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## General

Grade 1-3 calculation questions were well answered, where the equation is always given and students will not be required to rearrange the equation. Grade 4-5 calculation questions involving equation recall were answered better this year than in 2018 and students are scoring many of their marks on the paper by answering the subsequent calculation questions correctly. At grade 4-5 students are expected to be able to either rearrange an equation or convert a unit, so it is beneficial for students to be able to quickly identify if the units given in a question are correct for the use in the equation. Question 10.1 was generally well attempted and students who had carried out Required Practical Activity 2 would have had a material advantage over those who hadn't.

Handwriting sometimes makes it very difficult for examiners to read what has been written. Students who have handwriting that is difficult to read may benefit from a scribe or from word processing their answers in exams.

## Levels of demand

Questions are set at two levels of demand on this paper:

- low demand questions are targeted at students working at grades 1-3
- standard demand questions are targeted at students working at grades 4-5.

A student's final grade, however, is based on their attainment across the qualification as a whole, not just on questions that may have been targeted at the level at which they are working.

## Question 1 (Low demand)

01.1 Around $77 \%$ of students scored 2 marks. Around $16 \%$ of students scored 1 mark.
01.2 Only around $8 \%$ of students scored 2 marks. Both evaporation and change of state were common, insufficient answers for the first marking point. Less than half of the students scored 1 mark. The marking points were independent, so if the change of state was incorrect eg melting, the student could still score the second marking point.
01.3 Around $91 \%$ of students scored 2 marks. In calculations where students are provided with the equation (grades 1-3) students are not expected to rearrange the equation. Some students incorrectly tried to convert the kg into g and scored 0 marks.
01.4 Approximately $82 \%$ of students scored 3 marks. In calculations where students are provided with the equation (grades 1-3) students are not expected to rearrange the equation. Some students incorrectly tried to convert the kg into g and scored 0 marks for the calculation. Some students set out their calculation, but then multiplied the two values rather than dividing.

## Question 2 (Low demand)

02.1 About $67 \%$ of students identified the correct decay equation.
02.2 More than three quarters of the students identified why alpha radiation is dangerous inside the human body.
02.3 Just below three quarters of students scored 2 marks. Most correct answers read the values from the graph as intended $(460,280)$ and students didn't need the tolerance given in the mark scheme.
02.4 About $60 \%$ of students correctly estimated the mass remaining.
02.5 Students found this question difficult, with around $55 \%$ of students scoring 2 marks and around $27 \%$ of students scoring 1 mark.

## Question 3 (Low demand)

03.1 Approximately $45 \%$ of students scored 1 mark for identifying a measuring instrument that could be used. About $9 \%$ of students scored 2 marks for describing an appropriate way to use it. Pythagoras was insufficient as the triangle described would not give the height of the zip wire.
03.2 Students were often very successful in calculation, with around $91 \%$ scoring 2 marks.
03.3 This question gave a good spread of marks. Over a fifth scored 3 marks, almost two fifths scored 2 marks and slightly below a quarter scored 1 mark. Gravitational potential energy or gravitational field strength were insufficient to score a mark.

## Question 4 (Low demand)

04.1 Over a third of students answered this question correctly.
04.2 About 14\% of students answered this question correctly.
04.3 This question about the alpha particle scattering experiment discriminated well between students. Around $16 \%$ of students scored 3 marks, around $45 \%$ of students scored 2 marks and around $30 \%$ of students scored 1 mark.
04.4 About $77 \%$ of students correctly identified what reproducible means.

