

Cambridge IGCSE™

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES

0457/11 May/June 2023

Paper 1 Written Examination MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 70

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2023 series for most Cambridge IGCSE, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently, e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Social Science-Specific Marking Principles (for point-based marking)

1	 Components using point-based marking: Point marking is often used to reward knowledge, understanding and application of skills. We give credit where the candidate's answer shows relevant knowledge, understanding and application of skills in answering the question. We do not give credit where the answer shows confusion.
	From this it follows that we:
	 a DO credit answers which are worded differently from the mark scheme if they clearly convey the same meaning (unless the mark scheme requires a specific term). b DO credit alternative answers/examples which are not written in the mark scheme if they are correct.
	 c DO credit answers where candidates give more than one correct answer in one prompt/numbered/scaffolded space where extended writing is required rather than list-type answers. For example, questions that require <i>n</i> reasons (e.g. State two reasons).
	 d DO NOT credit answers simply for using a 'key term' unless that is all that is required. (Check for evidence it is understood and not used wrongly.) e DO NOT credit answers which are obviously self-contradicting or trying to cover all
	possibilities.
	f DO NOT give further credit for what is effectively repetition of a correct point already credited unless the language itself is being tested. This applies equally to 'mirror statements' (i.e. polluted/not polluted).
	g DO NOT require spellings to be correct, unless this is part of the test. However, spellings of syllabus terms must allow for clear and unambiguous separation from other syllabus terms with which they may be confused (e.g. Corrasion/Corrosion).
2	 Presentation of mark scheme: Slashes (/) or the word 'or' separate alternative ways of making the same point. Semi colons (;) bullet points (•) or figures in brackets (1) separate different points. Content in the answer column in brackets is for examiner information/context to clarify the marking but is not required to earn the mark (except Accounting syllabuses where they indicate negative numbers).
3	 Calculation questions: The mark scheme will show the steps in the most likely correct method(s), the mark for each step the correct answer(a) and the mark for each snewer.
	 each step, the correct answer(s) and the mark for each answer If working/explanation is considered essential for full credit, this will be indicated in the question paper and in the mark scheme. In all other instances, the correct answer to a calculation should be given full credit, even if no supporting working is shown.
	• Where the candidate uses a valid method which is not covered by the mark scheme, award equivalent marks for reaching equivalent stages.
	 Where an answer makes use of a candidate's own incorrect figure from previous working, the 'own figure rule' applies: full marks will be given if a correct and complete method is used. Further guidance will be included in the mark scheme where necessary and any exceptions to this general principle will be noted.

4 Annotation:

- For point marking, ticks can be used to indicate correct answers and crosses can be used to indicate wrong answers. There is no direct relationship between ticks and marks. Ticks have no defined meaning for levels of response marking.
- For levels of response marking, the level awarded should be annotated on the script.
- Other annotations will be used by examiners as agreed during standardisation, and the meaning will be understood by all examiners who marked that paper.

Introduction

Most questions are marked holistically using levels of response mark schemes. The marks awarded for an answer are usually based on a judgement of the overall quality of the response, rather than on awarding marks for specific points and accumulating a total mark by adding points.

Inevitably, the mark scheme cannot cover all responses that candidates may make for all of the questions. In some cases candidates may make very strong responses which the mark scheme has not predicted. These answers should nevertheless be credited according to their quality.

Levels of response

For answers marked by levels of response, the following is intended to describe the quality of the response required (level of skill that should be demonstrated) for the award of marks at different points in the mark range for the question.

In the levels of response mark scheme positive achievement is being rewarded. For answers marked by levels of response:

- (a) Marking grids describe the top of each level.
- (b) **To determine the level** start at the highest level and work down until you reach the level that matches the answer.
- (c) **To determine the mark within the level**, consider the following:

Descriptor	Award mark
Consistently meets the criteria for this level	At top of level
Meets the criteria but with some slight inconsistency	Above middle and either below top of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
Just enough achievement on balance for this level	Above bottom and either below middle of level or at middle of level (depending on number of marks available)
On the borderline of this level and the one below	At bottom of level

Mark scheme

All of the questions are based on sources which are available to candidates as an Insert to the examination paper. It is therefore very important to study this material prior to marking to become familiar with the context of the questions.

