CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS

International General Certificate of Secondary Education

MARK SCHEME for the October/November 2012 series

0470 HISTORY

0470/41

Paper 4 (Alternative to Coursework), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the October/November 2012 series for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level components and some Ordinary Level components.

www.PapaCambridge.com

Page 2			Mark Scheme	Syllabus	· 0	
				IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	No.
De	pth St	udy	y A: Ge	rmany, 1918–1945		Papa Cambridge
1	(a) ((i)	Level 1	I – Repeats material stated in the source, no infer	rence made.	Tage
			Level 2	2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the armed violence; sympathetic to lawbreakers; peacemaking; widespread antagonism etc.	source e.g. raceu	
			Level 3	3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the armed violence from Kapp Putsch; sympathy banned Freikorps members allowed to join Operight-wing papers saying patriotic Germans as assassination of Walter Rathenau etc.	to lawbreakers with peration Consul, ar	า
	(i	ii)	Level 1	I – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]
			Level 2	2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	ırce e.g.	
			Yes	Spartacists in Berlin; government needed Fre Communist impact from Bavaria to the Ruhr; 1924 etc.		
			No	some early socialist opposition; Eisner's murd speedy defeats; scale of casualties; only 'atte SPD maintained its vote etc.		; [3–5]

Level 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the

detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what

Level 2 – Useful/not useful – One is from a Briton and the other in from a Communist so they could both be biased/unreliable.

Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between

(iii) Level 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more

[6–7]

[1]

[2]

[3–5]

[6-7]

issue of 'How far?'

specify what information.

A and B to show reliability.

Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

information.

Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	. S. T.
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	100

(b)	(i)	Level 1 –	One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Refused to believe in the defeat until confirmed by Hindenburg and Ludendorff; initially refused to concede any powers; 1 October appointed Prince Max Imperial Chancellor; by the end of October he was a constitutional monarch; after Kiel Mutiny abdicated 9 November, and went to live in Holland etc.	Inbridge
	(ii)	Level 1 –	Identifies aspects e.g. Head of State; Article 48, emergency powers.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Develops aspects e.g. Elected Head of State; Commander-in-Chief; he appointed the Chancellor; maintain public order; Article 48, emergency powers to suspend individual rights and take necessary measures by decree etc.	[2-4]
	(iii)	Level 1 -	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Already strong inflationary pressures because of war debt; scale of reparations; French/Belgian invasion of the Ruhr; economic loss; passive resistance and government printing money to pay striking workers; lack of reserves; loss of confidence in the currency etc.	[2–6]
	(iv)	Level 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, wars bring change both social and political.	[1]
		Level 2 –	Explanation of change OR lack of change, single factor given e.g.	
		Cha	No longer a monarchy; Weimar imposed upon Germany; Versailles brought political protest and social unrest; Stresemann and the Golden Years; Germans more confident in latter years of the 1920s; seemed to have beaten off right and left wing parties; impact of hyperinflation on savings/different classes; trade unions developed; architecture/ literature/cinema/radio; Berlin and its night life; American influence etc.	
		Lack	Still very conservative in the countryside; Stresemann's authority seemed like an old style ruler – as did Article 48 powers; much disapproval of high life in Berlin and the loose morals; military; judicial and industrialists wanted no change etc. NB The question refers to social and political change only.	[2]
		Level 3 –	Explanation of change OR lack of change, with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	
		OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief).	[3–5]
		Level 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of Change AND lack of change must be addressed.	[6–8]

Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	.0	ľ
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	100	

Depth Study B: Russia, 1905–1941

De	pui	ituu	y D. Kuss	na, 1303–1341	76.
2	(a)	(i)	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	"Bridg
			Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Lenin had been ill; he had been outmanoeuvred but showed his authority again etc.	[3–4]
			Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Lenin became exhausted at meetings because of his recent illness; Politburo members had tried to sneak votes past him in his absence; he re-asserted his authority by putting time limits on meetings etc.	[5–6]
		(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
			Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
			Yes	Not deeply divided on issues; both industrialisers; not overly fond of peasants; disagreements less obvious than personality differences etc.	
			No	Rivals over issues like industrialisation and peasants; Stalin was accused of stealing Trotsky's policy over rapid industrialisation; rank and file saw there were differences but not large ones etc.	[3–5]
			Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	((iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
			Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One source is British, the other is from America, so both could be biased/unreliable.	[2]
			Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
			Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

