

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2015 series

8275 GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES (US)

8275/02

Paper 2 (Essay), maximum raw mark 30

www.papacambridge.com

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2015 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
	Cambridge International AS Level – May/June 2015	8275	02

AO1: 10% (3) AO2: 40% (12) AO3: 33% (10) AO4: 17% (5)

- www.PapaCambridge.com The assessment objectives (AOs) are to some extent inter-dependent and the essays should be marked holistically using the level-based man • scheme below.
- Examiners should look at each section of the level descriptors. If all are solidly attained for a level, the top mark for the level is to be awarded. • Descriptors describe the top mark of each level.
- There will frequently be some aspects of the answer which fall within a level and some within the level below. Examiners should award a lower • mark in the higher band according to this balance. All marking will be positive. Examiners will use the full range of marks and look for the 'best fit', not a 'perfect fit'.
- There is no requirement for candidates to use technical Critical Thinking terms to access any level and candidates will not be rewarded for • their use unless they are directly linked to the demands of the question.
- Essays should be between 1750 and 2000 words, excluding the list of reference. Examiners will not credit material after the 2000 word limit. •

Level	Marks	Indicative content			
4	24–30	The essay is logically structured and explores the issues effectively , fully and concisely without being too brief. A range of relevant sources is used, cited and fully referenced.			
		There are at least two relevant and contrasting global perspectives stated and explained, using globally contrasting sources.			
		There is effective selection and critical use of relevant evidence so that the relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context is clear .			
		The argument is fully developed with the premises challenged appropriately. The perspectives and sources are critically evaluated .			
		The essay considers the implications and consequences of each perspective and, through reflection, reaches a convincing, balanced and supported conclusion.			
		The limitations of the evidence are fully recognised and the need for further research is suggested and its likely impact is assessed .			

		Page 3	Mark Scheme Cambridge International AS Level – May/June 2015	Syllabus 8275	Paper 02	. Day	
_evel	Marks		Indicative content			Can	
3	16–23	Page 3 Mark Scheme Syllabus Paper Cambridge International AS Level – May/June 2015 8275 02 s Indicative content 3 The essay is well structured and explores the issues effectively though the clarity of expression may be uneven. A range of relevant sources is used, cited and referenced. There are at least two relevant global perspectives, but they may not be contrasting or fully explained.					
	There are at least two relevant global perspectives, but they may not be contrasting or fully explained.						
		There is some attempt to select and make some critical use of relevant evidence although the relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context is not always clear.					
			es and sources are evaluated in the global context, but the treatmer some of the premises challenged.	nt lacks width o	or depth. An	argument is	
The essay considers some of the implications and consequences of each perspective and through reflection, re conclusion which is mostly convincing, balanced and supported .				, reaches a			
		Some of the lin lack assessme	nitations of the evidence are recognised and the need for further resent.	search is sugge	ested but its	likely impact may	
2	8–15	Some of the issues are explored in the essay and there is some structure, but it may lack clarity of expression at time range of relevant sources used is limited and some are cited and accurately referenced.					
		Two perspectiv	res are stated, though not necessarily global or contrasting, and not	explained.			
			attempt to select and make some critical use of relevant evidence a relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context. d depth.				
		Any argument lacks sufficient development.					
		The essay considers some of the implications and consequences of some perspectives and through some refl a conclusion which may be unconvincing due to a lack of balance or support .					
		Some of the lin	nitations of the evidence are recognised, but the need for further res	search may be	understate	d If present	

		Page 4	Mark Scheme Cambridge International AS Level – May/June 2015	Syllabus Paper 8275 02 Cture. The sources used provide a very ry viewpoints.	
Level	Marks		Indicative content	SENS SE SCAME	
1	1–7	7 Issues are mainly given a descriptive treatment and the essay may be lacking in structure. The sources used provide a very narrow perspective and the referencing is incomplete or inaccurate .			
	Any perspectives described lack a genuine global focus or do not offer complementary viewpoints. The relationship between sources, perspectives and the wider context is unclear or absent . There is little critical use of relevant evidence to communicate the argument.				
The argument lacks validity, given the evidence or is not developed sufficiently. There is limited scope to evaluate the perspectives and sources due to a lack of evidence. The essay does not consider the implications and consequences perspective.				•	
	The essay lacks evidence of reflection and any conclusion may be unconvincing, uneven and lack supporting evidence				
		The limitations of the evidence are not recognised and the need for further research is not suggested.			
0	0	No creditworthy material has been submitted.			

Ν.