

SPANISH

Paper 8665/02
Reading and Writing

General comments

The paper offered an appropriate challenge. It covered a topic area which most candidates were clearly able to relate to, differentiated well and produced a wide spread of achievement.

Candidates appeared to be familiar with the format of the examination and the demands that this would make upon them. Time management did not seem to be a problem, although there were occasional omissions of some parts of questions, either through lapses of concentration, by better candidates, or intentionally, by less able candidates.

It would be of great assistance to Examiners if Centres could ensure that their candidates are encouraged to write as legibly as possible, use lined paper, not use pencil(!) and secure the pages of their script to one another.

Comments on specific questions

Sección Primera

Question 1

This question was generally tackled well with many candidates scoring 4 or 5 marks. The major difficulty encountered in this sort of exercise lies not so much in identifying the sentence containing the targeted phrase but in selecting the **exact** equivalent needed. Good and less able candidates alike were often guilty of writing more, or less, than was required and frequently invalidating their answers.

- (a) This was answered well although *concentrémonos* was not an uncommon careless error.
- (b) Also answered well. Inclusion of *hace tiempo que* did not invalidate.
- (c) The mark was not awarded if all or part of *a la hora de* was omitted.
- (d) Many failed to score by omitting *de ahí que*.
- (e) Probably the most successfully answered of the five.

Question 2

This was quite a testing set of transformation exercises and only the very best candidates managed to score full marks. Candidates should be reminded that **all** elements of the phrase must be contained in their answer. Nevertheless many ingenious and perfectly valid permutations were devised, especially for **Questions (a) to (c)**.

- (d) Quite a few candidates didn't score when they failed to convey the concept of *veranea* and instead used something relating to *verano*.
- (e) This proved very accessible, with the vast majority of candidates successfully using *a/* plus the infinitive.

Question 3

The text and the questions asked about it gave opportunities for candidates of all levels of ability appropriately. Many high scores were recorded.

On the negative side, there was a slight tendency for some candidates, despite being directed to a particular paragraph to find their answers, to introduce extraneous material from other paragraphs which could not be credited.

- (a) Candidates who confined their attention to the first paragraph generally scored well.
- (b) Another fairly accessible question which was generally answered well. Failings included the 'lifting' of *las disfruta de una sola vez*, confusion between *duración* and *destino* and misreading the decimal notation to give *dos a seis semanas*.
- (c) This question generated many interesting answers. Whereas virtually every candidate understood the concept of *Pepe Español* not so many were capable of explaining it in their own words. A fairly common omission was that *Pepe* usually visits *un sitio donde ha estado antes*.
- (d) This question provided the opportunity for really good candidates to display their understanding of the text and show off their linguistic skills. The word *retribuidas* was a difficult discriminator, with only the very best interpreting it successfully. Nearly every candidate was able to come with a valid explanation of *la mejora del transporte*.
- (e) Many candidates focused on either the destination or the duration of holidays and frequently were unable to offer an explanation without 'lifting' too many words from the text.

Sección Segunda

Question 4

At first glance the text for this exercise appeared fairly straightforward, containing mostly everyday speech and a topic which all candidates could relate to. There were, however, a few pitfalls.

- (a) Candidates who recognised the three key words had no difficulty in offering suitable explanations. Even if only *ruido* was familiar, candidates were still able to score marks by deducing that a surge in touristic development was being described.
- (b) This was answered well, with the only weakness being a tendency for some candidates to 'lift' *con actividades variadas para los críos*.
- (c) Again there were many good answers, and again there was some 'lifting'. The phrases *hacer amistades nuevas* and *todo se comparte* should not have presented too much of a challenge to re-word.
- (d) This proved to be quite a demanding question and only the better candidates picked up on both elements: 'the kids love it so much there' and 'they force the parents to stay longer/return'.
- (e) With a range of 'differences' to choose from, this question gave plenty of scope to candidates of all abilities. Surprisingly, not so very many scored full marks and, equally surprisingly, despite instructions to disregard this aspect, many offered the type of accommodation as one of the 'differences'.

Question 5

- (a) Apart from a small minority who failed to mention *Pepe* in their comparison the summary was generally done well. The task had possibilities for candidates of all ability levels. Some marks were lost when candidates merely listed characteristics of the families without saying how they were similar or differed.
- (b) The personal response generated many interesting opinions about the significance of holidays, and the reasons for this, in the candidates' countries.

The majority of candidates seemed to be fully aware that they would be penalised if they exceeded the word limit. Most candidates used the correct number of words for these two questions, and kept within the limit.

SPANISH

Paper 8665/04

Texts

General comments

All the questions set were answered and the paper appeared to be at the same level of difficulty as in previous sessions.

