www.PanaCambridge.com

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2007 question paper

0470 HISTORY

0470/02

Paper 2, maximum raw mark 50

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began.

All Examiners are instructed that alternative correct answers and unexpected approaches in candidates' scripts must be given marks that fairly reflect the relevant knowledge and skills demonstrated.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2007 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	er er
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	100-

Twentieth-Century Option

	NA NA	
Page 2	Mark Scheme Syllabus	Nag Per
	IGCSE – May/June 2007 0470	ASC.
	Twentieth-Century Option	ambrio
	ource A. What is the message of this cartoon? Explain your answer f the source and your knowledge.	Agha Cannbridg
Level 1	Surface description - no interpretation of source	[1]
Level 2	Misinterpretation of the cartoon e.g. literal interpretations - only the Koreans were fighting	[2]
Level 3	Valid sub-messages e.g. the Russians were involved, the Russians should not be involved	[3]
	Big message – must be based on the idea that the Russians were lying a hiding, their involvement. Award 6 marks in this level to answers that explain the cartoonist was criticathe Russians (this must be as well as the big message)	[4-6]
•	ources B and C. Why do these two sources disagree? Explain your ar tails of the sources and your knowledge.	nswer
Level 1	Explains how they differ instead of explaining why they differ	[1]
Level 2	Undeveloped answers e.g. because of the Cold War, they are avoiding blame, they are points of one is Russian – one American, written at different times	[2] view,
Level 3	They are lying/not lying – explained or	[3]
	Explains the Cold War context as the reason but no reference to contessources	ent of [3]
Level 4	They disagree because they have different perspectives on events – explain One source used = 4 marks	ined [4-5]
	or Explains context of Korean War	[4-5]
Level 5	Explains purpose or message – they disagree because they have diffurposes or messages. These are explained but no broader context of the War One source explained – 5 marks	
Level 6	Levels 4 or 5 explained in the context of the Cold War Explains the two powers see the Korean War as part of the Cold War	[7]

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	er
-	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	

Study Sources D and E. How far do these two sources share the same view of

3

American foreign policy? Explain your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge. Level 1 Describes the sources, no valid comparison Level 2 Interprets one source and claims the other source has nothing to say about that subject [2-3] or Interprets one source but misinterprets the other [2-3] Interprets one source, does not interpret the other source [2-3] Level 3 Finds a valid similarity between the sources [4-5] e.g. both show the Russians as active Level 4 Explains how D approves of the US actions but E disapproves of US actions [6-8] 6 marks for identifying this difference 7 marks for identifying this difference and support from one cartoon 8 marks for identifying this difference and support from both cartoons Study Sources F, G and H. How far do Sources G and H help you to decide if Truman was telling the truth in Source F? Explain your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge. Level 1 Writes about the sources - no matching [1] Level 2 Provenance only (not developed) or assertion of purpose [2] Level 3 Matching or mismatching of details [2-3] Level 4 Valid evaluation of F/G/H but does not answer the question about whether G or H make you think if Truman was telling the truth [4-5] Level 5 Matches/mismatches about the role of UN/US [6-7] Level 6 Evaluates G/H to judge F. [8-9] Evaluation may be through provenance and content, or through cross-reference to other sources or to knowledge.

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	er
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	100

5	Study Source I. How useful is this photograph as evidence to an historian studying UN involvement in the Korean War? Explain your answer using details of the source and your knowledge.	Norice
	Level 1 Answers based on only provenance	[1]
	Level 2 Not useful because it does not tell us about UN in Korean War	[2]
	Level 3 Useful for what it shows (must explain)	[3]
	Level 4 Levels 2 and 3	[4]
	Level 5 It is not typical, or it is useful to confirm other sources on the paper, or cross-references to knowledge, or not useful because other sources contradict it, useful because others sources support it	[5]
	Level 6 Not useful because it is staged – explained	[6-7]
	Level 7 Useful because of what the staging tells you about their purpose in carrying out the staging (the image they wanted to create)	[8]
6	Study all the sources. Do these sources provide convincing evidence that in Korea the UN was simply a tool of the USA? Use the sources to explain your answer.	
	Level 1 No valid source use	[1-3]
	Level 2 Uses sources to support or reject the statement	[4-6]
	Level 3 Uses sources to support and reject the statement	[7-10]

Up to 2 bonus marks may be awarded for evaluation of the reliability of sources (no more than 1 mark per source).

