

Cambridge International AS & A Level

THINKING SKILLS
Paper 2 Critical Thinking
MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 50

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2022 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

Cambridge International AS & A Level – Mark Scheme PUBLISHED

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2022 Page 2 of 13

ANNOTATIONS

✓ In Q	Qs 1, 3 and 4 use to indicate where marks have been awarded.
X Use	to indicate an answer or element that is wrong.
NGE Not	good enough.
BOD Ben	nefit of doubt.
	Q5 use to indicate creditworthy other argument element. Q3 use to indicate 'significant additional element'.
CON In C	Qs 2 and 5 use to indicate 'conclusion'.
	Qs 2 and 5 and in short questions where indicated, use to indicate that marks have n capped because an essential element of the answer is absent.
EVAL In C	22 use to indicate creditworthy evaluation of a source.
In C	25 use to indicate creditworthy intermediate conclusion.
	22 use to indicate creditworthy personal thinking. 23 use to indicate paraphrase.
	22 use to indicate creditworthy inferential reasoning. 25 use to indicate creditworthy reason used to support a conclusion.
	22 use to indicate creditworthy use of a source. 25 use to indicate distinct strand of reasoning.
	ppropriate cases, use to indicate significant omission. 3 use to indicate 'significant omission'.
SHEN	e in answers when no other annotations have been used. e on blank pages.
Highlight Use	to draw attention to part of an answer.

There must be at least one annotation on each page of the answer booklet.

© UCLES 2022 Page 3 of 13

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	The photographs illustrate the two types of smile identified in Source A / show that Duchenne smiles involve both mouth and eyes, whereas non-Duchenne smiles involve only the mouth [1], but there is no way of knowing whether one of the smiles is genuine and the other fake / if both photos are posed, then they can both be described as 'fake' [1]. The photographs do not confirm the use of two different muscles in the two types of smile [1]. The difference between the two pictures could be explained as a matter of degree rather than constituting two different types of smile [1]. The two pictures would not necessarily exclude there being more than two types of smile [1].	2
1(b)(i)	The first two sentences imply that the author has some expertise in psychology [1], but publication in a general-interest magazine does not necessarily require great expertise [1] and the author's reasoning is based on everyday experience rather than academic expertise [1]. No judgement mark.	2
1(b)(ii)	1 mark each for up to 2 of the following:	2
	 As researchers based in a university, they have at least a fairly good reputation. Since they studied the baseball cards personally, they had excellent ability to see. The researchers have a vested interest to be accurate in their research in order to protect their reputation / satisfy peer review. (The subject matter of their research is very unlikely to have been funded by a commercial agency and so) they do not have vested interest to distort their findings to support commercial interests. As professional researchers, they are expected to be neutral. 	
1(c)	 For each of up to two weaknesses: 2 marks for a clear, accurate identification of a weakness. 1 mark for a vague or incomplete identification of a weakness Indicative 2-mark answers: Since all the photographs were presumably posed, it does not make sense to describe some as genuine and the others as fake. A single photograph is not a reliable indicator of the way in which people generally smile in real life. Even if the players were happy at the time the photographs were taken, it does not necessarily mean they were happy for the whole of their lives. The claim that 'Duchenne smiles are an indicator of happiness' is an essential step in the argument but is unsupported. The researchers have made a generalised claim on the basis of a sample which is limited by gender, geography and occupation. Age at time of photograph could plausibly be a confounding variable. We don't know the sizes of the three sub-groups, so cannot meaningfully interpret and compare the averages. 	4

© UCLES 2022 Page 4 of 13

Question	Answer	Marks
1(d)	2 marks for a correct answer with accurate explanation 1 mark for a correct answer with vague, incomplete or generic explanation 0 marks for a correct answer without explanation 0 marks for an incorrect answer with or without explanation	2
	2-mark answer (3 ticks) Source E is an argument ✓. The conclusion is the first sentence ✓ (accept The conclusion is the bullet-point). The last three sentences of the source (from 'This body language') provide reasons to support ✓ the conclusion.	
	1-mark answers (2 ticks) Source E is an argument ✓, because it includes a persuasive conclusion supported by reasons ✓. Source E is an argument ✓. The conclusion is the first sentence ✓ (accept The conclusion is the bullet-point). Source E is an argument ✓. It begins by encouraging people to practise smiling and supports ✓ this by giving reasons for this advice.	
1(e)	2 marks for an exact version of either of the following 1 mark for an incomplete or vague version of either of the following Either Source A claims that Duchenne smiles are 'genuine', whereas Source E suggests they can be learned/practised, which would not be genuine. Or Source A suggests that Duchenne smiles involve two separate muscles, whereas Source E suggests that extending the corners of one's mouth will automatically influence the eye sockets.	2

