## MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2013 series

## 0445 DESIGN AND TECHNOLOGY

0445/11

Paper 1 (Design), maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2013 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.



	Page 2		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2013	0445	11
1	(a)	Accept any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – easy to clean, resists water, appropriate height/position, boots easy to access/remove, stable in use, etc.		(1 × 4)	[4]
	(b)	rods, i	t drawings of any <b>two</b> suitable methods – inverted on n vertical/horizontal boxes, on shelves, on slats, in in slots, etc.	(2 × 2)	[4]
	(c)	maxim Comn Simple of tech Clear techni High c	uitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for num marks. Pro rata if fewer. <b>nunication</b> e drawings displaying a low standard or limited range nniques. drawings displaying a good standard and a range of ques – shading/colour/annotation, etc. quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with annotation and detail.	(0–2) (3–4) (5–6)	
		Simpli Rathe Accura	stic designs showing outlines only. r more detail, sensible solutions that could work ate solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed uction.	(0–2) (3–4) (5–6)	[12]
	(d)		ation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to ks each.	) (0–6)	
		Select	tion and justification. (1+1)	(2)	[8]
	(e)	Poor li Good High s show	<b>Quality of drawing</b> Poor line quality, proportions, little detail. Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail. High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all detail. <b>Dimensions</b> 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1	(1) (2–3) (4)	
		Additional detail dimensions – 2 <b>Construction details</b> A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used.	(2) (0–2)		
		Most o views	Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation. All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation	(3-4)	
		and additional detail drawings as necessary.		(5–6)	[12]
	(f)	Suitab	ele specific materials stated.	(2)	
		Appro	priate reasons for choice.	(2)	[4]

	Page 3		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2013	0445	11
	(g)		ble method stated/described. detailed description of: processes; tools.	(1) (3) (2)	[6] [Total: 50]
2	(a)	childre	t any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – appealing to en, colourful, interesting topic, will not tear/damage, d by child, etc.	(1 × 4)	[4]
	(b)	-	t any <b>two</b> forms of movement – pop-up, rotating disc, ut, slider, hinge, paper spring, etc.	(2 × 2)	[4]
	(c)	maxim Comn	uitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for num marks. Pro rata if fewer. <b>nunication</b> e drawings displaying a low standard or limited range		
		of techniques. Clear drawings displaying a good standard and a range of techniques – shading/colour/annotation, etc. High quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with clear annotation and detail.	(0–2)		
			(3–4)		
			(5–6)		
		Rathe	<b>bility</b> stic designs showing outlines only. r more detail, sensible solutions that could work. ate solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed	(0–2) (3–4)	
		construction.	(5–6)	[12]	
	(d)	2 marl	ation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to ks each.	(0–6) (2)	roj
		Select	Selection and justification. (1+1)		[8]
	(e)	<b>Quality of drawing</b> Poor line quality, proportions, little detail. Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail. High standard throughout with a range of techniques that	(1) (2–3)		
		show clearly all detail.		(4)	
			Dimensions 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1 Additional detail dimensions – 2 Construction details	(2)	
		constr	A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used. Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall		
		views	or with some annotation.	(3–4)	
			dditional detail drawings as necessary.	(5–6)	[12]

	Page 4				Paper
			IGCSE – May/June 2013	0445	11
(	( <b>f</b> )	Suitable <b>specific</b> materials stated.		(2)	
		Appropriate reasons for choice.		(2)	[4]
(	(g)		le method stated/described. detailed description of: processes; tools.	(1) (3) (2)	[6]
					[Total: 50]
3 (	(a)	acces	t any <b>four</b> additional suitable points – hygienic, easily sible, easy to clean, fits in with garden surroundings, ful, anchored to ground, etc.	(1 × 4)	[4]
(	(b)	-	t any <b>two</b> safety considerations – falling from height, d fingers/limbs, slipping, location in seats, sharp , etc.	(2 × 2)	[4]
(	(c)	maxim Comn Simple of tech Clear techni High c	uitable ideas. At least <b>three different</b> ideas for num marks. Pro rata if fewer. <b>nunication</b> e drawings displaying a low standard or limited range nniques. drawings displaying a good standard and a range of ques – shading/colour/annotation, etc. quality drawings using a wide range of techniques with annotation and detail.	(0–2) (3–4) (5–6)	
		Rathe Accura	<b>bility</b> stic designs showing outlines only. r more detail, sensible solutions that could work. ate solutions, good fitness for purpose, detailed uction.	(0–2) (3–4) (5–6)	[12]
(	(d)		ation of each of the ideas. At least 3 evaluations up to ks each.	o (0–6)	
		Select	ion and justification. (1+1)	(2)	[8]

Pag	e 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper
		IGCSE – May/June 2013	0445	11
(e)	Poor I Good High s show Dimer	Quality of drawing   Poor line quality, proportions, little detail.   Good line work, use of colour, proportions, some detail.   High standard throughout with a range of techniques that show clearly all detail.   Dimensions 2 or 3 overall dimensions only – 1   Additional detail dimensions - 2   Construction details   A simplistic approach showing little or no detail of construction to be used.   Most constructional detail may be obvious from overall views or with some annotation.   All constructional detail will be clear with good annotation	(1) (2–3) (4) (2)	
	constr Most o views		(0–2) (3–4)	
(f)		dditional detail drawings as necessary. le <b>specific</b> materials stated.	(5–6) (2)	[12]
("/		priate reasons for choice.	(2)	[4]
(g)	•••••••••	le method stated/described. detailed description of: processes; tools.	(1) (3) (2)	[6]
				[Total: 50]