

Cambridge International AS & A Level

THINKING SKILLS

9694/23

Paper 2 Critical Thinking

May/June 2024

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 50

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2024 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

This document consists of **13** printed pages.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptions for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always **whole marks** (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.















GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

ANNOTATIONS

	In Qs 1 , 3 and 4 use to indicate where marks have been awarded.
	Use to indicate an answer or element that is wrong.
	Not good enough. Use wherever such a judgment has been made.
	Benefit of doubt.
	In Q5 use to indicate creditworthy other argument element. In Q3 use to indicate 'significant additional element'.
	In Qs 2 and 5 use to indicate 'conclusion'.
	In Qs 2 and 5 and in short questions where indicated, use to indicate that marks have been capped because an essential element of the answer is absent.
	In Q2 use to indicate creditworthy evaluation of a source.
	In Q5 use to indicate creditworthy intermediate conclusion.
	In Q2 use to indicate creditworthy personal thinking. In Q3 use to indicate paraphrase.
	In Q2 use to indicate creditworthy inferential reasoning. In Q5 use to indicate creditworthy reason used to support a conclusion.
	In Q2 use to indicate creditworthy use of a source. In Q5 use to indicate distinct strand of reasoning.
	In appropriate cases, use to indicate significant omission. In Q3 use to indicate 'significant omission'.
	Use when an element of an answer which would normally be credited cannot receive a mark because of a rubric; e.g., in Q5 use when a type of argument element has already been credited in the same strand of reasoning. Use in answers when no other annotations have been used. Use on blank pages.
Highlight	Use to draw attention to part of an answer.

There must be at least one annotation on each page of the answer booklet.

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	<p>2 marks for a correct answer with accurate explanation 1 mark for a correct answer with vague, incomplete or generic explanation 0 marks for a correct answer without explanation 0 marks for an incorrect answer with or without explanation</p> <p>2-mark answer (3 ticks) Source B is not an argument (✓). It consists of a report that the success rate for solving the Wason selection task is generally higher when groups attempt it than when individuals do (✓), but there is no supported, persuasive conclusion (✓).</p> <p>1-mark answers (2 ticks) Source B is not an argument (✓), because it does not include a supported, persuasive conclusion (✓). Source B is not an argument (✓). It consists of a report that the success rate for solving the Wason selection task is generally higher when groups attempt it than when individuals do (✓).</p> <p>0-mark answer (1 or 0 ticks) Source B is not an argument (✓), because it does not include two contrasting opinions...</p>	2
1(b)	<p>(Very) reliable [1]. To have an article published in an educational journal, the teacher probably has a solid reputation [1]. The article, being in a journal, may also have been peer-reviewed by people with expertise in the subject [1]. She has an excellent ability to perceive the difficulty experienced by groups performing tasks in the classroom [1]. There is no obvious vested interest (or reason for bias) to misrepresent her findings [1]. She is likely to have a vested interest to be accurate in reporting her findings, thereby sustaining her professional reputation [1]. On the other hand, she may have a vested interest to discredit group work as it causes classroom management problems [1]. She probably has significant expertise arising from over 30 years' experience as a teacher [1].</p> <p><i>No mark for unsupported judgment.</i></p>	3
1(c)	<p>2 marks each for up to 2 of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The study only involved “verbal, numerical or logical problems” [1]. Different types of problem may have yielded an outcome more favourable to individuals [1]. • The study only involved groups of up to five members [1]. The claim may not be true of groups of six or more members [1]. • The participants in the study were all students / of above-average ability [1]. The claim may not be true for people outside this category / these categories [1]. • Because the students were at the same university, they may have known each other [1]. The claim may not apply to groups of people who are strangers to each other [1]. • The results are about groups solving the problems more ‘effectively’ [1]. It does not necessarily follow that they solved them more quickly [1]. 	4

Question	Answer	Marks
1(d)	<p>Fairly well (neither ‘very well’ nor ‘not at all’).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Wason selection task is of the same type as one of the tests cited in Source A [1]. • Source B specifically attributes the higher success rate to “small” groups – a term that could apply to the three- to five-person groups described in Source A [1]. <i>[Must refer to <u>small</u> groups for credit.]</i> • Both sources are citing academic studies [1], • and both refer to students as test subjects [1]. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • However, as a logic-based test, the task does not support Source A’s claim about verbal or numerical reasoning [1]. • As in Source A itself, the research was based only on students, so may not be applicable in general [1]. • Unlike Source A, Source B doesn’t specify the participants’ level of ability [1]. • The claim in Source A is specifically about problems being solved <i>more quickly</i>, whereas Source B is simply about <i>success rates</i> [1]. • The sources have different views on the efficiency of two-person groups [1]. <p>The findings of Source B are questionable, because the 10% is a measure for all people, but the 70% only applies to students [1], and a success for a member of a group is (invalidly) treated as being the same as a success for an individual on their own [1].</p> <p>So Source B cannot offer much support to the claim in Source A.</p> <p><i>No mark awarded for judgment.</i> <i>Cap at 2 marks if only one side covered.</i></p>	4
1(e)	<p><i>1 mark each for up to two valid differences, for example:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The groups described by the teacher are larger than those used in the study reported in Source A. • Source A was specifically about university students, whereas the school students are explicitly stated to be aged only 13 or 14 years, i.e., they are much younger / highly likely to be less mature than the university students. • The students in Source A were all of above-average academic ability, but we do not know the ability range of the students to whom Source D refers. • The teacher’s evidence about groups was unreliable, as the students were not engaging with the task. 	2

