GERMAN LANGUAGE

Paper 8683/01 Speaking

Key messages

- The Speaking Test begins with an uninterrupted presentation from the candidate. This must clearly
 relate to the culture or society of a German-speaking country, whilst also reflecting the candidate's
 personal interests.
- Presentations should last for around three minutes, up to a maximum of four minutes; no questions should be included in the content of presentations, unless they are rhetorical.
- Candidates should ask the Examiner at least two questions in both the topic conversation and the general conversation, ideally spontaneously; the Examiner should prompt them to do so if necessary; no marks may be awarded for Seeking Information if no questions are asked.
- The two conversations should be of approximately equal length, at around eight minutes each, and the whole test should be completed within twenty minutes.

General comments

Presentation topics mostly referred to issues in a German speaking society, as required by the syllabus, and many were interesting and informative. On the other hand, some presentations referred only briefly to Germany, Austria or Switzerland, which was not sufficient to achieve a high mark for Content. Some specific detail is expected, with ideas and opinions, as well as factual points. A number of centres had not ensured that their candidates' presentations mentioned a German speaking country at all, and marks for Content should have been lower to reflect this. Please leave any questions that may arise until the Topic Conversation, as candidates must deliver their presentations uninterrupted and for at least three minutes.

It was evident that not all candidates are aware that they must ask the Examiner a minimum of two questions per conversation. Nor are all Examiners aware that they should prompt them to do so if necessary. It was sometimes the case that candidates did not ask any questions spontaneously, and if they were not prompted to do so by the Examiner, they were unable to access the marks available for Seeking Information. Some Examiners did prompt their candidates but only at the very end of a conversation: questions should be integrated and arise naturally, during the discussion. There were Examiners who awarded marks for Seeking Information even though no questions had been asked.

Candidates were mostly very responsive and nearly all were spontaneous. If candidates rely mainly on prepared material, they should be placed no higher than in the "Satisfactory" box for Comprehension and Responsiveness. Apart from some incorrectly awarded marks for Seeking Information, most centres used the mark scheme correctly and accurately. Some marks for the Content of the Presentation were pitched slightly too high, but the criteria for marking the linguistic categories were usually interpreted correctly. Some centres allowed the tests to last too long: twenty minutes should be the maximum duration of a test. Recording quality was usually good, but at some centres either the Examiner or more usually the candidate was less audible, owing to incorrect placement or use of the recording equipment.

Specific comments on the sections of the examination

Section 1 (Presentation)

- If the presentation contains ideas and opinions, refers in reasonable detail to the culture or society of a German-speaking country, and is delivered in a fluent and confident fashion, nine or ten marks may be awarded for content.
- If there are only brief references to a German-speaking country a lower mark for content should be considered.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

© 2023

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary Level 8683 German June 2023 Principal Examiner Report for Teachers

- Presentations that are far too long, even if confidently delivered, should not receive nine or ten marks for content, as they cannot be considered to have been "well organised", as in the mark scheme.
- For a mark of five for pronunciation a candidate does not have to be a native speaker.
- A well-prepared candidate should be able to access at least 4 marks for Language. What is required is a "reasonable range" of structures and (topic-specific) vocabulary, delivered "fairly fluently", and without ambiguity of meaning;
- There was again a wide range of up-to-date and relevant presentation topics including:

Das deutsche Schienennetz, die Firma Audi, die Schweizer Armee, Gewalt in Familien, Veganismus, deutsche Philosophie, Skitourismus, gewaltfreie Protestaktionen, deutsche Kultur, das Burnout-Syndrom, Fußball-Nachwuchsleistungszentren, Kulturunerschiede zwischen Deutschland und dem Heimatland.

Section 2 (Topic Conversation)

- In this conversation issues raised in the presentation should be followed up and discussed.
- Candidates should be able to defend any ideas and opinions already expressed and ought also to have prepared plenty of additional points; however, Examiners should not expect them to know any specific factual information over and above what has been presented.
- Any issues more suitable for the General Conversation should be raised later in **Section 3**, provided that the main issues of the Topic Conversation are not returned to.
- The questions a candidate puts to the Examiner to "seek information" should be as varied as possible. "Was denken Sie?" or "Sind Sie der gleichen Meinung?" are useful questions to move the conversation along, but a wider range is expected for marks of four or five.
- If a candidate asks only one question during a conversation the maximum mark for Seeking Information is three; if no questions are asked, even after prompting, the mark should be zero.
- A maximum mark of three should be awarded for Providing Information if the candidate can deal with basic situations and concepts but finds more complex ones difficult.