Page 5			Mauls Calagna	Cullabus	
Page 5)		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	
			IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	2
(b) (i)	Leve	l 1 –	One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum Established as the newspaper of the Bolshev from Gorky; Stalin a contributor; Bukharin was Truth etc.	of two e.g. ik Party with money s editor; Pravda means	Cambridge
(ii)	Leve	l 1 –	Identifies Rebellion e.g. Rebellion by sailors w soviet.	ho set up their own	[1–2]
	Leve	l 2 –	Develops aspects of the Rebellion e.g. The Sanaval base had been great supporters of the had become disenchanted with the results of made demands, set up separate soviet; cruel led by Trotsky; helped influence Lenin to intro	Bolsheviks in 1917; War Communism; ly put down by troops	[2–4]
(iii)	Leve	l 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	l 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Late convert from Mensheviks achievements in negotiations at Brest-Litovsk brilliant speaker, he was the obvious choice to things for granted, arrogant, not liked by fellow built support through position of Secretary-Geone took Stalin seriously; no-one had Lenin's funeral; Stalin's role and speech at Lenin's fun	but outstanding and in the Civil War; o succeed; but he took w Bolsheviks; Stalin eneral of the Party; no- nomination; Lenin's	[2–6]
(iv)	Leve	11-	Simple assertions. Yes, he was the obvious leader. No, he was p	paranoid.	[1]
	Leve	l 2 –	Explanation of security OR lack of security, sin	ngle factor given e.g.	
	Sec		He was leader; he had outmanoeuvred all rivale Five Year Plans and collectivisation were over of Personality working well; art and literature propaganda etc.	r early problems; Cult	
	Lack		Largely his own paranoia made him see rivals Kirov meant that he began the Great Purge of military, managers, experts and 'slackers'; the show his lack of security etc.	f Old Bolsheviks, the	[2]
	Leve	l 3 –	Explanation of security OR lack of security wit Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	h multiple factors.	
	OR		Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of BBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (annotate	[3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of security AND lack of security must be addressed.

[6–8]

IGCSE – October/November 2012 0470	Page 6	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	· S. T	
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	700	

Depth Study C: The USA, 1919–1941

	р С		,	33.4, 10.13	16.
3	(a)	(i)	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	Dride
			Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Most groups benefited; variable impact; middle class overspending; greedy; conspicuous consumption etc.	[3–4]
			Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Owners and investors doing very well with properties; middle class fairly well off but unused to controlling spending; skilled workers better off with higher wages; unskilled have progressed least but life is a little less intolerable etc.	[5–6]
		(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
			Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
			Yes	Reduced tax and National Debt; benefits to trade and investment; 'freed' labour etc.	
			No	Higher wages fuelled demand; confidence; large business more ethical etc.	[3–5]
			Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	((iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
			Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One is from an American analyst and the other is from President Coolidge so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
			Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
			Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
				6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	aps.
(b) (i)	Leve	I 1 – One mark for each valid industry to a maximuli iron, textiles, ship building etc.	m of two e.g. Coal,	abac ambridg
(ii)	Leve	I 1 – Identifies problems e.g. overproduction; debt.		[1]
	Leve	 I 2 – Develops problems e.g. Tariffs; competition from in grain; overproduction; mechanisation; price debt and loss of farms etc. 		
(iii)	Leve	I 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for Ford and production line assembly; economie falling with competition; demand increased; ac purchase; increased mobility of owners etc.	es of scale; prices	e.g. [2-6]
(iv)	Leve	I 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, more jobs. No, lacked rights.		[1]
	Leve	I 2 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit, sing	le factor given e.g.	
	Ben	Expansion of new industries created jobs; tari markets; could afford new consumer goods; s had shorter hours etc.	-	
	Lack	Wages not keeping pace with profits; no job p organisation; unemployment remained high; r the demand for labour; 42 per cent living belo the unskilled; problems of older industries, ag the South etc.	new methods reduc w poverty line – lar	ced gely
	Leve	I 3 – Explanation of benefit OR lack of benefit with single factors with multiple reasons.	multiple factors. All	low
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of tBBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (anno	otate [3–5]
	Leve	I 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of benefit AND lack of benefit mu	ıst be addressed.	[6–8]

