## **CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS**

**GCE Advanced Level** 

## MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2012 series

## 9719 SPANISH

9719/31

Paper 3 (Essay), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2012 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.

www.PapaCambridge.com

| Page 2 | Mark Scheme                         | Syllabus | · Per |
|--------|-------------------------------------|----------|-------|
|        | GCE A LEVEL – October/November 2012 | 9719     | 123   |

## **COMPONENT 3: Essay**

| Page 2 Mark Schem  |             |   |                             | Syllabus                     |  |
|--|-------------|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|--|
|  |             |   | /EL – October/November 2012 |                              | 9719   |
| СОМРС  | ONENT 3:    | Essay   |                             |                              | Syllabus er 9719  tent (out of 16)  early relevant and well experiently argued and             |
| Language (out of 24)   |             | Content (out of 16)   |                             |                              |  |
| 21–24  | Very good   |   | 14–16 Very good             |                              |  |
| Confident use of complex sentence patterns, generally accurate, extensive vocabulary, good sense of idiom. |             | Detailed, clearly relevant and well illustrated; coherently argued and structured.      |                             |                              |  |
| 16–20  | 16–20 Good  |   | 11–13                       | Good                         |  |
|  | spite of    | ly sound grasp of grammar in quite a few lapses; reads bly; some attempt at varied ary. |                             | relevant; so                 | vledge and generally<br>me ability to develop<br>nd draw conclusions.                          |
| 10–15  | Adequate    |   | 7–10                        | 7–10 Adequate                |  |
|  | laboured    | ncy to be simple, clumsy or<br>d; some degree of accuracy;<br>oriate use of idiom.      |                             |                              | ledge, but not always<br>more limited capacity to  |
| 5–9  | Poor        |   | 3–6                         | Poor                         |  |
|  | sentence    | ently simple or pedestrian<br>e patterns with persistent errors;<br>ocabulary.          |                             | sketchy or u<br>structure an | npt at argument, tends to be unspecific; little attempt to argument; major anding of question. |
| 0–4  | Very po     | or  | 0–2                         | Very poor                    |  |
|  | little evid | e simplest sentence patterns,<br>dence of grammatical<br>ess, very limited vocabulary.  |                             | Vague and random.            | general, ideas presented at  |