UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level and GCE Advanced Level

## www.papacambridge.com MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2007 question paper

## **9716 FRENCH** 8682 FRENCH LANGUAGE

9716/03 and 8682/03 Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2007 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level svllabuses.

		they want
Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus 🔗 e
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – October/November 2007	9716/8682

Page 2	Mark Schen GCE A/AS LEVEL – Octobe		Syllabus 700 er 9716/8682 900 er
			aCan
Language (out of 24)		Content (out of 16)	1
21–24 Very good		14–16 Very good	
Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom.		Syllabus er   er/November 2007 9716/8682   Content (out of 16)   14–16 Very good   Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured.	
16–20 Good		11–13 Good	
Generally sound grasp of grammar in spite of quite a few lapses; reads reasonably; some attempt at varied vocabulary.		Sound knowledge and generally relevant; some ability to develop argument and draw conclusions.	
10–15 Adequate		7–10 Adequate	
A tendency to be simple, clumsy or laboured; some degree of accuracy; inappropriate use of idiom.		Some knowledge, but not always relevant; a more limited capacity to argue.	
5–9 Poor		3–6 Poor	
Consistently simple or pedestrian sentence patterns with persistent errors; limited vocabulary.		or unspecific; little	ument, tends to be sketchy attempt to structure an understanding of question.
1–4 Very poor		1–2 Very poor	
Only the simplest sentence patterns, little evidence of grammatical awareness, very limited vocabulary.		Vague and general, i	ideas presented at random.