Mark Scheme

Page 7

Syllabus

Page 8	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	18	
_	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	123	
		•		

Depth Study D: China, 1945-c.1990

	•	•		On.
4	(a) (i) Level 1	- Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	Oridge
		Level 2	 Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. The troops went around in small groups; they were unfamiliar with the towns; they seemed nice people etc. 	[3–4]
		Level 3	 Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. They were well-armed from a variety of sources; either Japanese weapons captured in battle or US rifles from deserting KMT troops; were polite with bows and smiles; unfamiliar with the area and had to ask for directions etc. 	[5–6]
	(ii) Level 1	– Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2	– Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	KMT much better armed and equipped; KMT had the larger army; assistance from USA to transport troops to northern China etc.	
		No	Red Army got to Manchuria first; did it without the help of fellow communists in USSR; Red Army still felt it could win as manoeuvring and fighting had already started etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3	 Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?' 	[6–7]
	(iii) Level 1 -	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. 	[1]
		Level 2	 Useful/not useful – One is from a German and the other is from a Chinese person, so they could both be biased/unreliable. 	[2]
		Level 3	 Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information. 	[3–5]
		Level 4	 Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both. 	[6–7]

		May .
Page 9	Mark Scheme	Syllabus
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470

		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	20	
(b) (i)	Level 1 –	One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum with Nationalist supporters to Taiwan; an islar mainland China; always a threat to communis position; threats, alternative systems and sup	nd off the coas at China becau	etreated t of se of its etc.	almbridge com
(ii)	Level 1 –	Identifies working e.g. Courts where landlords	were brought.		[1 – 2]
	Level 2 –	Develops working e.g. Courts where landlords receive allegations and both verbal and physicagainst the peasants over many years; land coften executed etc.	cal abuse for a	ictions	[2–4]
(iii)	Level 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	explanation.		[1–2]
	Level 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. USA hostile to communists; all with communist USSR and if China became of threaten the whole Asian continent, where the fundamental rejection of anything that smelled already invested much in keeping China nation must continue to invest etc.	ready had a pr communist it we e USA had inte d of communis	ould rests; m; had	[2–6]
(iv)	Level 1 –	Simple assertions. No, the Communists definitely won it for them	selves.		[1]
	Level 2 –	Explanation of KMT weakness OR other facto e.g.	rs, single facto	r given	
	KMT	Exhausted fighting Japanese since 1931; des support from USA, the KMT leadership, strate poor; KMT unpopular with peasants; many de etc.	gy and discipli	ne were	
	Other	Impact of long civil war and the war against Ja discipline and drive of CCP army and leaders Chinese people largely wanted peace and did delivered it etc.	hip; land issue	s;	[2]
	Level 3 –	Explanation of KMT weakness OR other facto Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	rs with multiple	e factors.	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of tBBB – Balanced but Brief).	the argument (annotate	[3–5]
	Level 4 –	Answers that offer a balanced argument. BOTH sides of KMT weakness AND other fac addressed.	tors must be		[6–8]

Page 10	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	.0
_	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	100
			C

Depth Study E: Southern Africa in the Twentieth Century

	piii Otat	., oout	nom y unou in the rivertiestic containy	16.
5	(a) (i)	Level 1 -	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	Dride
		Level 2 -	- Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Divided; urgent problems; some wishing to avoid apartheid; representation of blacks etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 -	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Dangerous situation brewing because of propaganda and actions; urgent action required for a more just solution; separation would undermine all races and the country as a whole; co-operation vital; an experienced white Senator etc	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 -	- Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	National Party had won voter support on a clear statement of its aim; Afrikaner dominance in government would give support; nothing new; most policies favoured segregation; ruthless intention gave confidence to supporters etc.	
		No	Narrow majority; Afrikaners only 12 per cent of the population; implies division among whites – United Party and British; scale of the task etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 -	- Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 –	 Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information. 	[1]
		Level 2 -	- Useful/not useful – One is from a white South African and the other is British so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 -	- Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both	[6–7]