## Question 5 (Low demand)

05.1 The most common correct answers linked $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ to global warming. Around $38 \%$ of students scored 2 marks and around $35 \%$ scored 1 mark. Common incorrect answers were usually vague and mentioned air pollution without being specific. There were many students who incorrectly linked $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ with the ozone layer.
05.2 Over half of the students scored 2 marks and over a third scored 1 mark. Insufficient answers included hydro and water. Answers using specification wording eg the tides were acceptable, as were terms like tidal, etc. Oil was insufficient as this was assumed to be non-renewable oil as opposed to palm oil or other biofuel oils.
05.3 Around $83 \%$ of students scored 2 marks for this percentage calculation. An answer of 78 scored 1 mark even if spurious calculations followed.
05.4 About $67 \%$ of students scored 2 marks. Quite a large number of misreads from the graph resulting in 0 marks and around 29\% of students scored 0 marks.
05.5 Only around $5 \%$ of students scored 2 marks whilst half of the students scored 1 mark. Some students failed to make the connection between the time of day and sunrise. Some students talked vaguely about the energy stored by solar panels, confusing them with solar heating panels rather than solar photovoltaic panels.

## Question 6 (Low and Standard demand)

06.1 More than half of the students scored 2 marks. To score a mark a comparative statement was needed eg lower, not low mass of power source. A statement using the word 'better' would be insufficient.
06.2 Around 64\% of students scored 2 marks. Many students scored 1 mark for truncating and not rounding their answers, an answer of 26.08 would score only 1 mark for the substitution.
06.3 This question discriminated well, with about $16 \%$ scoring 2 marks and more than half scoring 1 mark. 'Increases the battery's power output' was insufficient to score a mark. 'Battery lasts longer' was insufficient to score a mark.
06.4 The quantities in the question are given in alphabetical order; there is no need for students to recall the equation in this order. Any correct rearrangement of the equation or use of the correct symbols for quantities was allowed. A mixture of symbols and words would also score the mark. Approximately $70 \%$ of students answered this question correctly.
06.5 It was encouraging to see many Foundation tier students successfully rearranging the equation. Around $77 \%$ of students scored 3 marks.

## Question 7 (Low and Standard demand)

07.1 About 86\% of students answered this question correctly.
07.2 Approximately 83\% of students answered this question correctly. Students should refer to the Physics specification for the accepted symbols for circuit components. Textbooks and revision guides do not necessarily use the specification symbols. The circles in the component symbol scored a mark even if shown as blobs rather than circles.
07.3 Around $93 \%$ of students scored 2 marks. At grade 1-3, the equation is given and no rearrangement of the equation is needed. Students need to simply substitute and calculate the answer.
07.4 Around $93 \%$ of students scored 2 marks. At grade 1-3, the equation is given and no rearrangement of the equation is needed. Students need to simply substitute and calculate the answer.
07.5 About $23 \%$ of students answered this question correctly.
07.6 About $38 \%$ of students answered this question correctly.
07.7 Students can recall the equation in any correct rearrangement. The stem of the question lists the quantities in alphabetical order, so that there is no suggestion of the correct order.

Correct letters for quantities are acceptable in place of the quantity names. Voltage or p.d. were acceptable for potential difference. Approximately $57 \%$ of students answered this question correctly.
07.8 The first mark is for the substitution into the correct equation. The second mark is for the correct rearrangement of the correct equation. The third mark is for the correct final answer. About $58 \%$ of students scored 3 marks for this question. Grade 4-5 calculation questions require either a unit change or a rearrangement of the equation.

## Question 8 (Low and Standard demand)

08.1 Around $63 \%$ of students answered this question correctly.
08.2 Around 86\% of students answered this question correctly. Some students gave a precaution instead of a risk, which was insufficient.
08.3 Around $62 \%$ of students answered this question correctly, demonstrating that students still confuse the terms resolution and range.
08.4 Around $96 \%$ of students answered this question correctly.
08.5 Many students failed to substitute correctly into the equation that was printed on the Physics Equations Sheet. Many students multiplied the 3 values given in the question, which scored zero marks. The unit mark was independent, but a large number of students failed to recognise the correct unit from the box. More than a third of students scored 4 marks and around $16 \%$ of students scored 3 marks. A quarter of students scored 1 mark for either the correct unit or the correct substitution.

