CONTENTS

	0486 Literature (English) November 2005	Man P.
CONTENTS		Pac and
		Oligie !
LITERATURE (ENGLISH)		COM
Papers 0486/01 and 0486/04	Paper 1 (Open Books) and Paper 4 (Closed Books)	1
	No. 200 and (University)	
Paper 0486/03 Alternative to 0	Coursework (Unseen)	

FOREWORD

This booklet contains reports written by Examiners on the work of candidates in certain papers. **Its contents are primarily for the information of the subject teachers concerned**.

LITERATURE (ENGLISH)

Papers 0486/01 and 0486/04

Paper 1 (Open Books) and Paper 4 (Closed Books)

General comments

Unlike last year, most of the texts were taken up in reasonable quantities. Of course, there were popular choices. The great majority of candidates wrote on the poems from Touched with Fire and in Drama many answered on All My Sons. In regard to the Prose, there was no significantly popular choice, though the Desai novel and the Short Story selection were met with very frequently.

The questions on the paper seemed on the whole to be accessible to the great majority of candidates. This is not to say that during the marking period problems did not emerge.

A minority of candidates continue to read the question without sufficient care. Question 9 gave rise to a good example of this. The candidates were directed to consider how the poets used 'simple direct language' to good effect. It may be that this was rather more challenging a task than it appeared to be but what was most noticeable was how regularly candidates did not even bother to consider the proposition. They simply saw a poem they thought they could write about and duly did so. It may be remembered that some years ago, because so many candidates chose to write about their favourite and often wildly inappropriate poem, when given a free choice of poems to link to a task, it was decided to specify poems for tasks. Now the problem is that some candidates see the poem they want to write about and do not seem to consider whether they can really answer the question.

The failure to consider the implication of every word in the question is not confined to the poetry section. Key nouns, adverbs and adjectives were quite regularly ignored elsewhere. Perhaps some candidates need to have teachers reinforce just how important these words can be, particularly if the candidates expect high reward. They are often intended to stimulate personal engagement. In most tasks words like memorable, amusing, vivid, wonder give the candidate the opportunity to explore the excitement and the power of the writing. Correspondingly, the failure to take that opportunity will inevitably mean a restriction in the reward which can be given.

www.papaCambridge.com

me questions, performance of ery enthusiastic ab

However, despite the occasional disappointment in the work produced for some questions response of Examiners was positive. Indeed, quite a number thought that the performance of was continuing to improve. Many encountered little poor work and some were very enthusiastic about they had marked. What particularly impressed them was the apparent commitment communicated by of what they read. In most instances there was clear evidence that the text had been studied with so seriousness, and at times the detailed knowledge shown was impressive. Despite the strictures above, the great majority of candidates at least attempted to answer the question directly with appropriate detailed support for the argument pursued. Even better, there were quite a number of candidates who seemed, where appropriate, to take it as quite natural to argue a case rather than to simply explain and describe. It was reassuring to find that many candidates have their own ideas and are prepared to support them with vigour from the text. This is a tribute not only to them but also to their teachers for giving them the encouragement to think with some independence of mind. Perhaps, this approach is signalled most clearly by the numbers who now gravitate towards the empathic tasks. Whilst this is not an unqualified route to improvement (see below), it remains true to say that at all levels of ability Examiners met work on empathic tasks which was more lively and of a higher level of insight than the essay or passage-based answers on the script.

It is worth highlighting several matters of particular concern raised by Examiners.

The first of these has to do with the approach to literary language. After years of underlining the importance of candidates engaging at some level with the way writers convey their thoughts, a preponderance of answers now show that candidates realise there is some requirement for them to look at how words work. However, there is still some way to go before the majority of candidates manage to do more than describe and assert rather than really probe the expressive power of a phrase. Some will never be able to do this but Examiners are still being faced with self-evidently able candidates simply noting a figure of speech or commending a passage for its power. It is only the next step which brings reward.

Another area has also been highlighted in the past, and that concerns the structuring of an essay. It may be a virtue in certain disciplines to encourage candidates to write introductions and summaries. However, in a literature examination nothing is gained by setting out the question which you have to answer in a lengthy preamble and then by way of summary simply repeating material at the end of the essay. In addition, what is the point of neatly writing out the question and underlining it? If it were true that the rest of the answer always suggested that a candidate was spending as much time as possible putting off the moment when it had to be revealed how little of the text was understood, then it would be explicable. Unhappily, this was often not the case and the Examiner was faced with quite able candidates wasting precious time. In a sentence, candidates should be encouraged to move without general preamble to considering the substance of the task.