Page 1	1	Mark Scheme Syllabus	r
i age i	•	IGCSE – October/November 2012 0470	
(b) (i)	Level	I 1 – One mark for each valid group to a maximum of two e.g. Hertzog's Afrikaner Party; Malan's National Party; Pirow's New Order Party; Broederbond; Ox-wagon Sentinel (Ossewabrandwag); pro-Nazis; republicans; Communists (till 1941).	Cambridge
(ii)	Level	I 1 – Identifies aspects e.g. It increased membership; Youth League set up.	[1–2]
	Level	I 2 – Develops aspects e.g. Xuma president. 1940-49 revived it; national executive stronger; 1943 – new constitution, reduced power of chiefs; active recruitment, published Africans' claims; Youth League founded in 1944 by Lembede, Sisulu, Mandela, Tambo etc; support for Pan-Africanism; cooperation with NRC for anti-pass law campaign etc.	[2–4]
(iii)	Level	I 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation.	[1–2]
	Level	I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. By 1945 it was producing 50 per cent of Allies' small arms/ ammunition; naval ship repairs; ports expanded as Suez/Med too dangerous; Britain carried most of the cost of SA military; SA government domestic investment increased; demand for gold; lack of imports stimulated domestic production; cheap labour etc.	[2–6]
(iv)	Level	I 1 – Simple assertions. Yes, racial classification. No, continuation of earlier policies.	[1]
	Level	l 2 – Explanation of change OR lack of change, single factor given e.g.	
	Cha	It designated the racial group of every citizen; mixed marriages ended; 1953 – segregated every aspect of facilities; forced removals, restricted blacks'/coloureds' town areas; black strikes prohibited; Bantu Education Act; suppression of communism; reactivated ANC; Treason Trials etc.	
	Lack	Reserves/townships/Pass Laws already existed; white dominance; power of chiefs; opposition failed – Defiance Campaign/Freedom Charter; Afrikaner control tightened – Strijdom, Verwoerd; 1955 election etc.	[2]
	Level	I 3 – Explanation of change OR lack of change with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief).	[3–5]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of change AND lack of change must be addressed.

[6–8]

	Page 12			Mark Scheme	Syllabus	r
	i age i			IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470 %	
De	pth Stud	ly F: I	sraelis	and Palestinians, 1945–c.1994		Calny
6	(a) (i)	Leve	∍l 1 – R	epeats material stated in the source, no infe	rence made.	Cambridge
		Leve		akes valid inferences, unsupported from the ct from previous experience; outcome not al	course org. Decraed to	[3–4]
		Leve	d	upports valid inference with reference to the ecided that this 'dictator' would not be appea	ased; Britain had	
				rench and Israeli support; unpopular in Britis mong Britain's friends as well etc.	h Commonwealth and	[5–6]
	(ii)	Leve	∍l 1 – A	grees OR disagrees, unsupported from the s	source.	[1–2]
		Leve	∍l 2 – A	grees OR disagrees, supported from the sou	ırce e.g.	
		Yes	v tł	vishonoured commercial agreements; many provould have agreed as a dictator must not be a nere is a chance he could attack neighbours tc.	appeased; accepts that	
		No	а	lad broken no treaties; does not rule by terro t home; land reform: had not turned on his n ne Canal etc		[3–5]
		Leve		grees AND disagrees, supported from the so sue of 'How far?'	ource. Addresses the	[6–7]
	(iii)	Leve	d	seful/not useful – Choice made on the basis etailed/gives more information, but does not iformation.		[1]
		Leve	el 2 – U	seful/not useful – One is a British history boo	ok, the other is from a	

British newspaper, so they could both be biased/unreliable.

Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between

Level 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must

specify what information.

A and B to show reliability.

Level 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability.

6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.

[2]

[3–5]

[6-7]