Question	Answer		Marks										
2	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 25%;">Conclusion</td> <td>1 mark for an explicit supported conclusion <i>Cap at 7 if conclusion is absent or implicit</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Use of sources</td> <td>2 marks for use of 3 or 4 sources 1 mark for use of at least 1 source</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Evaluation of sources</td> <td>1 mark for each valid evaluation of the credibility or quality of reasoning in sources <i>Maximum 3 marks</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inferential reasoning from sources</td> <td>1 mark each <i>Maximum 3 marks</i> <i>Source must be mentioned for this to be credited</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Personal thinking</td> <td>1 mark each <i>Maximum 2 marks</i></td> </tr> </table>		Conclusion	1 mark for an explicit supported conclusion <i>Cap at 7 if conclusion is absent or implicit</i>	Use of sources	2 marks for use of 3 or 4 sources 1 mark for use of at least 1 source	Evaluation of sources	1 mark for each valid evaluation of the credibility or quality of reasoning in sources <i>Maximum 3 marks</i>	Inferential reasoning from sources	1 mark each <i>Maximum 3 marks</i> <i>Source must be mentioned for this to be credited</i>	Personal thinking	1 mark each <i>Maximum 2 marks</i>	8
Conclusion	1 mark for an explicit supported conclusion <i>Cap at 7 if conclusion is absent or implicit</i>												
Use of sources	2 marks for use of 3 or 4 sources 1 mark for use of at least 1 source												
Evaluation of sources	1 mark for each valid evaluation of the credibility or quality of reasoning in sources <i>Maximum 3 marks</i>												
Inferential reasoning from sources	1 mark each <i>Maximum 3 marks</i> <i>Source must be mentioned for this to be credited</i>												
Personal thinking	1 mark each <i>Maximum 2 marks</i>												
<p>Annotate answers as follows:</p> <p>CON To indicate ‘conclusion’.</p> <p>S To indicate creditworthy use of source.</p> <p>EVAL To indicate creditworthy evaluation of source.</p> <p>R To indicate creditworthy inferential reasoning.</p> <p>P To indicate creditworthy personal thinking.</p> <p>E To indicate that mark has been capped.</p> <p>X To indicate incorrect material.</p> <p>Indicative content</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Source A presents the outcome of a study purporting to prove that groups of people working together can solve problems more quickly than individual people. • However, the range of problems tested is limited, • and the groups contain five people at most, • all of whom are students of above-average academic ability. • Source B presents similar findings. • It pertains to a type of test relevant to Source A, and • the outcome refers to “small” groups, • However, Source B does not cover all the types of test mentioned in Source A, 													

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • and, as with Source A, only students were tested, so the results may not apply to people in general. • Hence, the findings only partly support those in Source A. • Source C strongly promotes the value of individual thought, • and seemingly opposes the findings in Sources A and B; • but the third paragraph makes it clear that it is focused on decision-making in a business context rather than solving abstract problems of the type featuring in Sources A and B. • In a different way, Source D also appears to counter the findings of Sources A and B, • by exhibiting the pitfalls of asking school students to problem-solve collectively compared to working singly or in pairs. • However, there are relevant differences, which make the above opposition questionable. • First of all, Source D is about younger students than those discussed in Sources A and B, • and the classroom groups are at least twice as large as those used in the academic studies. • Also, the problems identified in the school environment relate to classroom management rather than ability to work together to solve the problems, • and Source D is merely one teacher’s opinion. • Overall, the sources do not provide a definitive answer to the given question. • Age of participants, context and type of activity being performed, and size of group, all have an impact on whether collective or individual reasoning is best. 	

