WAN, Datta Canni

UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE INTERNATIONAL EXAMINATIONS GCE Ordinary Level

MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2010 question paper for the guidance of teachers

2158 HISTORY (WORLD AFFAIRS, 1917-1991)

2158/01

Paper 1, maximum raw mark 100

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes must be read in conjunction with the question papers and the report on the examination.

• CIE will not enter into discussions or correspondence in connection with these mark schemes.

CIE is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2010 question papers for most IGCSE, GCE Advanced Level and Advanced Subsidiary Level syllabuses and some Ordinary Level syllabuses.

Page 2	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	r
	GCE O LEVEL – May/June 2010	2158	

Instructions on Marking

1. Entering marks and comments

An individual mark for each of the parts of a question should be entered in the margin, un-ringe at the appropriate point. The sum of these marks should be entered in the margin at the end of the answer and should be ringed.

Where a candidate has answered the parts of a question in close tandem an overall ringed mark might be entered based on the provisions of the mark scheme.

The marking should be annotated with appropriate symbols indicating merit and shortcoming. Comments on the extent to which the candidate has measured up to the requirements of the question should be made, as appropriate.

2. Mark allocations: general points

Marking should be positive throughout. Credit should be given for accurate and relevant narrative/analysis. High, even full marks might be awarded for any part even though material is not entirely complete.

Due credit should be given for an answer which approaches a question in an unexpected but acceptable way, even though this may not fit the guidance in the mark scheme.

3. Mark allocations: the first (narrative) part

14 marks are available for the first part of each question, in which candidates are expected to describe or narrate, as required by the question's terms.

Where the first part is further divided into two, a variable 6/8 marks should be applied, as appropriate, or 7/7.

Where the first part is further divided into three, a variable 5/5/4 marks should be applied as appropriate.

4. Mark allocations: the second (analysis) part

6 marks are available for the second part of each question, in which candidates are expected to analyse or interpret, as required by the terms of the question.

Marks in the lower range of 1–3 should be reserved for answers with weakly focused, poorly balanced and thinly supported material of relevance.

Marks in the higher range of 4–6 should be reserved for answers with sharper focus, better balance and fuller support and which show relevance throughout. Marks of 5 or 6 might be awarded within this higher range even though material is not entirely well focused, complete or balanced.

5. Rubric infringements

A candidate who offends against the rubric for the paper should have all answers marked, but credit allowed only for the best rewarded answers within the confines of the rubric.

A candidate who offends against the rubric for a question (e.g. where a choice is permitted) should be similarly treated within that question.

The examiner should make clear by comment and bold crossing through those parts of the candidate's work which should not be allowed credit due to rubric infringement.

Page 3	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	er
	GCE O LEVEL – May/June 2010	2158	100

Section A: International Relations and Developments

1 Narrative: A three-fold part, ensuring for high marks in the second and third parts

coverage throughout the inter-war years.

Analysis: Reserve marks in the higher range for those who give balanced treatment to the

policies and who indicate the extent of the damage that resulted to the League of

Nations.

2 Narrative: A broadly balanced development throughout the years 1936-38 is to be anticipated,

with possibly stronger emphasis on the final year. Do not credit material outside the

given dates, unless specifically linked to the question's theme.

Analysis: Reserve marks in the higher range for those who specifically focus on reasons and

on the year 1939.

3 Narrative: A three-fold part, anticipating in each feature selected reference to background as

well as to practical events.

Analysis: For marks in the higher range there should be a broadly based assessment of 'how

important', covering such aspects as air and land forces, economic impact and the

impact also on morale.

4 Narrative: A two-fold part, anticipating in the first section a start in the aftermath of the Second

World War and in the second treatment of the later years of the Korean War, while

reserving most credit for the events of 1950.

Analysis: For marks in the higher range there should be an allusion to events both outside and

within the Korean peninsula and the 'divided' and 'troubled' features. However, this

part is broad based and detailed knowledge is not anticipated.

5 Narrative: A two-fold part, reserving high marks for those who detect that while a slackening of

tension throughout the two decades is the dominant theme, this was not entirely so.