Thirdly, as was the case last year, it is necessary to utter a word of caution over empathic tasks. Some candidates did seem to think it an easy option which did not entail having close knowledge of the detail of the text. On the contrary, whilst quotations may be and indeed are usually inappropriate, everything given to the character to utter will reveal very clearly just what the candidate knows about the detail of the text. Also, ,whilst a candidate of limited linguistic ability may still do better work than when attempting a traditional task, for the high reward which goes to a candidate who is able to assume a convincing voice some linguistic skill will be required.

Lastly, a footnote and one that it is pleasing to say is a footnote. It is pleasing to report that there were few rubric infringements which, considering their frequency some years ago, is an illustration of just how well this syllabus is taught.

There follow more detailed comments upon the candidates' responses to individual questions.

0486 Literature (English) November 2005

Comments on specific questions

Poetry

Questions 1 – 3 – Samuel Taylor Coleridge

Most Examiners saw no work on this selection and what was seen did not seem to inspire much enthusiasm. There was occasionally an attempt to grapple with language and its effect but too often the response featured spasmodic reference to the text with little real grasp of how language works and little overview developed. This was most evident in **Question 2**.

Questions 4 – 6 – 'Poems Deep and Dangerous'

More offered work on this anthology than on the Coleridge but it was not substantial nor was it much more encouraging. It was clear that most candidates found the poems difficult. In regard to *Ars Poetica* few could proceed beyond a de-coding of the poem and in **Question 5** most were quite unable to grapple with concepts of originality and unusualness, simply writing down what they liked about the poem. In **Question 6** there was better work, in that most, though certainly not all, at least grasped the basic points of each poem. However, once again most could not proceed further and engage with language. The Soyinka poem suffered most through a failure to grasp its ironies.

Questions 7 - 9 - 'Touched With Fire'

This was by far the most popular anthology and there was correspondingly a much more encouraging quality of work seen. Examiners wrote of the occasional superb answer on *Horses* and generally there seemed to be satisfaction with the level of understanding in regard to this poem and the response to the detail of its poetic language. The main problem was that quite a few candidates did not bring out the time lapse or at times even seem aware there was such a shift at the centre of the poem. Again, the work on **Question 8** could be impressive. There were some notable examples of imaginative insight into the *wonder* of all three poems, particularly in the case of the Soyinka and the Lawrence. The Thomas proved more difficult but even here there was work which showed the candidates to be completely unfazed by, indeed to be relishing, the torrent of words. Ironically, it would appear that candidates can find it easier to deal with verbal fireworks than to engage with powerfully direct language as was required by **Question 9**. There were notable exceptions to this, both in response to the Heaney and the Jennings poems, where candidates explored the way the accurate matter-of-fact description in each poem made the poignancy of what was described all the more powerful. Unfortunately, too many solved the problem by ignoring the question.

Prose

Questions 10 - 12 - 'Twentieth Century Short Stories'

There was some very strong work on these short stories and not very much that was less than competent. The Forster passage was worked over quite often with some real grasp of the irony at work and how that mediated the author's hatred for certain 'modern' tendencies. The less able usually conveyed some understanding of the thrust of the passage but were limited in the detailed support they offered, whilst also making little attempt to probe authorial means. Again, there were some impressive answers on the Lawrence, revealing the complexity of the possible reactions to Elizabeth Bates. However, despite having a task which deliberately hinted at the possibility of there being sympathy for Walter, many seemed unaware of the implications of the story's ending and the way it reveals Elizabeth's sudden understanding of how much she had contributed to the unhappiness of the marriage. Overall, the empathic task was the least successfully tackled task on this selection, which did not mean that there was not some very good work. Indeed, one Examiner described some answers as a delight. However, for many the Aunt's voice proved curiously elusive and there were a number of assumptions which were very unconvincing. Can one really believe that Saki's soi-disant Aunt would have a crisis of conscience after the events of the day? In some cases it was clear that the candidate had little knowledge of the detail of the story, thinking, for example, that the Aunt had herself been to Jagborough Sands.

www.PapaCambridge.com

my

Questions 13 - 15 - 'The Village by the Sea'

There was considerable approval of the work on this novel. Few were unable to place the de Silvas sensible context and a number penetrated to the details by which Desai signalled the impact their arrive on the village and the assumptions they brought with them. **Question 14** presented more of a challent which was not always taken up fully. Most answers showed some knowledge of the circumstances of his departure but rather too many simplified it into a wise and premeditated move for the good of the family. Likewise, in **Question 15** most had quite a good grasp of the matters which would be foremost in Lila's mind, but only a few touched on her possibly equivocal feelings about Hari's leaving her to face these difficulties. In addition most struggled to find a distinctive voice for the girl.