Page 13		Mark Scheme Syllabus	v
		IGCSE – October/November 2012 0470	
(b) (i)	Leve	Mark Scheme IGCSE – October/November 2012 1 – One mark for each valid aspect to a maximum of two e.g. Secret plan between Britain, France and Israel for Israel to attack Egypt and advance on the Canal; Britain and France to demand that both sides withdraw 16km from the Canal; Britain knew Nasser would not comply so could invade under the pretext of taking 'police action' and, thus, secure the Canal Zone.	Indridge [1-2]
(ii)	Leve	el 1 – Identifies roles e.g. both were angry and threatened action.	[1–2]
	Leve	el 2 – Develops roles e.g. USSR threatened Britain with a missile attack – probably bluff and bluster to cover its own invasion of Hungary; USA stopped oil for Britain from its only available source in Latin America – the pound sterling collapsed etc.	[2–4]
(iii)	Leve	el 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	el 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for each reason explained e.g. Resented the foreign ownership of the Canal which had been dug using Egyptian labour with many lives lost; felt that Egypt should have more control of and revenue from the Canal; had received promises from USA, Britain and World Bank in December 1955 to finance the Aswan Dam; May 1956 Nasser recognised Communist China; July, West withdrew the offer of aid, hoping Nasser would fall; 26 July, Nasser announced his intention of nationalising the Canal as he would receive more revenue from tolls than from Western aid etc.	[2–6]
(iv)	Leve	el 1 – Simple assertions. No, he lost money as he sank ships in the Canal.	[1]
	Leve	el 2 – Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph, single factor given e.g.	
	Triu	Had humiliated Britain and France whose influence in the Arab world would be much diminished; his current backer, the USSR, became the sponsor to many Arab states; Nasser was stronger and more popular than ever; Israel gave back gains in Sinai in 1957; Nasser could claim that Israel had only won the military campaign as it had Britain and France to help it etc.	
	Lack	The Canal was closed with sunken ships; much of Egypt's Czech arms had been captured; Israel knew it could defeat Arab armies; nothing had changed in the domestic area of Egyptian politics – when popularity subsided, criticism would grow again etc.	[2]
	Leve	el 3 – Explanation of triumph OR lack of triumph with multiple factors. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.	
	OR	Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate BBB – Balanced but Brief)	[3–5]

BOTH sides of triumph AND lack of triumph must be addressed.

[6-8]

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

Page 14	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	.0
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	123
			C

Depth Study G: The Creation of Modern Industrial Society

	pui ou	.u., O.	The ordation of modern industrial coolety	16.
7	(a) (i	i) Leve	el 1 – Repeats material seen in the source, no inference made.	Bridg
		Leve	el 2 – Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. The demand and use for steel gets greater and greater; the whole industry must be flourishing, providing jobs and creating wealth etc.	[3–4]
		Leve	el 3 – Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Demand and production of steel is climbing at a huge rate; production in 1913 is about twenty-five times as great as in 1870, in 1900 it was three times that of 1880; so there must be huge demand for steel in so many areas of construction etc.	[5–6]
	(i	i) Leve	el 1 – Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Leve	el 2 – Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	Converter rendered wholly unfit for the envisaged process as there was too much phosphorus in the iron ore; failure had paralysed his energies; the press insulted him as a wild enthusiast etc.	
		No	The concept of the process was fine but the iron ore spoilt it; in conception it would have produced huge masses of iron ready to be treated; if the phosphorus in the ore could be overcome he would have his crowning success; he is writing his autobiography so somebody wants to read about his Converter and his success etc.	[3–5]
		Leve	el 3 – Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii	i) Leve	el 1 – Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
		Leve	el 2 – Useful/not useful – One is a graph and the other is from Sir Henry Bessemer so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Leve	el 3 – Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Leve	el 4 – Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability.	
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7]

Page 1	15 Mark Scheme Syllabus Syllabus		·	
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	3
(b) (i)	Leve	I 1 – One mark for a valid example for each half of Sheffield; (ii) Yorkshire, Durham, Newcastle a asks for English examples.	the question e.g. (i) areas. NB. Question	OR CAMBRIDGE
(ii)	Leve	l 1 – Identifies problems e.g. Underground, difficult	to get at.	[1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Develops problems e.g. Drainage, flooding, very gases e.g. fire damp; depth of mines, raising transportation away from the pithead etc.	-	[2–4]
(iii)	Leve	I 1 – Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
	Leve	I 2 – Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Demands of growing industry- tools; expansion of the use of steam in factor engines and rails; improved technology mear steamships and naval vessels driven by scre- ever improving as problems of production we production reduced the cost; domestic utensi	- for machinery and ies and railways – it the development of w mechanisms; quality re solved; mass	[2–6]
(iv)	Leve	I 1 – Simple assertions. No, both about the same.		[1]
	Leve	I 2 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal, single	factor given e.g.	
	1&5	Without iron and steel industries there would in machinery or the railways as both were ma expansion; there would have been slower tra raw materials, food and people etc.	jor drivers of industrial	
	Coal	Coal was the driving force behind increased patents and for the steam industries; railways and have been severely restricted; domestic heat badly hit. NB – They are interdependent for growth who see this.	nd steamships would ing would have been	[2]
	Leve	I 3 – Explanation of iron and steel OR coal with mu single factors with multiple reasons.	lltiple factors. Allow	[2]

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

BOTH sides of iron and steel AND coal must be addressed.

