

I think that ___'s argument is

(c) Which argument is more convincing, Ivan's or Nadia's? ^{more convincing.}

Your answer should consider both arguments and you should support your point of view with their words.

You should also consider:

(clear comparison)

logic, structural, balanced

- the strength of their reasoning and evidence
- their use of language ^{emotive, precise, exaggerated}
- the different kinds of information used. ^{bias, vested,}

(quote)

E	I	N	S
structure	core point	not that coherent	
precise	straightforward	emotive, exaggerated	
bias	(but less)	bias	

Ivan's reasoning is coherent. His points flow into one another smoothly.

He also gave explanations for each point he makes. ~~Ivan's evidence is~~

The source of the evidence Ivan used is not named, but he has

stated that it is a recent study, which might be accurate. ~~On~~

the other hand, Nadia's reasoning is not that coherent and she has

some points that are not explained for example, she could have explained more

on the consequences of today's economic growth. ~~I think that Ivan's~~

~~reasoning~~ a testimony from an expert. I think that Ivan's reasoning is

stronger, but Nadia's evidence is stronger.

Ivan's use of language is precise. He immediately talks about what

should be done to reduce inequality without sugar-coating anything.

On the other hand, Nadia's use of language is exaggerated and emotive.

Her use of the words "suffer" and "survive" are both exaggerated and

emotive and this ~~might~~ prevents the reader from being objective when

comparing both of their arguments. ^{Therefore} ~~language~~, Ivan's use of

language is better than Nadia.

Ivan ^{has vested interest} ~~is biased~~. He wants to reduce inequality because the hospital he

goes to doesn't have enough money, and therefore cannot treat his illness

that well. If income inequality is reduced, he could get a better ~~the~~ treatment

from that hospital, and that is a personal gain. On the hand, Nadia is

also biased as she is from an environmental action group, which naturally causes

her to support the environment rather than the economy. However, [15]

I think that Ivan's ^{has vested interest} ~~vested interest~~ is more reasonable, [Turn over

~~that~~ therefore his argument is better, in terms of the different kinds of

information used. ^{I think that}

In conclusion, Ivan's argument is more convincing, considering the points made above.