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p>Example 8-mark answer (250 words)</p> <p>The study reported in Source A suggests that people can solve problems more quickly in groups than on their own. This study is likely to be highly reliable, due to the expertise of the university researchers who conducted it. However, the participants were all academically able students and the range of problems solved was limited, which may have distorted the results.</p> <p>Source A's findings are partially supported by Source B, in which students (of unknown ability) solved a given logical task more effectively when working in groups than individually.</p> <p>By extolling the value of individual work, Source C seems to conflict with the preceding sources; but this is illusory, because it is focused on the process of decision-making in business, rather than on people working together to solve abstract problems of the type featuring in Sources A and B.</p> <p>Source D is an article by an experienced classroom teacher, which states that teenage students solve problems more efficiently when working in pairs or individually than in groups. The teacher has expertise accumulated over three decades, and a good ability to perceive how students behave in various situations, which makes her a reliable judge, but the issue here seems to be one of classroom management rather than students' ability to work together, hence the findings in Source D cannot easily be related to those in the other sources.</p> <p>Overall, in some situations, people work better in cooperation with others than on their own, but outside these contexts the evidence is inconclusive.</p>	

Question	Answer	Marks
<p>In Q3, annotate as follows:</p> <p>AE Significant additional element</p> <p>^ Significant omission</p> <p>P Paraphrase</p> <p><i>In Q3(a), (d), and (e), if two answers are given, one of which is correct, award 1 mark.</i></p> <p><i>In all parts of Q3, apply guidance relating to additional material only if it constitutes an additional part of an answer or an alternative answer.</i></p>		
3(a)	<p><i>2 marks for an exact answer</i> <i>1 mark for a paraphrase, or for one additional element or omission</i></p> <p>(However,) manual work and mental work should be valued equally.</p>	2
3(b)	<p><i>For up to 2 of the following:</i> <i>2 marks for an exact answer</i> <i>1 mark for a paraphrase, or for one additional element or omission</i> <i>If more than two answers given, mark the first three only</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manual work is essential to providing for people's basic needs • (hence) it [manual work] is critical to their [people's] survival. • (Unlike occupations that are mostly 'brain work',) manual work is good for our physical health. 	4
3(c)	<p><i>Either one of the following:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • (In many cultures,) work mainly involving the use of one's brain is viewed as being of higher value than manual, physical work. • the only valuable work is done sitting in front of a computer screen. • (It is sometimes said that) manual work is degrading. 	1
3(d)	<p><i>Either</i> <i>Examples [1] of hormones 'that have (psychological) benefits for us.' [1]</i> <i>Or</i> <i>Examples [1] of hormones that are boosted by moderately demanding physical exercise. [1]</i></p>	2
3(e)	<p><i>2 marks for an exact version of either of the following</i> <i>1 mark for an incomplete or vague version of either of the following</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The health benefits of physical work are not outweighed by the risk of injury / Physical work is unlikely to be harmful to our physical health. • Manual work takes place outdoors. • Being outdoors gives access to fresh air and natural light. • Fresh air and natural light are good for our physical health. 	2

Question	Answer	Marks
4(a)	<p>The first two sentences are an appeal to history (<i>allow</i> ‘experience’) [1].</p> <p><i>One mark for any valid evaluative comment. For example:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The reasoning in the paragraph is arguing that manual work is still essential to the way we meet our basic needs, thereby ignoring, for example, advances in technology [1]. • It makes a claim that can be viewed as irrelevant, because it doesn’t offer any reason why it must necessarily still be true today / the claim that it is still true today is supported by other, valid reasons [1]. • It makes a claim that can be viewed as self-evidently true, inasmuch as there is no prospect of an alternative way to meet our basic needs [1]. <p><i>Accept for 1 mark: ‘appeal to tradition’. No second mark available.</i></p>	2
4(b)	<p>The second sentence is a straw man argument / misrepresentation of an opponent’s argument [1]. It is highly unlikely that anyone opposed to the main conclusion of the argument as a whole would adopt such an extreme position [1].</p> <p><i>Accept for 1 mark: The passage contains the flaw of personal attack (<i>ad hominem</i>). No second mark available.</i></p>	2
4(c)	<p>The paragraph conflates manual work with ‘regular physical exercise that is moderately demanding’ / ‘vigorous physical activity’ [1]. (The reasoning depends on this conflation;) however, some manual work involves relatively little physical activity, or is sporadic in nature, so is unlikely to produce the beneficial hormones needed for improved mental health [1].</p>	2
4(d)	<p><i>Either</i></p> <p>The reasoning is circular (begging the question) [1]. The conclusion is used as the starting point in the reasoning to support itself [1].</p> <p><i>Or</i></p> <p>The conclusion ‘manual work and mental work should be valued equally’ [1] is used as the starting point in the reasoning to support itself [1].</p>	2