[3-5]

[6-8]

BBB – Balanced but Brief).

OR

Page 16	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	2
	IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	700

Depth Study H: The Impact of Western Imperialism in the Twentieth Century

	, J	. ,		10x.
8	(a) (i)	Level 1 –	Repeats material stated in the source, no inference made.	Origi
		Level 2 –	Makes valid inferences, unsupported from the source e.g. Admired and envied; good role model of ruling; inspires imitation etc.	[3–4]
		Level 3 –	Supports valid inferences with reference to the source e.g. Growing empire with increasing colonial possessions; role model imperialist as governs well; inspires German rivalry etc.	[5–6]
	(ii)	Level 1 –	Agrees OR disagrees, unsupported from the source.	[1–2]
		Level 2 –	Agrees OR disagrees, supported from the source e.g.	
		Yes	Gave protection to both weak and strong; all else consolidates their conquests and victories etc.	
		No	Justice and prudence; interest in material comfort; respect for local customs and religious beliefs inspires loyalty and acceptance of British rule etc.	[3–5]
		Level 3 –	Agrees AND disagrees, supported from the source. Addresses the issue of 'How far?'	[6–7]
	(iii)	Level 1 –	Useful/not useful – Choice made on the basis that one is more detailed/gives more information, but does not specify what information.	[1]
		Level 2 –	Useful/not useful – One source is from a Frenchman, and the other is from a German so they could both be biased/unreliable.	[2]
		Level 3 –	Choice made on the nature or amount of information given. Must specify what information.	[3–5]
		Level 4 –	Choice made on the grounds of reliability. Discussion of utility must be made on valid evaluation of source(s) in context. Include at this Level answers that cross-reference between A and B to show reliability. 6 marks for one source. 7 marks for both.	[6–7]
			6 marks for one source, 7 marks for both.	[6–7

			32	
Page 17		Mark Scheme	Syllabus	V
		IGCSE – October/November 2012	0470	
(b) (i) L	.evel 1 –	One mark for each valid example to a maximum (German South-West Africa), German East A Cameroon (accept German spelling with 'K').		ambridge
(ii) L	evel 1 –	Identifies motives e.g. Gain and reward etc.		[1–2]
L	.evel 2 –	Develops motives e.g. A ready market for god imperial and industrial nation; a source of che source of cheap labour for whatever industrie source of labour for other imperial tasks e.g. I Africa; source of cheap and numerous troops and the imperialists' interests etc.	ap raw materials; a s established locally, a ndians used in South	[2–4]
(iii) L	evel 1 –	Single reason. One for the reason, one for the	e explanation.	[1–2]
L	evel 2 –	Multiple reasons. One for each reason, one for explained e.g. Wanted the prestige and recognower, not just a European one; envied earlies the sun'; believed economic advantages would celebrating the union of German states through territory; to prove Germany, as a state, had a	nition as a world er empire; a 'place in ld accrue; a means of gh acquisition of	[2–6]
(iv) L	evel 1 –	Simple assertions. Yes, they took land and material and made fo	ortunes.	[1]
L	evel 2 –	Explanation of European benefit OR other fac given e.g.	tors, single reason	
E	Euro	Gained empires, raw materials, markets; indiv Africa and India; some altruistic missionaries, they achieved their missions; traders; govern government and gained reputations – Lugard	explorers gained if ment officials improved	

Native populations gained from law and order; justice; medicine and education, missionary work etc. but not always in these fields. Loss

interference in local customs and religions; ALSO not all European governments made great successes of empires; individual traders,

Undeveloped suggestions on BOTH sides of the argument (annotate

BOTH sides of European benefit AND other factors must be

[2]

[3-5]

[6-8]

of local political organisation; break up of tribal groupings;

Level 3 – Explanation of European benefit OR other factors with multiple factors given. Allow single factors with multiple reasons.

missionaries, explorers met sad ends etc.

BBB - Balanced but Brief).

Level 4 – Answers that offer a balanced argument.

prestige etc.

addressed.

Other

OR