UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level and GCE Advanced Level

www.papacambridge.com MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2011 question paper

for the guidance of teachers

9695 LITERATURE IN ENGLISH

9695/71 Paper 7 (Comment and Appreciation), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2011 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	Q.	er
	GCE AS/A LEVEL – May/June 2011	9695	No.	

Introduction

Cambridge.com The syllabus for this Paper makes clear what candidates will be expected to do, and what example will therefore be looking for: "The questions will test candidates' ability to read literature critically demonstrate by informed discussion and opinion an understanding of the ways in which meaning i expressed through a writer's choice of form, structure and language."

The most important word above is perhaps "informed"; there is no expectation that candidates must bring to the paper any historical background or knowledge, though this may of course occasionally be helpful - what matters is that they demonstrate an understanding of how to approach a piece of previously unseen writing, and of how to respond to it in a piece of formal written criticism. Examiners will expect candidates to be informed about literary styles, conventions and techniques, and of the most common literary and critical terms; such knowledge will not be rewarded for its own sake - no credit will be given for "alliteration-spotting", for example - but where a candidate can demonstrate an awareness of how a text is written, can discuss this by means of the accepted terminology, and at the same time show that s/he has also a properly formulated and justified personal response then credit and reward will certainly be given. There can generally be no "right" or "wrong" answers; what matters is that a candidate supports, justifies and argues a response in such a way that the examiner knows that s/he can see how the meaning is being expressed, and can at the same time express such a knowledge and understanding. It may well be that a candidate will express a view which is different from the examiner's, or indeed different from what is generally assumed to have been the writer's; unless such a view is demonstrably and unarguably wrong it will always be accepted and rewarded according to its own merits.

Assessing the work

In assessing the quality of individual answers, you should keep the following questions firmly in your mind:

- how well does the candidate meet the specific demands of the question?
- how well does the candidate understand the passage/poem, and how far have any difficulties been confronted rather than avoided?
- how sensitive is the candidate to the language, the tone, and the distinctive literary qualities of the writing?
- how aware is the candidate of the narrative perspective or the writer's point of view in the passage/poem?
- how clearly is a genuinely informed personal response to the passage/poem communicated through the candidate's writing?
- how far does the candidate's commentary illuminate the creative processes of the passage/poem?

Drama: candidates should always be given credit for exploring the specifically dramatic and/or theatrical gualities of a passage or scene (though specialist Theatre Studies skills or knowledge are not expected, and may indeed be unhelpful).

Poetry: although little credit should be given for simple or mechanical discussion of poetic form, candidates should always be rewarded for showing an awareness of the aptness and effectiveness of poetic forms and techniques in a given poem.

Prose: where appropriate, candidates should be given credit for showing an awareness of the character of the passage in relation to its genre (fiction, biography, essay, reportage etc.)

		422
Page 3	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus A
	GCE AS/A LEVEL – May/June 2011	9695

Assessment Objectives:

- Ability to respond to texts in the three main forms (Prose, Poetry and Drama) of different and from different cultures;
- acambridge.com Understanding of the ways in which writers' choices of form, structure and language shape • meanings;
- Ability to produce informed independent opinions and judgements on literary texts;
- Ability to communicate clearly the knowledge, understanding and insight appropriate to literary study.

Each answer is marked out of 25, in accordance with the General Marking Criteria below.

Each band is divided into strands corresponding to the Assessment Objectives - Knowledge, Understanding, Personal Response, Communication

0–5 Band 6

- Κ Evidence of some general knowledge of the text, which may be narrative-based and may co errors, rarely relevant to the question and little or no relevant quotation or selection from the te
- www.papaCambridge.com U There may be little or no understanding of form, structure and language; perhaps only a series of points made in response to the question. These will be limited and tend to be restricted to 'plot' and character - the latter treated very much as 'real' people.
- Ρ There may be some signs of personal response, not developed into an argument and not fully supported from the text.
- С Communication will be insecure. Expression may be weak with some breakdown in communication. Structure may be lacking: answers are likely to be partial, undeveloped, narrative commentary in approach, with the assertion of simple points rather than a progressive line of argument.

Band 5 6-9 Work of a basic standard

- Evidence of some limited ability to use knowledge of the text to address the question, with Κ occasional use of supporting references or quotations. There may be some basic errors of interpretation and reading.
- U Evidence of some understanding of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings and effects.
- Ρ Evidence of some personal response, but not fully supported from the text.
- С Expression will be basically clear. There may be the occasional confused passage of writing. However, there will be no sustained loss of communication. There may be a simple structure to the answer with some evidence of an argument, which may lack coherence, with some repetition, assertion and relapse into narrative summary/paraphrase. There may be a tendency to drift from relevant discussion into material of tangential significance.

10–13 Band 4 Solid work

- Κ Evidence of some ability to use knowledge of the text, together with some evidence of ability to use it appropriately in addressing the question.
- U Evidence of clear understanding of some ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings and effects, which may be partial and restricted to the more obvious aspects of the text. There may be some awareness of genre characteristics.
- Ρ Evidence of personal response to the text, with the beginnings of a personal view or interpretation, relevant to the question and supported from the text.
- С Expression will be mostly clear and appropriate, with a clear simple structure to the answer. Argument will be mostly coherent, and assertive in tone. There is likely to be some reliance on paraphrase and narrative summary. Articulates simple ideas with clarity, but there may be some imprecision and clumsiness of expression in dealing with more complex concepts. There may be some occasional obscurity in the presentation of ideas and responses.

Band 3 14–17 Competent work

- Κ Evidence of competence in selecting relevant knowledge of the text to address the question some pertinent use of quotation.
- www.papaCambridge.com U Evidence of sound understanding of some aspects of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings, with some analysis and appreciation of literary methods and effects, and possibly some awareness of literary genres and conventions.
- Ρ Evidence of thoughtful personal response relevant to the question, supported from the text.
- Expression will be clear and generally accurate. Structure will be sound coherently organised С material with occasional insights. Answers will express intelligent, straightforward ideas clearly, though there may be occasional loss of fluency with points not always strongly connected.

Band 2 18–21 **Proficient work**

- Κ Evidence of proficiency in selecting relevant knowledge to address the question, with precise and integrated references to the text and supporting quotation.
- U Evidence of intelligent understanding of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings, with analysis and appreciation of literary methods and effects, and perhaps some appreciation of literary genres and conventions.
- Ρ Evidence of personal response to the text, relevant to the question, supported from the text; some originality of thought, straightforwardly and vigorously articulated, perhaps, rather than penetrating or subtle.
- С Expression will be confident, with some complex ideas and responses expressed with some fluency. Structure will be sound. Literary arguments will be coherent, with progression of ideas through clearly linked paragraphs.

Band 1 **22–25** Very good work do not reserve this band for the very best work you see, but ensure that you put in this band which fulfil the requirements described below; there will always be some answers of a standard higher than the top of the band.

- Κ Evidence of very good ability to select relevant knowledge to address the question with effective use of references and quotation.
- U Evidence of very good understanding of ways in which the writer's choices of structure, form and language shape meanings with sustained analysis and sensitive appreciation of literary methods and effects, including literary genres and conventions.
- Personal response to the text will be perceptive, often freshly personal, fully supported with Ρ guotation, and may show some originality in approach to and treatment of questions.
- С Candidates will express complex literary ideas and arguments with clarity and fluency. Answers will have a coherent structure, with logical progression and effectively linked paragraphs. *Expression* will be accomplished and appropriate.