	4	Uses Item A to develop an analytical response. Analyses factors affecting the use of referent power or ADKAR when introducing the new technology to KPW Ltd. Judgement supported by analysis.	16-13	
	3	Uses Item A to develop a response. Describes possible use of referent power and/or ADKAR when introducing the new technology to KPW Ltd.	12-9	
	2	Uses Item A to support the response. Describes power and/or ADKAR in context.	8-5	
	1	Demonstrates generic understanding of power and/or ADKAR.	4-1	20
	Understat • Re En an • AE to cha cha cha Re Description • Re set	AR RESPONSES nding (L1): ferent power. Referent power arises from a leader's charism nployees are more likely to follow orders from a leader who the d/or admire. Some employees may use them as role models. DKAR. ADKAR comprises five stages employees need to pas implement change successfully. They are: be Aware of need ange; Desire to participate and support change; Knowledge of ange; Ability to use skills and behaviours required by the chan einforcement provided to sustain change. on (L2): referent power. Karen has used her referent power to carry the ries of major decisions which have been implemented success the example was moving the location of the company's factory.	ey like s through for how to ge; rough a	
	• AE the cha	DKAR. Karen plans to use this model to help managers to unce e needs of employees during what she thinks is a major period ange. If both sides 11 – 12 marks		

-		
11 cont.	 Explanation (L3): Referent power. Employees are used to Karen using her referent power to carry through major decisions and they will probably support this. Last year she persuaded the employees to move to a new site, even though it was further away. ADKAR. The use of this model might help Karen to introduce this change. She will not simply be relying on her interpersonal skills, which could be risky in this case. She will be looking to put a structure in place to support employees during this major period of change. Analysis (L4 & L5): Referent power. Karen may be able to use her charisma to persuade the employees that this change is a positive move, although the potential loss of 25 jobs will make this more difficult, especially given that employees have been loyal and long serving. Referent power can be highly effective and it has worked within this company in the past, even when making changes (such as the move of the factory) which may not be in the employees' interests. However, this is a major change which involves the introduction of technology and is an area in which Karen has little expertise – a fact that even a junior employee recognises. ADKAR. ADKAR has the potential to help to make this a decision that will have a successful outcome as it will affect the tasks carried out by all employees of the need for change and to gain their support. This will enable employees to identify the knowledge and skills needed and to connect the training that is promised to need for change. AKDAR. It will also help with the question of ability as the company's employees have very different skills and all may not be suited to the use of technology in production. This might enable Karen to identify those employees who are no longer required and/or to target training more 	

11 cont.	 Evaluation (L5): A key point here is that the use of ADKAR might help to overcome some of the weaknesses of Karen's previous reliance on referent power to carry through major changes in the workplace. A different approach here is needed because job losses will accompany this change and this is the first time this has occurred. Using ADKAR gives an important and starting role to creating an awareness of the need for change and Karen can use her charisma to drive this message and to generate a desire for change. This will be vital if the change is successful in strengthening the company's position in the market. The use of referent power is sensible. KPW's workforce is used to her approach and has supported it in the past, though she needs to make sure that she is carrying her managers with her – there seems some doubt on the use of ADKAR model. There may need to be some negotiation over the three-month timescale as this is very short for such a major change and the more complex method of introducing it. Although the company has a culture which is based on power – with Karen taking most decisions – this does not preclude the use of ADKAR as some managers appear to believe. It is an approach to gaining their support, focussing training in the right places (which will be important for KPW Ltd). It would also assess employees' abilities to take on new, and very different, roles as well as reinforcing the message. 	

Assessment outcomes coverage

Assessment outcome	Marks available in Section A	Marks available in Section B	Total mark
AO1	12 marks (20%)	0 marks (0%)	12
AO2	18 marks (30%)	0 marks (0%)	18
AO3	9 marks (15%)	9 marks (15%)	18
AO4	1 mark (2%)	11 marks (18%)	12
Total	40 marks	20 marks	60

Question	A01	AO2	AO3	AO4
1	1			
2	1			
3	1			
4			1	
5			3	
6			3	
7				3
8	9			
9		9		
10		9		
11			11	9
Totals	12	18	18	12

Assessment objectives coverage

Question	Knowledge & understanding	Application	Analysis & evaluation	Total
1		1		1
2	1			1
3	1			1
4			1	1
5	1	2		3
6	1	2		3
7	1	2		3
8	3	3	3	9
9	3	3	3	9
10	3	3	3	9
11	4	4	12	20
Totals	18	20	22	60