## Question 9 (Standard demand)

09.1 About 45\% of students correctly identified the meaning of power input.
09.2 Only a quarter of students scored this mark. Students can recall the equation in any correct rearrangement. The stem of the question lists the quantities in alphabetical order, so that there is no suggestion of the correct order. Correct letters for quantities are acceptable in place of the quantity names. Voltage or p.d. were acceptable for potential difference.
09.3 Around $13 \%$ of students scored 3 marks and about $81 \%$ scored zero. Students found this question difficult to answer, presumably due to their inability to recall the correct equation in the preceding question.
09.4 More than half of students answered this correctly. Some students failed to score the mark by writing input for both the denominator and the numerator.
09.5 Students who successfully recalled the equation in 09.4 were likely to score all 3 marks for this question. Only around $15 \%$ of students scored zero.
09.6 Many students restated the stem, rather than going the extra stage in the reasoning and linking to efficiency because thermal energy is also transferred by the light bulb. A number of students believed that knowing the rate at which the bulbs emitted visible light was a
safety concern related to the household electrics. Only around 1\% of students scored 2 marks, about $16 \%$ of students scored 1 mark and around $73 \%$ of students scored zero marks.

## Question 10 (Standard demand)

10.1 This question produced a good spread of marks. A mean score of 2.1 was achieved by students on the Foundation tier. Students who had experience of a similar practical benefitted from this as they were able to describe a method in sufficient detail to achieve Level 2. To achieve Level 3, a student needed to indicate that several values of number of layers were used, referring to the interval of 8 layers (as the graph showed) or that the experiment should be done with no layers. A control variable was also needed, either the initial temperature of the water, or the volume of water in each experiment. Some students gained no credit by describing different required practical methods. Around $2 \%$ of students scored 6 marks, around $15 \%$ of students scored 4 marks and about a fifth of students scored 2 marks. Around $10 \%$ of students didn't attempt the question.
10.2 Many students stated that it wasn't necessary to use both as it would be confusing. The datalogger would produce too much data was a common insufficient answer. A number of students stated that using a normal thermometer would be more appropriate, scoring zero marks. Less than $1 \%$ of students scored 2 marks and less than a fifth of students scored 1 mark.

## Question 11 (Standard demand)

11.1 Over two-fifths of students scored 3 marks for this question. It wasn't necessary to give the answer to an appropriate number of significant figures, so answers of $0.502,0.50$ and 0.5 were all creditworthy. Some students calculated the jump height to be unlikely values; students should be encouraged to consider whether it is likely that someone can jump a height of 4.92 m , a commonly seen answer achieved by multiplying the 2 values given ( 41 $\times 0.12$ ). Less than half of the students scored zero marks.
11.2 A common incorrect answer was $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{k}}=$ mass $\times$ speed, since these were the quantities given in the question. A number of students also left out the 0.5 value even if they remembered that speed should be squared. Velocity was allowed instead of speed in the equation. Correct symbols were acceptable for the quantities in the equation. About $30 \%$ of students answered this question correctly.
11.3 Despite being asked to recall the equation in 11.2 , most students simply multiplied or divided the 2 values given in the question ( 3.0 and 270), scoring 0 marks. Only around 19\% of students scored 3 marks.
11.4 Some students commented on muscle mass and strength which were insufficient to score marks. Clear comparisons, including at least one difference and one similarity, were needed to score 4 marks. This question discriminated well between students; around $6 \%$ of students scored 4 marks, around 29\% of students scored 2 marks and around $13 \%$ of students scored zero.
11.5 Some students failed to score a mark because they simply restated the question, 'the scientist wanted the highest muscle power reading' without adding any value. The answer either needed to refer to the effect of repetition on performance (improvement or worsening) or that it was the maximum (or peak) muscle power that the scientist was investigating. A fifth of students answered this question correctly.

## Use of statistics

Statistics used in this report may be taken from incomplete processing data. However, this data still gives a true account on how students have performed for each question.

## Mark Ranges and Award of Grades

Grade boundaries and cumulative percentage grades are available on the Results Statistics page of the AQA Website.

