

Cambridge International Examinations Cambridge International Advanced Level

ACCOUNTING

9706/31 May/June 2016

Paper 3 Structured Questions MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 150

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

 $\ensuremath{\textcircled{B}}$ IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

Ρ	age 2	2	Mark Scheme Syllab		Paper
			Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016 9700	6	31
1	(a)		apital is the amount invested by owners in a trading organisation. (1) Accur e surplus that builds up over a number of years in a club or society. (1)	nulated	d fund is [2]
	(b)				
		Ρ	Pavey Sports & Social Club – Income and expenditure account for the year e 31 March 2016.	nded	
			\$\$		
		Life	ubscriptions (W1) 35 000 (3)of ie membership 1 250 (1) estaurant profit (W2) 4 660 (5) 40 910 40 910		
		De	Iministrative expenses (W3) 4 900 (2) epreciation (W4) 9460 (2) (14 360) urplus 26 550 (1) of		
		W	1 Subscriptions account		
		Inc	alance b/d 1000^* Balance b/d 400^* come and expenditure a/c 35000 Bank 34000 (1)alance c/d $\underline{300}^*$ Balance c/d $\underline{1900}$ $\underline{36300}$ $\underline{36300}$ $\underline{36300}$		
		W	 2 Restaurant profit: 17 450 - (6950 - 845 + 955) - (5450 + 280) 3 Administrative expenses 4750 + 350 (1) - 200 (1) 4 Depreciation: 2560 (1) + 6900 (1) = 9460 (1) for all three. 		[14]
	(c)	No	ariable amount received ot part of regular income aybe allocated to specific projects in the future		
		An	ny 2 points 1 mark each.		[2]
	(d)	(i)	Sponsorship Use funds from bank account as well as another source of finance. Debentures		
			2 marks for each comparison point.		[4]
		(ii)	1 mark for decision and 2 marks for justification of the decision based on	(d)(i).	[3]
				[T	otal: 25]

P	age 3	Mark	Scheme			Syllabus	Paper
		Cambridge Internationa	l A Level – May/J	une 2016		9706	31
2	(a)	Ahmed and Memorandum Joint V					
			\$	\$			
		Revenue (38 000 + 55 500) Returns inwards	Ť	93 500 <u>4 500</u> 89 000	(1) (1)		
		Purchases (24 500 + 17 600) Closing inventory	42 100 <u>6 500</u>		(1) (1)		
		Gross profit Other income		<u>35 600</u> 53 400			
		Commissions received Discount received		1 000 <u>600</u> 55 000	(1) (1)		
		Expenses (3 200 + 2 300) Irrecoverable debts	5 500 <u>300</u>	<u>_5800</u>	(1) (1)		
		Profit		<u>49200</u>			
		Ahmed (2/3) Bashmir (1/3)		32 800 <u>16 400</u> <u>49 200</u>	} 1 of	f both	10

[9]

[8]

(b)			Books	of Ahmed		
_		Joint	venture v	vith Bashmir account		
	Purchases – credit	24 500	(1)	Revenue – cash	6000	
	Returns inwards	4 500	(1)	– credit	32000	} 1 both
	Expenses	3200	(1)	Commissions	1 0 0 0	(1)
	Profit and loss	32800	(1)OF	Discount received	500	(1)
				Balance c/d	<u>25 500</u>	
		<u>65000</u>			<u>65 000</u>	
	Balance b/d	25 500	(1)OF			

- (c) The balance due from Bashmir would be shown as a current asset under other receivables. (10F) [1]
- (d) (i) \$ 49200 (1) OF 12500 } (6500) }(1) both 55200 (1) OF

Accept alternative answers

(ii) \$ 12500 (<u>6500)</u> 6000 × (2/3) = \$4000 (1)

[1]

[3]