Section 3 (General Conversation)

- This section should be distinct from **Section 2**. It should not be shorter, but of a similar length to the Topic Conversation at around eight minutes.
- The Examiner should clearly inform the candidate that the Topic Conversation is over and should introduce a completely different topic for the General Conversation. At least two different topics should be covered in this section.
- Examiners should ensure that two topics are covered in reasonable depth, and they should therefore not put a series of further questions requiring relatively short responses until the two main topics have been thoroughly discussed.
- It is essential to cover mainly complex issues in order to allow candidates to access the higher marks available for Comprehension and Responsiveness or Providing Information and Opinions.
- Questions, such as Warum? or Inwiefern? are particularly useful in prompting in depth discussion.
- It should not be expected that candidates will know specific information on a topic chosen by the Examiner. If a candidate is clearly unhappy with any topic suggested, the Examiner should quickly suggest a different area of discussion.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

GERMAN LANGUAGE

Paper 8683/02 Reading & Writing

Key messages

In this paper, candidates read two texts with a common theme (Girls in Skateboarding).

They must then answer vocabulary questions for Question 1 and grammar questions for Question 2.

In **Questions 3** and **4**, candidates answer comprehension questions about the two texts. In **Question 5**, candidates are asked to summarize the two texts with reference to **(a)** what shows the success of girls in skateboarding and **(b)** what problems still exist today. They then briefly give their own opinion on the topic.

General comments

The majority of candidates coped well with the demands of this exam and showed a good understanding of the two texts as demonstrated by the answers to **Questions 3 – 5**. Some candidates wrote confidently using their own words, but others restricted themselves to copying large chunks of the original text without attempting to rephrase ideas and opinions. This could not be credited. **Questions 1** and **2** also presented a difficulty for candidates who did not have a sufficient command of vocabulary and grammar for this level and who simply guessed the answers.

In **Question 5**, candidates should be reminded to keep the summary task in mind and not to just rephrase both texts without reference to the task. Simply copying sentences from the text does not gain marks as it does not demonstrate summary skills.

Comments on specific questions

Part 1

Question 1

- (a) Some candidates struggled with this question as they did not understand the original word they were given and so were unable to find a synonym in the text. Spelling was also problematic as some candidates made mistakes when copying the word from the original text.
- **(b)** The majority of candidates answered this question correctly.
- (c) The majority of candidates answered this question correctly.
- (d) Many candidates answered this question correctly.
- **(e)** Many candidates answered this question correctly.

Question 2

- (a) A significant number of candidates struggled with word order in this question and were unable to construct the sentence correctly.
- **(b)** Some candidates coped well with this question and answered correctly. However some candidates struggled with the correct spelling of *auszutauschen* (one word).

Cambridge Assessment International Education

© 2023

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary Level 8683 German June 2023 Principal Examiner Report for Teachers

- (c) Many candidates answered this question correctly but some candidates did not capitalise the letter 'U' to indicate a noun.
- (d) This question was usually answered correctly but some candidates struggled with the correct case ending.
- (e) Many candidates answered this question correctly and used the correct sentence structure.

Question 3

- (a) This question presented difficulties for some candidates. They did not give enough detail for some elements of the answer (e.g. shoes in <u>smaller sizes</u>).
- (b) Many candidates answered this question correctly and were awarded full marks. However, some candidates did not give enough detail (e.g. Olympic sport <u>for both men and women</u>).
- (c) This question presented no difficulty for the majority of candidates and they were awarded at least two out of three marks.
- (d) This question was usually answered correctly. However, some candidates only gave two pieces of the required information.
- (e) The question presented no difficulty, and many candidates referred to three required pieces of information. Again, a lack of detail meant that some candidates were not awarded full marks (e.g. trains with dad who does not skate himself).

Part 2

Question 4

- (a) Many candidates were able to identify the three necessary points for the answer.
- (b) Many candidates coped well with this question and mentioned at least two out of the three required details.
- (c) The majority of candidates gained at least two out of three marks and were able to describe some reasons behind the fact that there are not many girls in skateboarding.
- (d) Most candidates identified two out of three details but some left out the fact that prize money is different for men and women.
- (e) The majority of candidates coped well with this final question and were able to score at least two out of three points.