Question	Answer	Marks								
5	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Reasons</td> <td>2 marks for three or more reasons supporting conclusions 1 mark for one or two reasons supporting conclusions</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Inferential reasoning</td> <td>1 mark for each use of an intermediate conclusion or chain of intermediate conclusions <i>(including if used in a response to a counter)</i> <i>Maximum 3 marks</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Argument elements</td> <td>1 mark for each use of other argument elements that strengthens the reasoning: counter with response, example, evidence, analogy, hypothetical reasoning <i>Credit each type only once per strand of reasoning</i> <i>Maximum 3 marks</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Structure</td> <td>1 mark for two or more distinct strands of reasoning</td> </tr> </table> <p><i>Each component of a candidate response may score only once. Where there is more than one possibility, use the classification which leads to the higher total mark.</i></p> <p><i>Maximum 6 marks for no conclusion or wrong conclusion, or a conclusion that does not follow from the reasoning, or if both sides are argued without a resolution.</i></p> <p><i>No credit for material unrelated to the claim given on the question paper.</i> <i>No credit for material reproduced from the passage.</i></p> <p>Annotate answers as follows:</p> <p>CON To indicate main conclusion.</p> <p>R To indicate creditworthy reason used to support a conclusion.</p> <p>I To indicate creditworthy intermediate conclusion.</p> <p>AE To indicate creditworthy other argument element.</p> <p>S To indicate distinct strand of reasoning.</p> <p>C To indicate that mark has been capped.</p> <p>SEEN When a type of argument element has already been credited in the same strand of reasoning.</p> <p>X To indicate material that is judged not to have a structural function the argument.</p> <p>Use highlighter to indicate material which is not relevant to the stated claim or is derived from the passage.</p>	Reasons	2 marks for three or more reasons supporting conclusions 1 mark for one or two reasons supporting conclusions	Inferential reasoning	1 mark for each use of an intermediate conclusion or chain of intermediate conclusions <i>(including if used in a response to a counter)</i> <i>Maximum 3 marks</i>	Argument elements	1 mark for each use of other argument elements that strengthens the reasoning: counter with response, example, evidence, analogy, hypothetical reasoning <i>Credit each type only once per strand of reasoning</i> <i>Maximum 3 marks</i>	Structure	1 mark for two or more distinct strands of reasoning	8
Reasons	2 marks for three or more reasons supporting conclusions 1 mark for one or two reasons supporting conclusions									
Inferential reasoning	1 mark for each use of an intermediate conclusion or chain of intermediate conclusions <i>(including if used in a response to a counter)</i> <i>Maximum 3 marks</i>									
Argument elements	1 mark for each use of other argument elements that strengthens the reasoning: counter with response, example, evidence, analogy, hypothetical reasoning <i>Credit each type only once per strand of reasoning</i> <i>Maximum 3 marks</i>									
Structure	1 mark for two or more distinct strands of reasoning									

Question	Answer	Marks
5	<p>Example 8-mark answers</p> <p><i>Support (174 words)</i></p> <p>R Most people have to work for many decades before they can retire, and their jobs will occupy a large number of their daily waking hours, hence the more they enjoy their jobs, the happier their lives are likely to be. Money cannot buy happiness, and therefore it follows that a high salary cannot compensate for dissatisfaction at work.</p> <p>S Wholehearted engagement with one's occupation generally leads to a sense of personal fulfilment, as evidenced by the number of people who, despite qualifying for a pension, choose to work on well into old age simply because they like what they do, even those in poorly paid roles, such as people employed in shops and kitchens.</p> <p>AE It is often argued that, for the benefit of one's family, it is important to earn as much as possible. However, this claim is exaggerated. People should ensure that their dependants' needs are met; but, in most societies, this does not require maximisation of income.</p> <p>CON It is more important that people enjoy doing their work than that it is highly paid.</p> <p><i>Challenge (176 words)</i></p> <p>R Most people are not independently wealthy and need to earn enough not only to survive, but to be secure against want, both now and in the future. The more money people have, the easier it is to achieve this crucial goal. So, maximising income is essential for workers.</p> <p>S AE It is sometimes claimed that earning power is irrelevant compared to enjoying one's work; however, this is a selfish viewpoint. People primarily work to provide the means of subsistence, not only for themselves, but also for their dependants. Hence, if workers sacrifice higher pay for job satisfaction, other people will pay the price for this self-indulgence, not just the workers themselves.</p>	

Question	Answer	Marks
5	<p>The vast R majority of jobs play a role in making a country economically healthy, and therefore I work has a social context that is more important than people's personal preferences. If, as some AE economists claim, income is proportional to economic contribution, then people have a civic duty to maximise their earnings.</p> <p>CON It is not more important that people enjoy doing their work than that it is highly paid.</p> <p><i>Acceptable 'challenge' conclusions:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It is not more important that people enjoy doing their work than that it is highly paid. • It is more important that people's work is highly paid than that they enjoy doing it. • It is equally important both that people enjoy doing their work and that it is highly paid. 	