Source use in L2/L3 must include identification of the source by letter, provenance or direct quote, and must show how source content supports or rejects the statement.

For each valid source use, mark with 'Y' or 'N' in the margin.

The mark within the level is decided on how many and how well sources have been used.

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	A er
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	100

Nineteenth-Century Option

1	Study Sources A and B.	How far do the	hese sources	disagree?	Explain your	answer
	using the details of the sou	irces.				

Page 5	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	er
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	May 1
	Nineteenth-Century Option		andri
•	Sources A and B. How far do these sources disa e details of the sources.	agree? Explain your ans	alba Cannbrid
Level 1	Describes content of sources – no comparison or		[1]
	Finds things that are in one source that are not in t	he other	[1]
Level 2	Explains agreements or disagreements		[2-3]
Level 3	Explains agreements and disagreements or		[4-5]
	Explains agreements plus a qualification or		[4-5]
	Explains disagreements plus a qualification N.B. In Level 3 there is no explicit statement about	: 'how far'	[4-5]
Level 4	Explains that the sources mainly disagree about todd agreement	the Zollverein but there is	the [6]
•	ources C and D. How similar are the messages of t your answer using the details of the cartoons and y		
Level 1	Describes the sources, no valid comparison		[1]
Level 2	Interprets one source and claims other source h subject or	as nothing to say about t	that [2-3]
	Interprets one source but misinterprets the other		[2-3]
	or Interprets one source, does not interpret the other		[2-3]
Level 3	Explains the different impressions of Austria		[4]
Level 4	Prussia wants unification		[5-6]
	or Different impressions of German states		[5-6]
l aval 5	Explains different impressions of Prussia		[7_8]

Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	er
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	100

Study Sources E and F. Do you think that Bismarck would have agreed with Engels? Explain your answer using the details of the sources and your knowledge. Level 1 Describes the sources, no valid comparison Level 2 Answers based on provenance - no explanation [2] Differences/similarities of detail e.g. they both wanted unity [2] Level 3 Asserts that Bismarck saw other reasons for unification, identifies these but does not explain them [3] Level 4 Matching or mismatching content of the two sources e.g. both thought economics were important [4-5] Include both say smaller states will be destroyed Level 5 Cross-references to explain how Bismarck would not agree [6-7] Level 6 Level 4 and Level 5 [8] Study Sources G and H. Does Source G prove that Bismarck was lying in Source H? Explain your answer using the details of the sources and your own knowledge. Level 1 Writes about the sources but fails to provide an answer to the question [1] Level 2 Assertions about change of mind, not by Bismarck, reported later [2] Level 3 Yes – because they differ (explained), or No – they are not mutually exclusive (explained) [3-4] Level 4 Explains problems with G [5-6] e.g. reported by Disraeli to the Count, Count writing 24 years later, why would Bismarck tell someone his plans? Level 5 Cross-references to evaluate G to judge H [7-8] Cross-references to evaluate H and explains that G is irrelevant [7-8]

Page 7	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	er er
	IGCSE – May/June 2007	0470	123

5	•	sources I and J. Does Source J make you surprised by what is said in Source I? your answer using details of the sources and your knowledge.	Bridg
	Level 1	Uses sources - does not answer the question	[1]
	Level 2	Misunderstands J (uses first sentence) to match I and J	[2-3]
	Level 3	Surprised because J says it was not economic factors, I says it was	[4-5]
		or Surprised because they differ over economic strength	[4-5]
	Level 4	Reconciles sources – they do not necessarily disagree because they mention different aspects of economic factors	[6-7]
	Level 5	Cross-references to either support J or to reject I. – must state that this makes them surprised by I.	[8]
6	_	Il the sources. 'Economic factors brought about the unification of Germany.' sources support this view? Use the sources to explain your answer.	
	Level 1	No valid source use	[1-3]
	Level 2	Uses sources to support or reject the statement	[4-6]
	Level 3	Uses sources to support and reject the statement	[7-10]

Up to 2 bonus marks may be awarded for evaluation of the reliability of sources (no more than 1 mark per source).

Source use in L2/L3 must include identification of the source by letter, provenance or direct quote, and must show how source content supports or rejects the statement.

For each valid source use, mark with 'Y' or 'N' in the margin.

The mark within the level is decided on how many and how well sources have been used.