Annotations

All scripts and questions must be annotated to show how and where marks have been awarded.

For scripts marked on RM Assessor, a selection of the following on-screen annotations are available.

Annotation	Meaning
 	Correct, creditworthy point
Eval	Evaluation
DEV	Development
BOD	Benefit of doubt given
×	Incorrect point
?	Unclear/confused point
JU	Justification
^	Omission mark, more required
1	Interpretation
Vertical wavy line	Irrelevant
	Highlighter
REP	Repetition
\Box	Comment box
NAQ	Not answered question

The number of ticks used does not need to tally with the mark achieved. Every question must be annotated in some way. The mark scheme indicates the most likely annotation to be used with each question.

Annotation should be within the candidate's text rather than in the margin.

Guidance on developed points

A developed point is one which is explained, or supported with evidence, usually drawn from the sources or the candidate's experience. For example:

Example 1

1a. Undeveloped point – A strength of Uki's argument is the use of evidence from the World Bank.

1b. Developed point – A strength of Uki's argument is the use of evidence from the World Bank which comes from a credible source and is likely to be accurate. It can be trusted.

1c. Undeveloped point – A weakness of the argument is the use of personal testimony from experience.

1d. Developed point – A weakness of the argument is the use of personal testimony from experience. This experience may not apply to many other people and could be biased. It is not strong evidence.

Example 2

2a. Undeveloped point – promoting lifestyle changes is not a good idea because it takes too long.

2b. Developed point – promoting lifestyle changes is not a good idea; it takes too long because some people may ignore the advice given by governments and not bother to change their behaviour because they have other priorities.

2c. Developed point – encouraging governments to work together is the best solution as governments can share ideas and resources. This is supported in Source 4 when Uki says that cooperation between countries will prevent human suffering and reduce conflict.

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	From Source 1, identify the trend in the number of people in the world without access to electricity.	1
	Main Annotations 💙 🗙 🔼	
	Candidates should identify the following trend from Source 1:	
	Decreasing or going down or similar.	
	1 mark should be awarded for identifying the above.	
	Further guidance – the only acceptable answer is listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	
1(b)	From Source 2, identify two consequences of energy poverty.	2
	Main Annotations 💙 🗙 🔼	
	Candidates may identify the following consequences from source 2:	
	 Cooking with fire causes indoor air pollution and disease. Living is harder without cold food storage, washing machines and light at night. 	
	 Heating with firewood causes deforestation and environmental damage. Communication and entertainment with computers and television is impossible. 	
	 Teaching and learning activities are limited without electricity. Economic development is much slower. 	
	1 mark should be awarded for each correctly identified benefit.	
	Further guidance – the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	

Question	Answer	Marks
1(c)	Which consequence of energy poverty do you think is the most significant? Explain why.	3
	Main Annotations ✔ 🗙 🟊 💡	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates may identify one of the following consequences:	
	 Cooking with fire causes indoor air pollution and disease. Living is harder without cold food storage, washing machines and light at night. Heating with firewood causes deforestation and environmental damage. Communication and entertainment with computers and television is impossible. Teaching and learning activities are limited without electricity. Economic development is much slower. Candidates may give the following reasons, any of which could be used, to justify their choice: has greatest impact locally, nationally or globally affects most people 	
	 ethically or morally most problematic has multiple negative consequences creates spiral of problems other reasonable response. Further guidance – candidates are most likely to discuss consequences	
	from Source 2 as listed above. However, the assessment is focused mainly upon their reasoning / justification and therefore additional benefits should be credited.	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 3 (3 marks) Good response Clearly reasoned explanation explicitly linked to a benefit.	
	Level 2 (2 marks) Reasonable response Some explanation. The link between the explanation and a benefit may be implicit / unclear at times.	
	Level 1 (1 mark) Limited response A benefit is identified. There may be some limited explanation.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

