WWW. Papac

## UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level and GCE Advanced Level

## MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2009 question paper for the guidance of teachers

## 9718 PORTUGUESE 8684 PORTUGUESE LANGUAGE

9718/03 and 8684/03

Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2009 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

		my.
Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus er
	GCE A/AS LEVEL – May/June 2009	9718/8684

Page 2		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version			yllabus	er
		GCE A/AS LEVEL – Ma	ay/June	2009 97	18/8684	2
						dh
21–24	Very good		14–16	Very good		
Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom			Very good  Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured.			
16–20	Good		11–13	Good		
	spite of	sound grasp of grammar in quite a few lapses; reads y; some attempt at varied y.		Sound knowled relevant, some argument and drav	ability to de	erally evelop
10–15	Adequate		7–10	Adequate		
	laboured;	cy to be simple, clumsy or some degree of accuracy; ate use of idiom.		Some knowledgerelevant, a more argue.		-
5–9	Poor		3–6	Poor		
		tly simple or pedestrian patterns with persistent errors; cabulary.		Some attempt at a sketchy or unspestructure an misunderstanding	ecific; little atten argument;	
1–4	Very poor		1–2	Very poor		
	little e	simplest sentence patterns, vidence of grammatical s, very limited vocabulary.		Vague and general random.	al, ideas presen	ted at