The performance of candidates was similar to that of previous sessions although there seemed to be fewer outstanding answers and also fewer very weak scripts. Examiners would like to draw Centres' attention to the following points which played a significant role in determining the mark awarded for an answer:

- poor, sometimes virtually illegible, handwriting;
- inadequate knowledge of the text;
- insufficiently detailed references to the text in the answer, for example failing to mention specific events or characters from the text to illustrate a point. It is not enough to have an idea of the background or context of a text, for example, background information about the Spanish Civil War or the coup against Allende in Chile. The text itself must be used to substantiate statements made;
- not answering the question set.

Comments on specific questions

Question 1 – Pérez Galdós: *Doña Perfecta*

Both questions were equally accessible. Option **(a)** was more popular, and many candidates did not answer part **(iii)** fully, by not investigating the importance of Perfecta's role in *el culto* in sufficient detail with references to incidents beyond the extract quoted. Option **(b)** gave much scope for analysing both María Remedios' and Perfecta's role as mothers and the consequences of their actions.

Question 2 – Isabel Allende: *La casa de los espíritus*

This was a very popular text. In answering option **(a)**, candidates occasionally drifted off the point in giving too much generalised information about the Allende coup in Chile and, as in **Question 1**, part **(iii)** was often not answered in enough detail. The best responses discussed how the senator's jubilation soon turned sour as he found that his position was diminished and he experienced the extreme violence of the new government at first hand in the torture and imprisonment of Alba. He also lost Blanca and his son was murdered. The irony of the situation was that one of his few good deeds in the past, in helping Tránsito Soto, the prostitute, was returned, as she was the only person who could help him locate Alba. In answers to **(b)**, candidates showed considerable preparation of the role of women as revealed in the text. It is important to ensure that the material is focused on the question set, however, and to avoid writing a general essay.

Question 3 – Mario Vargas Llosa: *Los jefes/Los cachorros*

There were good answers to both options. In **(a)**, some candidates were able to give a spirited and detailed account of the effectiveness of Vargas Llosa's narrative technique. Part **(iii)** sometimes tended to be too vague with insufficient focus on the story itself, rather individual musings on the theme 'what if it happened to me'. Since candidates were only asked to analyse the story from which the extract was given, a considerable level of detail was expected. In **(b)**, a variety of stories were chosen and candidates were generally in agreement with the statement given, although a few found the author to be more optimistic.

Question 4 – Fernando Fernán-Gómez: *Las bicicletas son para el verano*

Both options attracted a number of answers. In **(a)**, a significant number of candidates did not focus on the text itself, rather giving general opinions on the privations of war and how people manage to survive. They also stated that the family was closer at the end of the war but did not give any examples from the text to illustrate this. Option **(b)** asked whether the text was pacifist or not – it was surprising to note that a number of those who chose this question did not really understand the term, but still managed to present a reasonable argument by showing how the play focuses on the experiences of war for ordinary people and by implication is critical of the effects of conflict in such a situation.

Question 5 – García Márquez: *Crónica de una muerte anunciada*

These were popular questions. Candidates seemed to know the text well but sometimes tended to present pre-learned material which did not quite fit the question set. In **(a)**, candidates were asked to consider the view that this text presents violence and the consequences of such behaviour. The particular aspect of the consequences was often left out or given insufficient attention as candidates gave gory details of the murder. In **(b)**, Examiners expected to see both parts of the question addressed as candidates considered the roles of both men and women in this society, not just women.

Question 6 – Calderón de la Barca: *La vida es sueño*

Option **(a)** was by far the most popular and was generally well answered. Candidates were able to give plenty of examples of *obrar bien* and contrasted these with *obrar mal*. The best answers considered other characters besides Segismundo, for example Basilio and Clotaldo. Option **(b)** attracted some thoughtful responses.

Question 7 – García Lorca: *Bodas de sangre*

Many candidates chose these questions. In **(a)**, there was much scope for discussing the role of the horse in the play and Examiners saw some detailed and sensitive analyses of the *nana*. Interestingly, a number of candidates did not seem to have encountered the idea of a symbol, but still managed to produce a valid response. The best answers to **(b)** showed thorough knowledge of the text and a sensitive reading, in the ability to consider the actions of the *Madre* and the *Padre* as well as the more obvious characters in response to the question.

Question 8 – Antonio Machado: *Campos de Castilla*

There were a relatively small number of answers on this text. Some were good, but many did not give a detailed analysis of the poems even though they showed understanding of the main themes. This applies to both **(a)** and **(b)**. It is essential that candidates are able and willing to give very thorough commentaries on specific poems if they choose to tackle this text. As they are permitted to take the text into the examination with them, there is no need to learn quotations. Part of the skill which Examiners expect to see in responses to poetry is that of critical analysis with understanding of poetic technique, use of language etc, as well as themes.

Concluding remarks

Although it may appear to be stating the obvious, candidates must be thoroughly prepared for this examination by detailed reading of the texts and practice in examination type questions. It is essential to respond to all parts of the question, as set, and not be tempted to include pre-learned material which is not correctly focused. Most candidates are aware of the requirements of answering three questions as there are fewer rubric infringements and time management is generally satisfactory as the vast majority seem to be able to complete the paper in the time allocated.