Analysis: Reserve marks in the higher range for those who focus specifically on reasons, both

underlying and more specific to the final years.

6 Narrative: A two-fold part that is broadly based in both time and scope, covering national as

well as international agencies. High marks should be reserved for those who have specific observations to make towards the question's theme and whose examples

can at least superficially be defined as important.

Analysis: A reasoned development of national and international obstacles should be expected

here, reserving marks in the higher range for those who have specific points to

make.

Page 4	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	er
	GCE O LEVEL – May/June 2010	2158	100

Section B: Western Europe

7 Narrative: A broadly based question, anticipating balance throughout the years 1919-25,

the year 1922 as the fulcrum point. The factors outlined must link to Mussolin

increasing power for high marks.

Analysis: Reserve marks in the higher range for those who argue an informed case both

ways, thus meeting the 'how far' requirement.

8 Narrative: A three-fold part, reserving high marks for those who bring accurate and broadly

based material to bear in each of (a), (b) and (c).

Analysis: Reserve marks in the higher range for those who consider (essentially) the nature of

Hitler's control in the Third Reich, but who also consider the favour in which many

Germans held him in the 1930s.

9 NB Choice (a) / (b)

(a)

Narrative: A generally balanced account of the 'development and achievements' of the Labour

Party in the years 1918–31 is to be anticipated, with due attention to both features.

Analysis: The answer essentially lies in the debacle of 1931, but credit also those who

indicate other aspects of Labour's malaise in the 1930s e.g. leadership, foreign

affairs.

(b)

Narrative: Here also a generally balanced account of the domestic achievements of the

Thatcher governments in the years 1979-90 is to be anticipated. Permit

appropriately put negative points as well as positive achievements.

Analysis: Here for marks in the higher range there should be a specific focus on aspects of

criticism, modified suitably in order to fit the 'how justified' requirement.

10 Narrative: A broadly based and balanced answer covering the 40 years specified is to be

anticipated, with special attention to the development of the two named features;

material generally on the 1970s and 1980s may be thinner than elsewhere.

Analysis: Reserve marks in the higher range for those who focus sharply on reasons and on

the political and economic angles of the question.

Page 5	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	er	
	GCE O LEVEL – May/June 2010	2158	123	

11 Narrative: A two-fold part, events of the late 1950s/achievements 1958-69. The fix

should be broadly based, with external, as well as internal, references. The section is not limited to domestic achievements and may well also indicate neg

ones.

Analysis: Reserve marks in the higher range for those who deal with both internal and

external criticisms and focus well on reasons, even though revisiting some of the

ground covered in the first part.

Section C: The Americas

12 Narrative: A three-fold part. Material needs to be confined to the 1920s, but can be broadly

based within that decade. In (b) a reasonable balance between the two should be

held, and some linkage effected.

Analysis: Answers should deal both with the Crash itself and with the growing impact in the

early 1930s. Reserve marks in the higher range for those who focus well on 'why'

and avoid mere narrative.

13 Narrative: A wide-ranging part, in which greater weight might be anticipated on the mid-1930s

than on the later years of the decade. Material should be both broadly based and

sharply rendered for high marks.

Analysis: This will involve some revisiting of the first part, but focus here should be on aspects

of legislation that indicate the beneficial significance of the President's work. The question is not limited to the 1930s and those who develop foreign policy issues of the early 1940s should be suitably rewarded for relevant observations. But do not

penalise those who deal both competently and solely with the 1930s.

14 Narrative: A three-fold part. In each case some introductory background will be required in

order to develop the 'important stage' reference. But the bulk of the response can be

on the practical events of each of (a), (b) and (c).

Analysis: While there may be some revisiting of (c), with appropriate slant, reserve marks in

the higher range for those who focus well on 'why' and have balanced 1960s

coverage.

15 Narrative: Though restricted to the years 1972-74, there is much material that an informed

candidate can present within them. High marks should be reserved for those whose

answers are informed, accurate and balanced.

Analysis: The focus here is on 'political life' in general and can be expected to cover later

legislation and events that are thus relevant to the question's theme. Later

presidential changes will need focused, if brief, argument to be relevant.