Question	Answer	Marks
1(d)	Explain why energy poverty is an important personal issue.	6
	Main Annotations 💙 🗙 🔼	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are likely to identify the following reasons:	
	 it affects people individually e.g. health; access to education affects the family and neighbourhood spoils the local environment makes life harder prevents access to modern technology e.g. computers and social media 	
	 getting a job is more difficult as the local economy is affected negatively other reasonable response. 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks.	
	Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation; usually two (or more) developed arguments clearly linked to the issue; or three (or more) undeveloped reasons. The personal dimension is explicit.	
	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response Some reasoned explanation; usually one (or more) developed argument(s) with some link to the issue, but may be implicit at times; or two (or more) undeveloped reasons. The personal dimension is implicit at times.	
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response Limited reasoning and explanation; the response is likely to contain only simple, undeveloped and asserted points. Arguments are partial, generalised and lack clarity. The personal dimension is implicit or not apparent.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	'The world's energy problems must be solved by the rich industrialised countries.'	6
	What are the strengths and weaknesses of the argument supporting this claim?	
	Main Annotations ✔ 📧 🗶 🔼	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are likely to discuss the following evaluative points relating to Source 3:	
	 Strengths: relevant evidence and argument examples used to support argument evidence from experts cited – Oxfam uses rhetorical questions clear, well-structured use of language uses some statistical evidence clear values and ethical stance any other reasonable response. 	
	 Weaknesses: not much evidence biased tone – some exaggeration few counter arguments author unknown much opinion expertise of author not known only relevant to the US – difficult to generalise any other reasonable response. 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured evaluation.	
	Usually, two (or more) developed points clearly linked to the issue, with some other undeveloped points; or a wide range (four or more) of undeveloped points.	
	Evaluation is clearly focused on the evidence and arguments, their strengths and/or weaknesses and the way they are used to support the claim. There is usually some clear reference to the evidence and arguments in the source e.g. quotation/summary.	
	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response Reasonable evaluation mainly focused on the evidence and arguments, their strengths and/or weaknesses, and the way they are used to support the claim; there may be some lack of clarity and the answer may be difficult to follow at times.	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(a)	The response may usually contain one (or more) developed point(s), with some other undeveloped points. Some (two or more) undeveloped points may be sufficient to reach this level. Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response Limited evaluation which is often unsupported and asserted. The response	
	is often unclear, tangential and generalised. It usually contains one or two undeveloped points only. Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	'Rich industrialised nations emit the most greenhouse gases.'	8
	How could you test this claim?	
	You should consider the types of information, sources of evidence and methods you might use.	
	Main Annotations ✔ 📧 🗙 🔼 💡	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are likely to discuss the following ways to test the claim stated in Source 3:	
	Possible types of information:	
	 compare statistics/information on greenhouse gases 	
	 data from businesses, local authority or government 	
	 individual testimony or personal experience 	
	material from the internet	
	other relevant response.	
	Possible sources of information:	
	 national and local governments and their departments 	
	 experts in climate change/environmental issues 	
	 research reports media and the internet 	
	 media and the internet UN/WHO/WWF etc. 	
	 other relevant response. 	
	Possible methods:	
	 review of secondary sources/literature/research/documents 	
	 interview relevant environmental agencies and experts 	
	internet search	
	questionnaires	
	• surveys	
	other relevant response.	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 4 (7–8 marks) Very good response Clearly reasoned, credible and structured explanation of a range of ways to test the claim. The response usually contains three (or more) developed points, and may contain some undeveloped points.	
	The response is clearly and explicitly related to testing the claim.	
	Level 3 (5–6 marks) Good response Reasoned and mainly credible explanation of ways to test the claim. The response usually contains two (or more) developed points, and may contain some undeveloped points.	
	The response is explicitly related to testing the claim.	

