UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS **GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level**

www.papacambridge.com MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2011 question paper

for the guidance of teachers

8684 PORTUGUESE LANGUAGE

8684/03

Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2011 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

		2.	N
Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus Syllabus	er
	GCE AS LEVEL – May/June 2011	8684	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	× *	0

					Syllabus 8684 tent (out of 16) early relevant and well oherently argued and	
Pa	ige 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version GCE AS LEVEL – May/June 2011			Syllabus Syllabus	
				011	8684 23	
					Talk.	
Language (out of 24)			Content (out of 16)			
21–24	Very good		14–16 Very good			
	Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom.			Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured.		
16–20	Good		11–13	Good		
	Generally sound grasp of grammar in spite of quite a few lapses; reads reasonably; some attempt at varied vocabulary.			relevant, so	vledge and generally me ability to develop nd draw conclusions.	
10–15	Adequat	e	7–10	Adequate		
	laboured	cy to be simple, clumsy or ; some degree of accuracy; riate use of idiom.			ledge, but not always nore limited capacity to	
5–9	Poor		3–6	Poor		
	Consistently simple or pedestrian sentence patterns with persistent errors; limited vocabulary.			sketchy or u structure an	pt at argument, tends to be inspecific; little attempt to argument; major inding of question.	
1–4	Very poo	or	1–2	Very poor		
	evidence	simplest sentence patterns, little of grammatical awareness, ed vocabulary.		Vague and g random.	general, ideas presented at	