Page 4	1	Cambridge Inter	Mark Schem		June 20)16	Syllabus 9706	Paper 31
(e)	Reason Made a More cu More e> Max 2 f OR Reason Tarnish Poor ch Max 2 f	No (1) For decision s for Yes	s sociate	/		-		[3] [Total 25]
(2)								[
(a)			Disposal of ma	chinery ac	count			
	2015 Jun 1	Machinery (W1)	\$ 24 000 (2)	2015 Jun 1		on for depre hinery (W2)		9200 (2) OF
	Dec 31	Income statement	<u>13000</u> (1) <u>37000</u>		Bank			7 800 7 000 [6]
	W1 W2	[(17 800 – 13 000) 24 000 × 10% (1)						
(b)			Property \$	Plant ar machine \$		Delivery van \$	s Tota \$	I
	Addition Dispose		200 000 200 000			23 000 <u>23 000</u>	481 0 76 0 <u>(24 0</u> 533 0	00
	Charge Eliminat	ation nuary 2015 for year ted on disposals ecember 2015	17 000 1 000 (1) <u>18 000</u>	210 000 31 000 <u>(19 200)</u> 221 800	• •	10 000 3 250 (<u>13 250</u>	237 0 1) 35 2 <u>(19 2</u> 253 0	:50 : <u>00)</u>
		k value ecember 2015 ecember 2014	<u>182 000</u> 183 000	<u>88200</u> 48000		<u>9750</u> 13000	<u>2799</u> 244 0	
								[8]

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

- (c) Matches costs with revenue generated by the assets (1) Non-current assets are not overvalued (1) Profit is not overstated. (1)
- (d) Correct return would be (62000 39000 3000) (1) less depreciation 12000 (1) = 8000 (1) Hence rate of return 8000/120000 × 100% = 6.67% (1of)

Since this is less than the existing ROCE the proposal would not increase ROCE. (1) The ROCE calculation uses profit before interest but if debenture interest (\$9 600) (1) is included then there is a loss/negative return (1).

However it may be necessary anyway to replace the machinery because of its age (1) as spare parts may no longer be available (1) and the machinery may be impossible to repair (1). The productivity of the machinery may fall further with time and therefore the balance between costs and revenues would change. (1) Max 4 for calculations Max 4 for comments [8]

[Total: 25]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

4	(a) (i)	Interest Profit before interest and ta	—×100% x
		$V = \frac{300}{1720} \times 100\% = 17.44\%$	(1)
		$R = \frac{180}{1576} \times 100\% = 11.42\%$	(1)
	(ii)	Net profit No. of shares	
		$V = \frac{1103}{4500} = \$0.25 (1)$	
		$R = \frac{1084}{2500} = \$0.43 (1)$	
	(iii)	V 3.50 / 0.25 = 14 (1)	
		R 2.75 /0.43 = 6.40 (1)	
	(iv)	Divident paid & proposed Market price per share	100%
		$V = \frac{0.20}{3.50} \times 100\% = 5.71\%$ (*	1)
		$R = \frac{0.35}{2.75} \times 100\% = 12.73\%$	(1)
	(v)	Profit available for dividend Dividend paid and proposed	_
		$V = \frac{1103}{900} = 1.23$ times (1)	
		1084 1 24 times (4)	

R
$$\frac{1084}{875}$$
 = 1.24 times (1)

[10]

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International A Level – May/June 2016	9706	31

(b) Both companies have a lower income gearing (1) than the industry average so there should be no concerns with regard to interest payments (1).

The earnings per share of Ramsey is higher than the industry average (1) while that of Winterbottom is lower so Winterbottom's performance may be a concern (1).

The dividend yield of Winterbottom is much lower (1) than the industry average while that of Ramsey is higher (1) so an investor who seeks short term income would favour Ramsey (1). The dividend cover of both companies is slightly higher than the industry average (1) so although apparently low there should not be major concerns (1).

Ramsey has a lower PE ratio than industry average (1) but PE ratio for Winterbottom is higher which is better (1).