Question	Answer	Marks
2(b)	Level 2 (3–4 marks) Reasonable response Some reasoning and explanation of ways to test the claim. The response usually contains one (or more) developed point(s), and/or a few undeveloped points. There may be some lack of clarity and the answer may be difficult to follow at times.	
	The response is related to testing the claim.	
	Level 1 (1–2 marks) Limited response Limited explanation of ways to test the claim. The response usually contains one or two simple, undeveloped and asserted points.	
	There is little relevance in the response to testing the claim or the methods, sources and types of information are generally not appropriate for the claim being tested.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
	If the response lists or describes methods, sources and types of data without linking to the issue/context, then it should not be placed above Level 2.	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(a)	Identify <u>one</u> opinion from Hua's statement. Explain why it is an opinion.	2
	Main Annotations 💙 🗙	
	An opinion is an individual's point of view or belief which is not necessarily shared by others or supported by evidence.	
	Candidates may identify one of the following opinions from Hua's statement:	
	 Climate change is happening quickly. Energy policy spoils lives. Only governments can afford to fund expensive energy research. Heat energy/hydrogen are other possible solutions that need more research. The issue is so large that governments must act now. Only governments can coordinate this type of vast project. More research into storing renewable solar and wind energy is needed. Government investment in renewable energy is vital to reduce Other reasonable response 	
	1 mark should be awarded for identifying one of the above. Further guidance – the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words.	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(b)(i)	Jin argues that energy poverty is best solved at the local level.	1
	Identify one example of a fact from Jin's statement.	
	Main Annotations 💙 🗙	
	A fact is a statement that is true, correct, accurate or can be proven/verified.	
	Candidates may identify one of the following facts from Jin's statement:	
	 The World Bank suggests that energy poverty is best solved at the local level. Solar panels can be used by several families to create a microgrid. Microgrids have saved time for families on household tasks, helped farmers increase crop yield and provide light in classrooms. A charity in India recently installed microgrids to power more than 150 villages serving 230 000 people. Microgrids can deliver electricity to people in remote areas. Other reasonable response. 1 mark should be awarded for identifying one of the above. Further guidance – the only acceptable answers are listed above. However, candidates may use their own words. 	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(b)(ii)	Explain how well this fact supports Jin's argument.	2
	Main Annotations 💙 🗙 🔼 😤 BDD	
	Examples of the points that could be made when explaining how well the fact supports the argument:	
	• Very good support as the fact is based on evidence from research or experience that gives confidence to the reader about the claim.	
	 Some support as the fact is relevant to the argument but the fact alone is not much evidence and so may not be relied upon or be sufficient to prove the case. 	
	• Limited support as the fact is not relevant and the research is not cited.	
	Use the following levels of response to award a maximum of two marks:	
	Level 2 (2 marks) Good response An example of a fact is identified correctly. Clear, well-explained evaluation of the use of the fact in the argument. The analysis and evaluation highlights strengths and/or weaknesses and is supported with reference to the argument in the statement e.g. quotations or paraphrased summaries.	
	Level 1 (1 marks) Reasonable response An example of a fact is identified correctly. Some evaluation of the use of the fact in the argument. The response may occasionally lack clarity. The evaluation is mainly descriptive, asserted and unsupported with little explanation.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) An opinion is identified correctly but there is no relevant evaluation of how well the opinion supports the argument.	
	Further guidance – Q3(b)(i) must be answered correctly to be awarded marks for this question. It is expected that responses will be very brief and not developed.	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Which argument is more convincing, Hua's or Jin's?	15
	Your answer should consider both arguments, and you should support your point of view with their words.	
	 You should also consider: the strength of their reasoning and evidence their use of language the different kinds of information used. 	
	Main Annotations J ✔ 📧 🗙 🔼 💡	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are expected to evaluate the arguments presented in Source 4 and compare their effectiveness. They should make a supported judgement with some explanation about which person has the most convincing argument.	
	Candidates may support their judgement by considering:	
	Strength of reasoning: logic 	
	• structure	
	balanceclaims	
	Use of language:	
	tone – emotive, exaggerated, preciseclarity	
	Evidence:	
	 range of information and depth relevance 	
	 sufficiency – sample 	
	 source – media; internet 	
	date – how recent	
	 different types of information – fact, opinion, value, anecdote testimony – from experience and expert 	
	Sources of bias	
	local interest	
	economicpersonal values	
	 experience 	
	Likely consequences of the ideas presented	
	Acceptability of their values to others:	
	how likely other people are to agree with their perspective/view	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 5 (13–15 marks) Very good response Clear, credible and well-supported points/explanation about which argument is more convincing. Coherent, structured evaluation of both arguments with clear comparison.	
	The response contains usually three (or more) developed evaluative points, and may include some undeveloped points. Material from the sources is used as evidence to support the evaluation; clear reference to the evidence and/or arguments in the source e.g. quotation/summary of ideas.	
	A clear judgement is reached.	
	Level 4 (10–12 marks) Good response Clear, supported points/explanation about which argument is more convincing. Evaluation of both arguments, with comparison.	
	The response usually contains two (or more) developed evaluative points and may include some undeveloped points. A wide range (four or more) of undeveloped but clearly appropriate points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level. Material from the sources is used as evidence to support the evaluation; some reference to the evidence and/or arguments in the source e.g. quotation/summary of ideas.	
	A judgment is reached.	
	Level 3 (7–9 marks) Reasonable response Reasonable points/explanation about which argument is more convincing. Some evaluation of both arguments, with an attempt at comparison or very good evaluation of only one argument. Some judgements and evaluative points are partially supported or asserted.	
	Usually one (or more) developed evaluative points, possibly with some undeveloped points; three (or more) undeveloped points may be sufficient to enter this band at the lower level. Some material from the sources may be used as evidence to support the evaluation. The response may occasionally lack some clarity and by difficult to follow.	
	An attempt is made to give an overall judgement.	
	Level 2 (4–6) Basic response Basic points about which argument is more convincing. There may be only one argument considered in any detail, with little attempt at comparison. Judgements and evaluative points are partially supported and lack clarity/relevance at times.	
	The response usually contains two (or more) undeveloped points.	
	A basic judgement may be reached.	