© UCLES 2022 Page 5 of 13

Question		Answer	Marks
2	Conclusion	1 mark for an explicit supported conclusion Cap at 7 if conclusion is absent or implicit	8
	Use of sources	2 marks for use of 4 or 5 sources 1 mark for use of 1–3 sources	
	Evaluation of sources	1 mark for each valid evaluation of the credibility or quality of reasoning in sources Maximum 3 marks	
	Inferential reasoning from sources	1 mark each Maximum 3 marks	
	Personal thinking	1 mark each Maximum 2 marks	
	Annotate answers	s as follows:	
	To indicate To ind	orts the claim, by describing the two kinds of smile and n as genuine and fake. old but is described as 'influential'. rates the difference between Duchenne and non-Duchenne enges the identification of a different dichotomy as	

© UCLES 2022 Page 6 of 13

Question	Answer	Marks
2	Example 8-mark answer (196 words)	
	Most people are confident that they can intuitively tell the difference between genuine and fake smiles. Many would identify the first smile in Source B as genuine and the second as fake. Because most people have not read academic studies on the subject (such as Source A), it is most likely that this awareness is acquired unconsciously during infancy. Although Source A suggests a physiological basis for the difference, the author's expertise was limited, because most discoveries in physiology have occurred since his death. Furthermore, Source C suggests that the division between genuine and fake is simplistic and unhelpful; however, this article is admittedly not based on professional expertise. Furthermore, if Source E is true, it is possible to practise "genuine" smiles, which suggests that they are not spontaneous and therefore not genuine. Similarly, since the photographs studied in the research described in Source D were presumably all posed, they can all be described as "fake", which seriously weakens the conclusions drawn by the researchers. It therefore seems likely that there is a significant difference between genuine and fake smiles, but telling the difference may not be as easy or as reliable as most people imagine.	

© UCLES 2022 Page 7 of 13

Question	Answer	Marks
In Q3, ann	otate as follows:	
Sign	nificant additional element nificant omission aphrase	
In Q3(a) , (c	c), (d) and (e), if two answers are given, one of which is correct, award 1 mark.	
	of Q3 , apply guidance relating to additional material only if it constitutes an addit answer or an alternative answer.	ional
3(a)	2 marks for an exact answer 1 mark for a paraphrase, or for one significant additional element or omission	2
	(and) it [this definition (of marriage)] needs to be revised (to make it fit for the mid-twenty-first century).	
3(b)	 For up to 2 of the following: 2 marks for an exact answer 1 mark for a paraphrase, or for one significant additional element or omission If more than two answers are given, mark the first three only Excluding other participants cannot be essential to marriage It is no longer reasonable for marriage to be a lifelong commitment. Being of different sexes can (therefore) no longer be imposed as a necessary requirement for marriage. There is no force in the objection (that marriage must include the ability to 	4
3(c)	have children) Evidence [1] supporting the claim that 'There is no logical or practical reason why three or even four people should not make a loving commitment to one another in marriage.' [1]	2
	 1 mark for Example supporting the claim that 'There is no logical or practical reason why three or even four people should not make a loving commitment to one another in marriage.' Example of three or even four people making a loving commitment to one another in marriage. 	
	Allow supporting the claim that 'it must be equally possible for a man to love more than one wife (or a woman more than one husband).'	

© UCLES 2022 Page 8 of 13

Question	Answer	Marks
3(d)	 2 marks for an exact version of any of the following 1 mark for an incomplete or vague version of any of the following That people are marrying at a similar age to previous generations. That people's needs* vary during their lifetimes / over a period of several decades. That satisfying the needs of both spouses is an essential requirement for marriage. That divorces occur because the parties cannot satisfy each other. That people cannot be expected to maintain their commitments if their needs/wishes change. That dissatisfaction with a lifelong commitment is related to its duration. That marriages today cannot be realistically expected to satisfy the needs* of the participants until the death of one. That people should be expected to tolerate an unsatisfactory marriage only for a limited period. * In these two points, accept for 1 mark 'love' instead of 'needs'. 	2