	[Max 10]	[10]
(c)	Investment advice (1)of . (4) of justification marks.	[5]
		[Total: 25]

Pa	age 8	3	Mark Scher			Syllabus	Paper
			Cambridge International A Le	evel – May/Jun	ne 2016	9706	31
5	(a)		tal labour hours are 1875 standard and R = 42 000 / 2625 = \$16 per hour (1)	d 750 superior	= 2625 labour h	nours (1)	[2]
	(b)	(i)	Direct materials22 500 × 5.5 9 000 × 8.5 Direct labour1 875 × 10 750 × 10 Overheads1 875 × 16 750 × 16 Costs	Standard \$ 123 750 18 750 30 000 <u>172 500</u>	} 7 500 } <u>12 000</u> }	(1) (1) (1) 1of)	
		(ii)					[4]
		(11)	Standard 224250 / 22500 = \$9.97 (1of)	Superior 124 800 / 900	0 = \$13.87 (1of	F)	[2]
	(c)	(i)		Standard	Superior		
			Direct materials	\$ 123750	\$ 76 500	}	
			Direct labour	18750	7 500	} }	
			Direct expenses Overheads1 875 × 8.8	7 200 16 500	11700	}(1of) (1) }	
			750 × 8.8 Costs	<u>166200</u>	<u>6600</u> <u>102300</u>	}(1) (1of)	[4]
		(ii)	Number of sweatshirts New sales value Selling price per unit	<u>49860</u> 216060 9.60	<u>30 690</u> 132 990 14.78	(1of) (1of)	[2]
		(iii)	Change in selling price:				
			Decrease in Standard \$0.37 (1) OF Increase in Superior \$0.91 (1) OF				[2]

(d) Activity based costing uses cost drivers and cost pools whereas, absorption costing uses direct labour hours or machine hours

Activity based costing is expensive to set up whereas, absorption costing is easy to set up Activity based costing is more realistic than absorption costing. Absorption costing is more easily understood than activity based costing.

Any three points of comparison 2 marks each.

Page 9	9			Mark Sc	neme		Syllabus	Paper
		Ca	ambridge Int	ternational A	Level – May/、	June 2016	9706	31
(e)	se Su 1 r	lling price perior (1) mark for	of Standard			case. However, the er of units sold and		
							l	[Total: 2
(a)	pa co	yback cal	lculates the ti e net cash flo	ime it takes to w after the p	o cover the initia	(1) whereas net pre al cost of the invest 1) Net present valu ment (1)	ment and d	oes not
	pa co dis	yback cal	lculates the ti e net cash flo cash flows fo	ime it takes to w after the p	o cover the initia ayback period (al cost of the invest 1) Net present valu	ment and d	oes not the
	pa co dis Ne	yback cal nsider the scounted	lculates the ti e net cash flo cash flows fo	ime it takes to w after the p	o cover the initia ayback period (fe of the invest net	al cost of the invest 1) Net present valu	ment and d	oes not the
	pa co dis Ne	yback cal nsider the counted et cash flo unit	lculates the ti e net cash flo cash flows fo ws: inflow	ime it takes to w after the p or the whole li outflow	o cover the initia ayback period (fe of the investion net (300) (1)	al cost of the invest 1) Net present valu ment (1) net cash flows	ment and d	oes not the
	pa co dis Ne	yback cal nsider the counted et cash flo	lculates the ti e net cash flo cash flows fo ws:	ime it takes to w after the p r the whole li	o cover the initia ayback period (fe of the invest net	al cost of the invest 1) Net present valu ment (1)	ment and d e considers	oes not s the [4

(c) Pay back

2 years and 192 150/208170 × 365 days = 2 years (1) and 336.91 days (1of) [2]

(d)

	Net cash flow	DF	\$	
0	300 000	1.000	(300000)	(1)
1	54 600	0.877	47884.20	(1)of
2	53250	0.769	40949.25	(1)of
3	208 170	0.675	140514.75	(1)of
		NPV (1)	(70651.80)) (1)of

- (e) (i) The net cash flow generated over the 3 years is \$16020 (1). This cash can be put to other uses within the business (1). Production levels have increased up to 5400 from 4000 (1). This means that the business can increase its market (1) and potentially its profit (1) max
 [3]
 - (ii) The managers of Artem Ltd should not purchase the machine (1) as the net present value is negative. (1) This means that the discounted net cash flows do not cover the cost of investment (1) and the present values generated are not enough to cover the initial cost of the investment. (1) max

Accept other valid points. [1 mark decision] [Max 1 mark justification]

[Total: 25]