WWW. Palls

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS GCE Advanced Subsidiary Level

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2009 question paper for the guidance of teachers

8685 SPANISH LANGUAGE

8685/31

Paper 31 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2009 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus
-	GCE AS LEVEL – October/November 2009	8685

COMPONENT 3: Essay

200 2	Mark Scheme: Teacher	re' vore	ion	Syllabus	2.0
Page 2 Mark Scheme: Teacher GCE AS LEVEL – October/N				8685	80.
ONENT	3: Essay				and well
Language (out of 24)		Content (out of 16)			
21-24 Very good		14-16	6 Very good		
	Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom.			early relevant coherently arg	and well jued and
16-20	16-20 Good		Good		
Generally sound grasp of grammar in spite of quite a few lapses; reads reasonably; some attempt at varied vocabulary.			Sound knowledge and generally relevant; some ability to develop argument and draw conclusions.		
10-15	Adequate	7-10	Adequate		
	A tendency to be simple, clumsy or laboured; some degree of accuracy; inappropriate use of idiom.			rledge, but no more limited	
5-9	Poor		Poor		
Consistently simple or pedestrian sentence patterns with persistent errors; limited vocabulary.			Some attempt at argument, tends to be sketchy or unspecific; little attempt to structure an argument; major misunderstanding of question.		
1-4	Very poor	1-2	Very poor		
	Only the simplest sentence patterns, little evidence of grammatical awareness, very limited vocabulary.		Vague ar presented a		ideas