Questions 16 - 18 - 'Great Expectations'

The work on this novel was variable. One or two Examiners found some superb answers on all three questions but others found some shortcomings. This was particularly so on the extract task. The majority had some idea about Dickens's satire of this kind of 'school' but sadly, whilst most noted the chaos, only a few were really able to tackle the hilarity of it all. Likewise, in **Question 17** most performed competently in laying out the reasons to despise Pip but few saw that Dickens also created a dimension which encouraged pity as well. The same range was found in the empathic task, a few entering into creating Magwitch's thoughts and voice with gusto but the majority having only a rather general grasp of what might be apt.

Questions 19 - 21 - 'Travels with my Aunt'

Most Examiners noted how commendable the work was on this text. They remarked upon the enjoyment of the book and its humour surfacing in all three tasks. Many candidates had clearly found Aunt Augusta a hugely diverting character and this showed in their answers. Here the word 'memorable' was very often placed at the centre of the answer. Though in the extract task most candidates were able to point to significant aspects of Henry Pulling's conduct, rather fewer were able to engage with the amusement afforded by it. The empathic task was not attempted by many. Perhaps they found the prospect of trying to catch Wordsworth's very characteristic tones rather too daunting. However, Examiners did find some who were notably successful in doing so.

Questions 22 - 24 - 'Fiela's Child'

General approval seemed to characterise most Examiners' reports on candidates' work here. The passage-based question was the most popular. Most candidates seemed to find the extract stimulating to write upon and a satisfying number were able to respond to its power. Some, though, were very selective in their use of detail and missed crucial moments. It was also not uncommon for candidates to completely ignore the requirement to respond to the passage's poignancy. In **Question 23** quite a number chose wisely and there were some insightful comments about Barta in particular. The few who attempted the empathic task at least understood the issues involved, even if they did not always manage to convey much of Nina's vulnerable and fiery personality.

Questions 25 - 27 - 'The Getting of Wisdom'

Far too few answers were seen for any appropriate general judgements to be made.

Questions 28 – 30 – 'The Bonesetter's Daughter'

Only a limited number of answers were seen on this novel and the general level of achievement seemed to be rather modest. Most candidates showed knowledge of the text in both the extract and essay question but often could not proceed much beyond extensive narrative, whilst the few who attempted the empathic question were often efficient as far as content was concerned but struggled to find Auntie Gal's voice.

0486 Literature (English) November 2005

Drama

Questions 31 - 33 - 'Absent Friends'

www.papaCambridge.com Examiners' reports on this quite popular text showed some divergence, depending no doubt on the Cel which appeared in their apportionment. The divergence seemed to hinge on the degree to which candidate engaged with the play as a comedy. Some showed much appreciation of the way Ayckbourn in the extract portrays the mounting chaos, whilst others just described it. The divergence was less obvious in Question 32, possibly because the task encouraged some review of the 'serious' issues of the play. However, even here, it was noticeable that some simply could not engage with notions of irony. In the empathic task there were generally some accurate assessments of what Paul would be thinking but with some the tone adopted was very dubious, completely missing the man's profoundly unpleasant self-centredness.

Questions 34 - 36 - 'Cuba' and 'Dog House'

There was a limited take up of this play and a wide range of achievement. There was some competent work on the extract itemising the nature of the relationship, although most showed little response to the humour in the writing. In Question 35 most candidates made sensible points but the choice of adults tended to be very selective rather than wide ranging. Some of the best answers were to be found in responses to the empathic task. Clearly candidates identified and strongly sympathised with Pats's predicament. However, one or two Examiners questioned whether the text really did support the highly optimistic thoughts given to her by some candidates.

Questions 37 - 39 - 'All My Sons'

This was a greatly popular text and it produced a wide range of work. The majority showed some reasonable knowledge of the play but quite a few struggled to come to terms with the parameters of the tasks. In Question 37, for example, most had some idea about the issues involved as far as Chris was concerned but were much less aware of the real reasons why Joe did not want his son marrying Ann. Hence, the word 'convincing' was sometimes dealt with on a rather superficial level. There was some interesting argument about the opposing views of Kate, though again the judgements of quite a number of candidates were rather one track and also rather questionable. For instance, is the fact that Kate has insisted that Larry is coming back simply an example of a devoted mother? In the empathic task, there was quite a high level of competence in selecting pertinent material for Ann's thoughts. Some, however, made the voice far too hysterical for Ann or so cold and calculating that Joe's suicide seemed hardly worth commenting upon. However, quite a few captured this level-headed but compassionate woman rather well.

