WWW. Papar

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS GCE Advanced Level

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2012 question paper for the guidance of teachers

9719 SPANISH

9719/32

Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• Cambridge will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2012 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	er
	GCE A LEVEL – May/June 2012	9719	100

COMPONENT 3: Essay

Page 2		Mark Scheme: Teachers' version		on Sylla	ibus er
	GCE A LEVEL – May/J		June 2012 9719		19
СОМРО	ONENT 3:	Essay			dindi
Language (out of 24)			Content (out of 16)		
21–24 Very good Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom.		14–16	Content (out of 16) Content (out of 16) Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured.		
16–20	spite of c	y sound grasp of grammar in quite a few lapses; reads bly; some attempt at varied ary.	11–13	3 Good Sound knowledge and generally relevant; some ability to develop argument and draw conclusions.	
A tendency to be simple, clumsy or laboured; some degree of accuracy; inappropriate use of idiom.		7–10	Adequate Some knowledge, but not always relevant; a more limited capacity to argue.		
5–9	sentence	ntly simple or pedestrian e patterns with persistent errors; ocabulary.	3–6	Poor Some attempt at argument, tends to be sketchy or unspecific; little attempt to structure an argument; major misunderstanding of question.	
0–4	little evid	or simplest sentence patterns, ence of grammatical ss, very limited vocabulary.	0–2	Very poor Vague and general, random.	ideas presented at