

#### ACCOUNTING

9706/32 May/June 2019

Paper 3 Structured Questions MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 150

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2019 series for most Cambridge IGCSE<sup>™</sup>, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

#### **Generic Marking Principles**

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

**GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:** 

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

#### GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Question		Ans	wer				Marks
1(a)(i)	Prime cost is the direct overheads/expenses. (1	(1) cost of a manufactured product. It is	the total of direct	: materials,	direct labo	our and direct	2
1(a)(ii)	Units of production whic	ch are only part completed (1) with regar	d to materials an	d / or labou	ur. <b>(1)</b>		2
1(b)	Prime co Add: Indirect v General o Power Deprecia Add: ope Less: clo Cost of p Factory p Transferr W1 General expenses W2 Power	Manufacturi For the year ended st vages expenses tion ning work-in-progress sing work-in-progress roduction profit/mark-up red to income statement $64 - 6 = 58 (1) \times 50\% = 29 (1) \text{ OF}$ $36 + 4 = 40 (1) \times 60\% = 24 (1) \text{ OF}$	ng Account 31 December 20 40 29 24 120	18 (1) (2) W1 (2) W2 (2) W3	\$000 385 213 598 23 621 (31) 590 118 708	(1) (1) (1) OF (1) OF (1) OF (1) OF	13
	W3 Depreciation	$450 \times 25\% = 112.5 (1)$ 30 × 25% = $\frac{7.5}{120.0}$ (1)					

Question	Answer	Marks
1(c)	The inventory should not have been included at that figure (1) because the figure contains unrealised / factory profit. (1)	8
	Realisation concept (1) – transaction is accounted for when converted into money. (1)	
	Prudence concept (1) – inventory and profit should not be overstated/inventory valued at lower of cost and NRV per IAS 2. (1)	
	Correct value should be \$33 000 × $\frac{100}{120}$ (1) = \$27 500 (1) <u>or</u> \$33 000 – \$5500 (1) = \$27 500 (1)	
	The value after reduction of the unrealised profit is the value to be shown in the statement of financial position. (1)	
	A provision for unrealised profit is created (1)	
	Max 2 for concept identification; Max 2 for calculation; Max 3 for explanation and 1 mark for correct decision.	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	Profit for the year ended 31 December 2018	3
	Dividend yield = 5% Dividend per share = $$2.4 \times 5\% = $0.12$ (1) Total dividend paid = $$0.12 \times 450\ 000 = $54\ 000$ (1) OF Dividend cover = 2.5 times Profit = $$54\ 000 \times 2.5 = $135\ 000$ (1) OF <u>Alternative approach</u> (market value) $450\ 000\ shares \times $2.40 = $1\ 080\ 000$ (1) Dividend = $$1\ 080\ 000 \times 5\% = $54\ 000$ (1) OF Dividend cover = 2.5 times Profit = $$54\ 000 \times 2.5 = $135\ 000$ (1) OF	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	V plc Statement of financial position at 31 December 2018	
	\$ Non-current assets 505 272	
	Current assets	
	Inventory W1 80 295 (4) Trade and other receivables Cash at bank (balancing) 54 297 (1) OF 254 592	
	Total assets 759 864	
	Equity and liabilities Equity Ordinary shares of \$1 each 450 000 <b>} (1) both</b> Share premium 70 000 <b>}</b> Retained earnings <b>W3</b> <u>155 000</u> (3) OF Total equity <u>675 000</u>	
	Liabilities	
	Trade and other payablesW484 864(3)Total equity and liabilities759 864(1) OF	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	Workings:	15
	W1 Cost of sales = \$876 000 × 55% = \$481 800 (1) Opening inventory = \$78 105 Inventory turnover = 60 days Closing inventory = 2 × [(\$481 800 × 60) / 365] (1) OF - \$78 105 (1) = \$80 295 (1)OF	
	W2 Credit sales \$876 000 Trade receivables turnover 50 days	
	Trade receivables = $\frac{(\$876000 \times 50)}{365}$ (1) = \$120000 (1) OF	
	W3       \$         Retained earnings 1 January 2018       74 000         Profit for the year (from 2(a))       135 000       (1) OF         Dividend paid (from 2(a))       (54 000)       (1) OF         155 000       (1) OF	
	W4 Cost of sales = \$876 000 × 55% = \$481 800 Purchases = \$481 800 + \$80 295 - \$78 105 = \$483 990 (1) OF Trade payables turnover = 64 days Trade payables = (\$483 990 × 64) / 365 (1) OF = \$84 864 (1) OF	
2(c)	Earnings per share = $$135000 / 450000 = $0.30$ (1) OF	2
-(-)	Price earnings ratio = $\frac{$2.40}{$0.30} = 8$ (1) OF	-
	Alternative approach (market value)	
	\$1 080 000 / \$135 000 <b>(1) OF =</b> 8 <b>(1) OF</b>	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(d)	The gross margin / profitability of V plc is better than that of T plc. (1) This suggests that the selling price of V plc is higher / the cost of sales is lower (1) than T plc.	5
	V plc is more efficient / has a better inventory turnover period than T plc. (1) This suggests that V plc can sell goods at a faster rate (1) or its inventory level is kept at a lower level / has lower storage costs. (1)	
	Accept other valid points. Max 5	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	The answers may include:	2
	Increases the credibility of the financial statements which ensures they are fair and true Helps detect errors and frauds which increases the confidence of shareholders Represents an independent review of the financial statements which increases their reliability	
	Accept other valid points.	
3(b)	Item 1 – IAS 36 (1) <i>Impairment of assets</i> suggests that an impairment loss should be made if the carrying amount of an asset is more than its recoverable amount. (1) The carrying amount of the equipment is \$140,000 which is more than the recoverable amount \$136,000, (1) (the higher of \$132,000 fair value and \$136,000 value in use), impairment of \$4000 should be made. (1)	7
	Item 2 – IAS 10 (1) Events after the reporting period is relevant as a proposed dividend is a non-adjusting event (1). In this case, the proposed dividend is not a liability at the year-end and will be disclosed as a note to the accounts. (1)	
	Item 3 – IAS 37 (1) <i>Provision, contingent liabilities and contingent assets</i> suggests that a provision is made only when there is a present obligation arising from a past event. (1) Therefore no provision is recognised for costs that will be incurred in the future. (1)	
	Item 1: Max 3 marks Item 2: Max 2 marks Item 3: Max 2 marks	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Revised retained profits at 31 December 2018	6
	SRetained earnings184 000Impairment loss(4000) (1)Proposed dividend12 000 (1)Provision for advertising expenses25 000 (1)Deposit3000 (1)Sales – sale or return basis(7000) )Inventory – sale or return basis5400 ) (1)Revised retained earnings218 400 (1) OF	
3(d)	(i) Property, plant and equipment ( $$682000 - $4000$ )=678000 (1)(ii) Inventory ( $$94200 + $5400$ )=99600 (1)(iii) Trade receivables ( $$87400 - $7000$ )=80400 (1)(iv) Other receivables ( $$9430 + $3000$ )=12430 (1)(v) Total assets:= $$678000 + $99600 + $80400 + $12430 + $21170 = $891600$ (1) OF	5