Questions 40 – 42 – 'Twelfth Night'

This was a popular text. There was quite a lot of positive comment about the work on the extract question, with Examiners noting the way some candidates were able accurately to chart the shifts of sympathy through the passage. There was a surprising amount of sympathy for Malvolio, sometimes too much so in that his arrogant complacency was not really grasped. There were also quite a few answers which did not give nearly enough attention to the detail of the passage. Indeed, a few managed to ignore it almost completely. Question 42 was not so confidently done. Clearly candidates understood that the play was not merry laughter throughout but most struggled to isolate appropriate moments and at times seemed unaware of the real tone of the chosen instances in the play. The irony at the expense, for example, of Orsino and Olivia was often missed and their situations and speeches taken at face value. There were, however, some very nice assumptions of Maria, conveying the strength and liveliness of her personality. However, Examiners commented on the number of candidates who seemed unaware of the nature of her relationship with Sir Toby.

Questions 43 – 45 – 'The Devil's Disciple'

There was a wide range of achievement on this text. The general feeling in regard to the extract task was that it could have been better done. A few really did manage to convey the sarcasm, wit and intelligence of Shaw's creation but many over-used Shaw's own descriptions rather than showing how the dialogue made the character live. Quite a few, alas, had little concept of such things as sarcasm and hence could make little of the task. Question 44 produced a greater level of competence, possibly because the play can hardly be studied without Dick Dudgeon being at its centre. Again, though, there was a tendency to describe rather than really engage with the ways in which Shaw makes him heroic. The empathetic work on Judith was also variable in quality. Some candidates caught well her mixture of shame and growing maturity at the end of the play. However, the task really did show up those who had only a very general knowledge of the play. For instance, some had her still appalled about her husband's conduct and planning to elope with Dick.

Paper 0486/02 Coursework

General comments

As usual, all Centres will receive a brief individual report, so this general report once again will do no more than pick out a few overall features of the work presented and of the way the component was administered.

Last year's report was at certain points critical of the way a few Centres were failing to take sufficient care over such things as monitoring candidates' work, setting appropriate tasks and above all administering the component as far as moderating and presenting the folders was concerned. It is therefore pleasing to be able to say that this report can be much shorter and much more affirmative. Indeed, the external moderation this year was utterly straightforward. Few Centres had their marks scaled and for those that did the adjustment was almost entirely minor. Nor was the adjustment always downwards. On one occasion the External Moderator was disposed to be slightly more generous than the Centre. There was much evidence overall of candidate enthusiasm and involvement in literary study and by and large the tasks set were appropriate. Congratulations are due to all concerned.

However, several aspects of task setting do need in a few Centres to be thought through rather more carefully. Since coursework is intended to encourage individual thought and research, it was occasionally mildly disappointing to find in one or two Centres every candidate attempting exactly the same task from exactly the same text and at times writing exactly the same thing, down to the very words themselves.

Also, in some instances, tasks were too general to provide a spur and stimulus to focused thought. A general invitation to write about a text, to give an account of it, or even worse to reveal its supposed "message", is almost certain to produce a narrative run through of the text instead of an engaged analysis of what makes its literary language a powerful experience.

In task setting there is also a formula still too often used which demands that candidates compare poems and short stories. In itself it is guite natural to want to compare literary works but for it to be done well it is a very demanding assignment. Hence, to make it the central requirement of a task particularly designed for sixteen year olds inevitably leads to desperate comparisons of everything that is marginal to real understanding of the works concerned, with heavy emphasis on content rather than style. In other words it diverts from the real purpose of any task, which should be to encourage the candidate to delve deeply into the characteristics of the literary language of each work and show how that language makes it effective.

One other matter concerning task setting arose and this had to do with the empathic mode. It is encouraging that Centres now feel confident to allow their candidates to adopt this mode. However, to satisfy the criteria of the syllabus it should be realised that the invention at the centre of the task must be closely related to the literary work. It must not involve the invention of a character or 'voice' with no possible existence in the text since this then introduces a set of criteria which have no place in a literature syllabus. For instance, a newspaper report demands an assessment of the material's aptness to a journalistic mode besides encouraging narrative of events, none of which is relevant to discovering the degree to which the candidate understands the literary work. To discover that, the candidate must be asked to be a character in the text as well as in a situation which is probable from the action of that text. If there is any doubt about what that means, Centres have only to look at the kind of empathic tasks set in the examination.