© UCLES 2022 Page 9 of 13

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	(Both halves of) the second sentence appeals to novelty [1] . The mere fact that the traditional definition is old is a weak reason for changing it [1] , but in fact the remainder of the argument does substantiate the claim [1] .	3
4(b)	 2 marks for either of the following, clearly expressed: 1 mark for a weak attempt at either of the following: It conflates being in love with marrying.	2
4(c)	The analogy (is relevant, because it) draws a conclusion about one form of familial love from a recognised fact about another form [1]. It is a strong analogy, because it shows that love can be shared within the family / it would be absurd to claim that parents should not have more than one child because they will not be able to love more than one [1]. However, the analogy (begs the question because it) relies entirely on the dubious assumption that parental and marital (romantic/sexual) love are not significantly different [1], whereas (many people believe that) exclusivity is an essential difference between marital and parental love [1].	3
4(d)	The fact that a few people have lived as throuples shows that it is possible to do so / gives weak support to the claim [1], but the fact that only a few people have formed relationships of this kind is consistent with there being significant 'logical or practical reasons' against doing so [1]. Defining the relationship of throuples as 'marriage' begs the question [1]. The fact that some groups of three people have formed quasi-marriages does not prove that four people could do the same [1].	2

© UCLES 2022 Page 10 of 13

Question		Answer	Marks
5	Reasons	2 marks for three or more reasons supporting conclusions 1 mark for one or two reasons supporting conclusions	8
	Inferential reasoning	1 mark for each use of an intermediate conclusion or chain of intermediate conclusions Maximum 3 marks	
	Argument elements	1 mark for each use of other argument elements that strengthens the reasoning: counter with response, example, evidence, analogy, hypothetical reasoning Credit each type only once per strand of reasoning Maximum 3 marks	
	Structure	1 mark for two or more distinct strands of reasoning	
	is more than of total mark. Maximum 6 n does not follo If a candidate side which is the sides, cre No credit for it	nent of a candidate response may score only once. Where there one possibility, use the classification which leads to the higher marks for no conclusion or wrong conclusion, or a conclusion that w from the reasoning. It argues for both sides of the question, do not give credit for the ultimately rejected; if the candidate does not choose between dit the stronger side (to a maximum of 6 marks). In atterial unrelated to the claim given on the question paper. In material merely reproduced from the passage.	
	Annotate and	swers as follows:	
	R To To To To To	indicate main conclusion. indicate creditworthy reason used to support a conclusion. indicate creditworthy intermediate conclusion. indicate creditworthy other argument element. indicate second distinct strand of reasoning. indicate that mark has been capped.	

© UCLES 2022 Page 11 of 13

Question	Answer	Marks
5	Example 8-mark answers	
	Support (157 words) Children need to feel secure and loved. This will almost certainly happen if they know that their parents love each other and will stay together. By closely observing the complex interactions between loving parents, children can develop such important life skills as tolerance, conflict resolution, repentance	
	and reconciliation. So children thrive best in the context of a committed	
	relationship between their parents. Children of all genders need male and female influences and role models while they are growing up. It is sometimes suggested that schools can provide these influences, but there are limits to the intimacy which teachers can properly have with their students. The only adults whom children see at close quarters and under a variety of circumstances are their parents. So the family should be the place where children encounter male and female role models. Therefore a committed relationship between a man and a woman is the best setting in which to bring up children. Challenge (154 words) It is widely believed that families in which two parents are resident offer the best setting in which to nurture children, but such relationships are not always harmonious or happy. Many people whose parents had stayed together for the sake of the children have reported that the day on which one of the warring partners left home was the happiest day of their life. So committed relationships do not necessarily provide a safe or comfortable context for growing children. Children brought up with two 'mummies' or two 'daddies' can experience all the love and security that a stable loving relationship provides. Diversity has more dimensions than gender, such as personality type, skills, food choices and favourite genre of music. It is therefore not necessarily better for two parents to	
	be of different genders.	

© UCLES 2022 Page 12 of 13

Question	Answer	Marks
	Therefore a committed relationship between a man and a woman is not necessarily the best setting in which to bring up children.	
	 Acceptable 'challenge' conclusions: A committed relationship between a man and a woman is not the best setting in which to bring up children. A committed relationship between a man and a woman is not necessarily the best setting in which to bring up children. 	

© UCLES 2022 Page 13 of 13