Question	Answer	Marks
3(e)	Arguments for increasing the dividend	
	Existing shareholders will be satisfied resulting in them retaining their shares (1)	
	Higher dividend policy may convey a strong message to shareholders leading to a possible increase in share value (1)	
	Increased dividends may be attractive to potential new investors providing investment for growth (1)	
	Arguments for not increasing the dividend	
	Company may be short of cash (1)	
	Directors are retaining earnings for future development (1)	
	Higher retained earnings may lead to a higher share value in the long run (1)	
	Max 4 for comments plus 1 mark for recommendation Accept other valid points.	

Question	Answer	
4(a)	Roberto and Sasha each contributed \$1000 to start the joint venture. (1) This represented the capital of the joint venture. (1)	2
4(b)	Because they are capital transfers (1) and do not affect the profit of the joint venture. (1)	2
4(c)	(2500 + 50) <b>(1)</b> – 2020 <b>(1)</b> = \$530	3
	Roberto \$265, Sasha \$265 (1) OF (correct split)	

Question	Answer	Marks
4(d)(i)	Roberto account         S       \$         JV account (sales)       700       (1)       JV bank       1000       (1)         JV bank (balancing)       685       (1) OF       JV account (costs)       120       (1)         JV account (profit)       265       (1) OF       JV account (profit)       265       (1) OF	5
4(d)(ii)	Sasha account         \$           JV bank (balancing)         1465         (1) OF         JV bank         1000         (1)           JV account (costs)         200         (1)           JV account (profit)         265         (1) OF           1465         1465         1465	4
4(e)	<ul> <li>The increase in mark-up could increase Sasha's share of profit (1) by \$237.50 (1)</li> <li>Increasing the prices may not result in all inventory being sold (1)</li> <li>Unsold goods may have to be sold off at discounted prices (1)</li> <li>The experience of previously working together may be beneficial (1)</li> <li>Annual event might encourage competition from other stalls selling sports equipment (1)</li> <li>Competitors may be charging lower prices that would reduce sales (1)</li> <li>It would be better if Roberto had done market research to justify his increase in mark-up (1)</li> <li>This joint venture may lead to future business opportunities between the two (1)</li> <li>(1) for decision, max (4) for comments</li> <li>Accept other valid points.</li> </ul>	5

Question	Answer	Marks
4(f)	Business – seeks to make a profit (1) and operates for the benefit of owners (1)	4
	Club – not for profit (1), primarily seeks to provide a service to its members (1), may sell at subsidised prices (1)	
	Max (2) for each organisation	

Question	Answer				
5(a)(i)	2020 \$ Cash inflows from sales 840 00 Cash outflows for cost of sales 420 00 Machine maintenance cost 10 00 Other operating costs 120 00 Net cash flow 290 00	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5		
5(a)(ii)	2 years + [(\$600 000 - \$591 000) / \$313 050 × 365] = 2 years (1) OF 11 days (2) OF				
5(a)(iii)	\$ 2020 2021 2021 301 000 2022 313 050 904 050 Total depreciation Total profit 600 000 (1) Total profit 101 350 (1) OF Average profit 101 350 (1) OF (\$101 350 / \$300 000*) (1) OF = 33.78% (1) OF * = \$600 000 / 2		5		