Question	Answer	Marks
3(c)	Level 1 (1–3 marks) Limited response Limited and unsupported points about which argument is more convincing. The response considers the arguments briefly and/or tangentially. There is little clarity. Answers at this level may repeat source material with little understanding or simply agree/disagree with the arguments presented. The response may not contain any clear evaluative points. Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	
	Responses that give a very good evaluation of only one argument may achieve Level 3 but no higher.	

Question	Answer	Marks
4	Your government wants to reduce energy poverty.	24
	The following actions are being considered:	
	 Increasing access to electricity through national projects. Investing in microgrids producing renewable energy. Teaching local people about energy efficiency. 	
	Which one of these actions would you recommend to the government, and why?	
	In your answer, you should:	
	 state your recommendation give reasons and evidence to support your choice use the material in the sources and/or any of your own ideas consider different arguments and perspectives. 	
	Main Annotations J ✔ 🖭 🗙 🔼	
	Indicative content	
	Candidates are expected to make a judgement about the recommended course of action, i.e. how to reduce energy poverty, using reasons and evidence to justify their choice.	
	Candidates may use and develop the material found in Sources 1 to 4 but should go beyond simply repeating or recycling without adaptation. Other material may be introduced but is not necessary to gain full marks.	
	Candidates may consider some of the following:	
	 reference to scale of impact reference to different consequences and implications for individuals/ groups/government how long it might take to make a difference barriers to change the influence of individuals and groups on decision making the role of vested interests and power differences potential conflicts of interest difficulties in planning and coordinating improvements cost and access to resources to implement change other reasonable response. 	
	The following levels of response should be used to award marks:	
	Level 5 (20–24 marks) Very good response Clear, well-supported reasoning about the issue. Different arguments and perspectives are clearly considered.	

Question	Answer	Marks
4	The response usually contains a wide range of clearly reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with four (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.	
	The response is very well-structured and a clear judgement is reached.	
	Level 4 (15–19 marks) Good response Clear, supported reasoning about the issue. Different arguments and perspectives are considered.	
	The response usually contains a range of reasoned points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with three (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.	
	The response is generally well-structured and a judgement is reached.	
	Level 3 (10–14 marks) Reasonable response Some supported reasoning about the issue. Different arguments and perspectives are included.	
	The response usually contains some points and/or evidence to support the views expressed, with two (or more) developed points, and some undeveloped points.	
	The response is structured but at times difficult to follow and an attempt is made to give an overall judgement.	
	Level 2 (5–9 marks) Basic response Basic reasoning about the issue. Different arguments are included; perspectives, if present, are unclear.	
	The response relies on assertion rather than evidence but usually contains one (or more) developed point(s) or a range of undeveloped points.	
	The response lacks structure and is difficult to follow though a basic judgement may be attempted.	
	Level 1 (1–4 marks) Limited response Limited and unsupported reasoning about the topic in general. Different arguments may be included.	
	Level 0 (0 marks) No relevant response or creditworthy material.	