Question 5

The majority of candidates coped well with this task and were able to identify many successes of girl's skateboarding (e.g. now an Olympic sport, growing number of girls taking up the sport) and problems that still exist today (e.g. less prize money for women, not enough funding). However, at times the poor quality of language made it difficult to understand some summaries.

Candidates should be reminded to adhere to the word limit – any points after the 150-word cut-off will not be credited. The aim of this question is to produce a concise summary. Candidates should be discouraged from copying sentences exactly from the text. Instead, they should summarise points briefly and succinctly.

In **Question 5b**, the majority of candidates were able to give a well-founded opinion on the topic and supported their opinion with valid reasons, often drawing on their own experience. Very few candidates restricted themselves to writing short general statements without giving personal opinion. This is to be discouraged as it does not demonstrate that candidates have understood and engaged with the text.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

© 2023

GERMAN LANGUAGE

Paper 8683/03 Essay

Key messages

In order to perform well in this paper, candidates should:

- select a title that they feel most confident about answering;
- write a response that is clearly relevant, well informed, supported with examples and coherently structured;
- use accurate German at an advanced level, demonstrating a good use of idiom and appropriate topicspecific vocabulary;
- use sentence structures that show complexity but that are still easy to follow.

General comments

Most essays were coherently argued. They were well structured with a suitable introduction and conclusion and were the appropriate length. The strongest essays showed maturity and insight, and opinions were supported with well-chosen evidence.

Many candidates had an excellent command of German, and so achieved language marks in the Very Good category. Most showed an impressive range of vocabulary, both general and topic specific. Their language was almost always fluent, idiomatic and read well. Occasionally, it lacked precision, however.

Common errors included:

- lack of punctuation;
- lack of capitalisation of nouns;
- errors in using the dative plural;
- incorrect, phonetic spelling.

Comments on specific questions

Question 1

Es ist die romantischste Vorstellung der Welt, alles mit einem anderen Menschen zu teilen. Deshalb sollte man definitiv heiraten. Stimmen Sie dieser Aussage zu?

There were several possible lines of argument in this essay and some candidates chose to focus on traditional, religious or family values, while others argued that times are changing and so too is the family make-up. Fewer candidates looked at the legal aspects of living together, but those who did were able to do so very effectively.

Question 2

Bei kleineren Verbrechen sollten Täter gemeinnützige Arbeit leisten, anstatt ins Gefängnis zu gehen. Davon würden alle viel mehr profitieren. Teilen Sie diese Meinung?

This question allowed for a variety of responses. Many candidates agreed with the view given and indicated that prison sentences might better serve those who have committed more serious offences. Some candidates who answered the question well took a variety of lines, arguing what might constitute a minor offence and discussing whether this might depend on the reader's standpoint. The word 'alle' in the second sentence would have been worth considering more, as it implies society in general and governments might also benefit from these changes, a point only stronger candidates addressed.

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Cambridge International Advanced Subsidiary Level 8683 German June 2023 Principal Examiner Report for Teachers

Question 3

'Leute, die im Ausland alles wie zu Hause haben wollen, nerven mich. Wenn ich in ein fremdes Land fahre, will ich völlig in die Kultur dort eintauchen.' Renate, 30 Jahre alt Was halten Sie von Renates Aussage?

Candidates who answered the question very well generally looked at the cultural advantages of travelling, the breadth of languages used and the benefits in so many different ways of experiencing and tasting differing cultures. Other candidates were able to look at how not travelling can prevent people from gaining a greater understanding of the world and more effective sympathy for those of other cultures.

Question 4

Elektroautos sind die Zukunft. Damit viel mehr Menschen sie kaufen, sollte uns die Regierung finanzielle Anreize geben. Was halten Sie von dieser Idee?

Some candidates agreed completely and were therefore not able to challenge some more critical aspects of the topic. The strongest answers looked at the issues facing production and use of electric cars, such as the damage being done in Bolivia through lithium mining, and how to dispose of spent batteries. Candidates who received high marks tended to offer opinions both in favour of and against this perspective.

Question 5

Die Industrie beeinflusst die Politiker zu oft in Bezug auf Umweltfragen. Muss sich hier etwas ändern, um die Umwelt langfristig zu schützen? Was meinen Sie?

Candidates who did well on this question displayed impressive subject knowledge. The opening sentence had to be addressed and therefore some knowledge of industry was required. Candidates generally showed an impressive awareness of environmental issues, a breadth of related vocabulary and an ability to offer a wide range of prospective solutions.