May/June 20
-------------

Question	Answer	Marks	
5(b)	Advantages:	4	
	Easy to compute (1)		
	Easy to understand (1)		
	Good for initial screening (1)		
	Good for business which requires short time to recover its investment (1)		
	Disadvantages:		
	Does not consider time value of money (1)		
	Does not consider cash flows after the payback period (1)		
	Projects may have different patterns of cash inflows (1)		
	Max 2 for advantages max 2 for disadvantages. Accept other valid points.		
5(c)	Inflows PV	3	
	Υear 0 1 (600 000) (600 000) <b>(1)</b>		
	Year 1 0.909 290 000 263 610 )		
	Year 2 0.826 301 000 248 626 ) (1) OF		
	Year 3 0.751 313 050 <u>235 101</u> ) NPV 147 337 <b>(1) OF</b>		

Question	Answer	Marks
5(d)	Machine X should be purchased (1) because it has a positive NPV (1) OF	5
	The payback is less than the useful life of the machine (1) OF	
	The ARR is more than the cost of capital (1) OF	
	The quality of the product is better (1)	
	The maintenance costs should be lower than Machine B (1)	
	Machine X may have a positive environmental impact (1)	
	There may be additional training costs incurred with machine X (1)	
	1 mark for decision Max 2 for financial factors Max 2 for non-financial factors	
	Accept other valid points.	

eme	May/Ju	ne 2019
		Marks
		2

Question	Answer	Marks
6(a)	Allows targets to be set (1)	2
	Helps to plan / control the use of resources (1)	
	Helps with decision-making (1)	
	Enables regular variance analysis (1)	
	Identifies limiting factors (1)	
	Informs all departments of a common goal (1)	
	Improves communication between managers and departments (1)	
	Improves co-ordination between departments (1)	
	Provides clear areas of responsibility (1)	
	Helps to motivate employees (1)	
	Max 2 Accept other valid points.	

Question		Ansv	ver			Marks
6(b)	Workings:					8
	<u>Semi-variable overheads – u</u>	<u>sing high / low method</u>				
	\$7500 – \$4000 3000 units – 1000	= \$3500 = \$1.75 units = 2000 units	5 (1) × 3000 or × 1000	units = units =	\$5250 variable cost \$1750	
	Total cost less variable cost = fixed cost	\$7500 or \$4000 <u>\$5250 \$1750</u> <u>\$2250</u> <b>(1)</b> OF <u>\$2250</u>				
	Semi-variable overheads at a	actual level of activity				
	$1.75 \times 2500$ units = $4375$ variable cost					
	\$2250fixed costTotal semi-variable overhead\$6625(1) OF					
			Flexed budget \$			
	Sales	(25 000 / 1000) <b>or</b> (75 000 / 3000) × 2500 units	62 500	(1)		
	Direct labour	$(5000 / 1000) \times 2500 \text{ units}$ (5000 / 1000) or (15 000 / 3000) × 2500 units	12 500	(1)		
	Direct material	(6000 / 1000) or $(18000 / 3000) \times 2500$ units	15 000	(1)		
	Semi variable overheads Fixed costs Profit	See separate working above	6625 5000 23 375	(3) OF (1) (1) OF		

Question	Answer	Marks	
6(c)	Profit from flexed budget2500 units\$Add favourable variances:23 375(1) OF both profitsSelling price500(1)Direct material500(1)Deduct adverse variances:24 375(1)Direct labour300(1)Semi-variable overheads625(1)Fixed costs200(1)1125Actual profit23 250(1)	6	
6(d)(i)	Direct material favourable variance: Material price could have been reduced (1) due to lower quality / decrease in market price / cheaper supplier. (1) Material usage could be less (1) due to better quality material / less wastage / skilled workforce. (1) 1 mark for each element and 1 mark for development up to max 2		
6(d)(ii)	Direct labour adverse variance: Direct labour may have worked more hours (1) due to poor quality materials / lower skilled workforce. (1) Labour rate could be higher (1) due to more skilled workforce / overtime paid which wasn't budgeted for. (1) 1 mark for each element and 1 mark for development up to max 2	2	

Question	Answer	Marks
6(e)	Yes: It reflects any changes in activity and is therefore more realistic / accurate / reliable (1)	5
	It enables like for like / better comparisons (1)	
	It is easier to identify variances (1)	
	It enables a clearer understanding of the corrective action required (1)	
	No: It can be time consuming (1)	
	It may be complex / need an expert / training to calculate the flexed budget. (1)	
	Managers may become de-motivated [if the target is constantly changing] (1)	
	Managers may resent having to re-calculate budgets on a regular basis (1)	
	Decision (1), Yes (max 2) and No (max 2)	
	Accept other valid points.	