UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS GCE Advanced Level

## www.papacambridge.com MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2012 question paper

## for the guidance of teachers

## 9718 PORTUGUESE

9718/03

Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2012 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

|        |                                | 2.                |
|--------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Page 2 | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version | Syllabus Syllabus |
|        | GCE A LEVEL – May/June 2012    | 9718 20           |
|        | ·                              |                   |

|  |  |  |                     |  | Syllabus<br>9718<br>tent (out of 16)<br>early relevant and well<br>oherently argued and                |
|--|--|--|---------------------|--|--|
| Pa   | ige 2  | Mark Scheme: Teachers' version<br>GCE A LEVEL – May/June 2012                      |                     |  | Syllabus er  |
|  |  |  |                     | 9718 23  |  |
|  |  |  |                     |  | 22   |
| Language (out of 24)   |  |  | Content (out of 16) |  |  |
| 21–24  | Very good  |  | 14–16 Very good     |  |  |
| Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom. |  | Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured. |                     |  |  |
| 16–20  | Good   |  | 11–13               | Good   |  |
|  | Generally sound grasp of grammar in<br>spite of quite a few lapses; reads<br>reasonably; some attempt at varied<br>vocabulary. |  |                     | Sound knowledge and generally relevant, some ability to develop argument and draw conclusions. |  |
| 10–15  | Adequate   |  | 7–10                | Adequate   |  |
|  | laboured   | cy to be simple, clumsy or<br>; some degree of accuracy;<br>riate use of idiom.    |                     |  | ledge, but not always<br>nore limited capacity to  |
| 5–9  | Poor   |  | 3–6                 | Poor   |  |
|  | Consistently simple or pedestrian sentence patterns with persistent errors; limited vocabulary.                                |  |                     | sketchy or u structure an  | pt at argument, tends to be<br>inspecific; little attempt to<br>argument; major<br>anding of question. |
| 0–4  | Very poo   | or   | 0–2                 | Very poor  |  |
|  | evidence   | simplest sentence patterns, little<br>of grammatical awareness,<br>ed vocabulary.  |                     | Vague and g<br>random.   | general, ideas presented at  |