WWW. Dalla

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level and GCE Advanced Level

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2006 question paper

8670 FRENCH LANGUAGE (AS LEVEL) 9716 FRENCH (A LEVEL)

8670/04, 9716/04 Paper 4 (Texts), maximum raw mark 75

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and students, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

The grade thresholds for various grades are published in the report on the examination for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses.

CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2006 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllaba	
	GCE A/AS LEVEL - OCT/NOV 2006	8670, 9716	

Candidates will write their answers in the foreign language. Examiners will look for a candidate's ability to communicate effectively and will ignore linguistic errors that do not impede communication.

Passage based questions: Examiners should consider the extent to which candidates have been able to identify the significant issues raised in the passage and, where appropriate, have applied these to the text as a whole. The passage is a stimulus passage, to be used as a springboard to give candidates a starting point for their answer. Examiners should allow candidates to use the passage as they choose, and ask themselves how successfully the candidates have manipulated their material and to what extent they have shown depth of awareness and knowledge of the workings of the text under discussion. This is not an exercise in literary criticism: Examiners should reward candidates whose answers show good understanding of how a text works and how an author has conveyed the key issues.

Essay questions: a prime consideration is that candidates show detailed knowledge and understanding of the text.

Extracts from Examiners' Notes

This paper is intended to test candidates' knowledge of a text and their ability to use this knowledge to answer questions in a clear and focused manner. A sophisticated literary approach is not expected (though at the highest levels it is sometimes seen), but great value is placed on evidence of a firsthand response and thoughtful, personal evaluation of what candidates have read. Candidates may have been encouraged to depend closely on prepared notes and quotations: quotation for its own sake is not useful, though it will not be undervalued if used appropriately to illustrate a point in the answer.

Candidates do not tend to show **all** the qualities or faults described in any one markband. Examiners attempt to weigh all these up at every borderline, in order to see whether the work can be considered for the category above. At the lower levels, the answer may mention a few 'facts' but these may be so poorly understood, badly organised and irrelevant that it falls into category 10-11; or there may be just enough sense of understanding and focus for the Examiner to consider the 12-13 band. Again, at a higher level, an answer may be clear, solid and conscientious (perhaps 18-19), without showing quite the control and attention to perceptively chosen detail which would justify 20 or more.

Examiners take a positive and flexible approach and, even when there are obvious flaws in an answer, reward evidence of knowledge and especially any signs of understanding and careful organisation.

		my
Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabu
	GCE A/AS LEVEL - OCT/NOV 2006	8670, 9716

ge 3	Mark Scheme	Syllaba
	GCE A/AS LEVEL - OCT/NOV 2006	8670, 9716
		- A
Marks	Description	
22+	Exceptional work. Excellent ability to organise mater considerable sensitivity to language and to author's in some literary techniques. Really articulate and integrated in this band even if there are still flaws and	ntentions, understanding of Illigent answers should be
20-21	Very good. Close attention to detail of passages, contuse of illustration, good insight when discussing of beyond the immediate material and to show some intentions and of underlying themes.	characters. Ability to look
18-19	Thoroughly solid and relevant work. Candidate do information: can discuss and evaluate material and Good focus on passages. Some limitations of insignapproach and aptly chosen illustrations.	come to clear conclusion.
16-17	Painstaking. Sound knowledge of texts; mainly reanalyse and compare, some sense of understanding of material; solid but indiscriminate. Many very conscitutis category: they tend to write far too much as they anything they have learnt. Focused, coherent essa detail but convey a good understanding of the text shot this band.	Possibly not in full control ientious candidates fall into y are reluctant to leave out ays which lack really solid
14-15	Fair relevance and knowledge. Better organised the candidate probably understands the demands of the control of	question without being able y simple, black and white etter control and focus than
12-13	Sound, if simple and superficial, knowledge of ploassertions without being able to illustrate or develop dependent on narrative and memorised oddments be attempt to relate these to the question. Can extract from a set passage.	p points. Probably still too out there may be a visible
10-11	Some very basic material but not much sense of answer question. The candidate rarely reads the set springboard for storytelling and memorised bits and Very general, unspecific approach. Random, be organisation and relevance should be looked for in considered for the next category.	t passage but uses it as a d pieces about characters. pitty structure. Signs of
6-9	Marginally more knowledge here. The candidate may probably unable to see beyond the barest bones of the notes. Insubstantial; very little relevance. The candidathe language and will be unable to express ideas compared to the language and will be unable to express ideas.	ne plot or half-remembered te may have problems with
0-5	No discernible material. Often very inadequate languare awarded almost on the basis of quantity: up to showing a glimpse of knowledge, 4 or 5 where there is the question. It is possible for a candidate to write a no knowledge at all (have they read the book? background facts or very vague general remarks uquestion.	o 3 for a sentence or two s also a hint of relevance to whole page demonstrating), or only misunderstood