Lastly, on a matter of administration, it needs to be said that, because of the Internet, it is becoming increasingly important that Centres are seen to validate their candidates' work. To that end, please make sure that all work in folders is significantly annotated. A fair copy may appeal to the aesthetic sense but it still leaves the possibility that the teacher may not have seen the final draft of an essay.

www.papaCambridge.com

Paper 0486/03

Alternative to Coursework (Unseen)

www.papaCambridge.com Almost all candidates were able to understand Plath's narrative and respond, at least in a rudimentary way, to the family dynamics described in the passage. Examiners were pleased with the way that most candidates also knew that they should support their conclusions with reference to the text. There was, however, a minority so preoccupied with speculating about what had gone wrong with this family, and relating the problems of the children to their own experience or their knowledge of child psychology, that their interest in Plath's writing rather petered out. Such candidates typically based most of their comments on a rapid reading of the action of Alice in kicking Warren under the table and her father's final approval of her. but did not extend their exploration of the writing to a fuller examination of how Plath had presented the incident.

The pointer to the way the Examiners hoped that candidates would approach the task lay in the first seven words of the question: How does Plath's writing make you feel.... It must never be forgotten by candidates that this paper is a literary exercise, not an autobiographical, sociological or psychological one, and that Examiners are trying to gauge the ability of the candidate both to read and respond with sensitivity to the words that the author has used and also to see the beginnings of an understanding of how the words achieve their effects. Fortunately, most candidates appeared to have appreciated the purpose of the paper on this occasion and used their personal experience of family life to illuminate the words on the page rather than replace them.

Examiners were happy that responses to the four members of the family varied considerably from candidate to candidate. Many found Warren a very tepid individual, who needed a few hard knocks to toughen him up, while others, outraged at Alice's treatment of him, saw him as some sort of martyr. Inevitably, those candidates who used words like 'nice' and 'nasty' to describe the two children rather simplified Plath's characterisations. More complex reactions came from those who appreciated some of the ambivalence in the portrayal of the characters and who saw that, while the first two paragraphs portrayed Mr Denway in very favourable terms, with simple generalisations like 'he knew best and never gave mistaken judgement', this was somewhat given the lie by his later responses to the incident at the supper table. The Examiners were very pleased that more candidates than they had anticipated were able to see that this was because much of the narrative was seen from Alice's perspective, even though it was not written in the first person. This showed an ability to read literature with an impressive degree of sophistication and was rewarded highly.

Examiners were also pleased when answers to the second part of the question were integrated with their answers to the first part. Indeed, the most successful answers tended to take this approach. What was disappointing, however, was the number of candidates who completely ignored the second question or gave completely inadequate answers to it. It is not enough to say, as many did, that the writing is vivid because it represents a 'normal' family situation which anyone can identify with. This is not an absurd statement but it fails to respond to the textures of the writing. Any comment which, at the very least, implied a response to the vividness of the writing was noted and given some credit in all answers but explicit examination of how the writer achieved her effects was rewarded highly. Those who did tackle the question explicitly tended to concentrate on the first two paragraphs where there are some extraordinarily colourful images. Candidates' abilities to explore these images varied considerably. Those discourses which merely quoted one or two sections to illustrate vividness, with no commentary explaining their selection, could be given only minimal credit as those which identified them as similes or metaphors with no further commentary on their effectiveness. The Examiners believe, however, that there were fewer candidates who failed to see the inadequacy of mere 'imagery spotting' and were happy that many candidates were able, in this examination, to identify the sense of joy and power running through the imagery of those first paragraphs, and that a few more were able effectively to explore the associations of the words. Many also explored the religious imagery of the depiction of Warren and his mother, though conclusions, in this case, tended to be rather simplistic. Examiners were also rather disappointed at how few candidates explored the descriptions of the contrasting ways Warren and the father ate their puddings and the way Warren's crying was described.

my

Finally, some comments about wastage of time. Some candidates felt it necessary to count it was not. Very good marks were awarded to a variety of lengths of answer. Others felt that the write out a neat copy of their work. Candidates might be advised that time allocated to the examinate designed for such an approach. However, the writing of some rough *notes* while the candidate is organ her or his thoughts is often to be encouraged. Many candidates felt that they had to write an introduction many of these barely said anything; they were a mere clearing of the throat before the main discourse started. Often they were just a repetition of the question in the candidate's own words but took up to half a side of the first page of a script. Likewise, many felt the need to write a conclusion. The best conclusions were of great value helping the candidate to establish an overall perspective or synthesis in a few well constructed sentences. However, many merely repeated, often at some length, points that had been made perfectly adequately beforehand and were not only a waste of the candidate's time but also gave the impression that the candidate was filling space because he or she had nothing else to say.

Shiddle Com