Page 6	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	1
	GCE O LEVEL – May/June 2010	2158	

16 NB Choice (a) / (b)

(a)

Narrative: A two-fold part, with more likely on (ii) than on (i). This part is not limited to domestic

affairs and for high marks in each of (i) and (ii) there should be reference to foreign

as well as domestic aspects of the rule of each.

Analysis: While this will almost inevitably involve some revisiting of the first part, reserve

marks in the higher range for those who refer to specific and explained reasons for

Castro's greater success.

(b)

Narrative: A broadly based question, though anticipate that some parts of the years 1946-73

will be less thoroughly covered than others. NB Both domestic and foreign policies

are required with reasonable balance between the two.

Analysis: Reserve marks in the higher range for those who focus both on Peron's appeal as

well as Argentinean troubles and expectations.

Section D: The Soviet Union and Eastern Europe

17 Narrative: A three-fold part, with some brief background anticipated in each case, while

reserving the bulk of the marks for practical information. NB (c) covers both War

Communism and NEP.

Analysis: While there may be some revisiting of (b) and (c), with appropriate angling to the

question's theme, the focus throughout must be on 'why' and should range more broadly, covering such features as Civil War leadership and weakness among

communism's opponents.

18 Narrative: A two-fold part, with emphasis on Stalin's political moves in (a) and both industrial

and agricultural progress in (b).

Analysis: Anticipate that the bulk of answers will focus on his strength achieved through

coercive policies, but for marks in the higher range there should be at least some reference to favourable response due to the stability and progress that he brought

by the end of the 1930s.

19 Narrative: Essentially a three-fold part and best marked as such. However, for those who

intermingle points over the years 1956-64, mark out of 14 while preserving a

balance between rise/domestic/foreign.

Analysis: While there may be some revisiting of points made in the first part, reserve marks in

the higher range for those who focus well on reasons, covering both domestic and

foreign concerns.

Page 7	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus	
	GCE O LEVEL – May/June 2010	2158	

20 Narrative: Though covering a wide time span, answers will doubtless focus on specific

rather than seek to cover all forty-six years. Reserve at least 3, and no more

marks for references to treatment at the end of the Second World War, permit

degree of wartime background here.

Analysis: While there may be some revisiting of themes in the first part, reserve marks in the

higher range for those who focus on and sustain reasons for the eventual success.

21 Narrative: Best marked out of 14, anticipating the bulk of the answer to be focused on the

Brezhnev years, but permitting at least a third of the marks on the post-Brezhnev

drift. NB Limitation to 'internal history'.

Analysis: While there may be some revisiting of earlier themes, reserve marks in the higher

range for those who focus purposefully on reasons.

Section E: Africa and the Middle East

22 Narrative: A three-fold part, rise/foreign/domestic. For those who intermingle their work, mark

out of 14 while preserving the tripartite marks.

Analysis: For marks in the higher range there should be a distinct focus on 'why' amid the

inevitable revisiting of material from the first part. In doing this, the best approach

will be a balance between the needs of Turkey and the work of its leader.

23 Narrative: Best marked out of 14, reserving approximately half of the marks for the events of

the summer and autumn of 1956. Some background will be useful, but should not be

overly rewarded before 1954.

Analysis: For marks in the higher range there should be balanced reference both to the Middle

Eastern and non-Middle Eastern countries, with due attention to 'important'.

24 Narrative: Mark out of 14, anticipating rather greater attention to the events of 1960-61 than

later on.

Analysis: While reserving marks in the higher range for those who give an informed

assessment of Mobutu's rule in the light of the question, do not be overly demanding

of supportive detail in what is a marginally demanding question.

25 Narrative: A two-fold part. While the focus in (a), as in (b), is on events within Kenya, permit

reasonable background points on policy initiatives by Britain when suitably linked to

events in Kenya.

Analysis: While the essential answer here is rather negative, reserve marks in the higher

range for those who have distinct knowledge of the East African Community and

other aspects of Kenya's relations with its neighbours.

		2.
Page 8	Mark Scheme: Teachers' version	Syllabus er
	GCE O LEVEL – May/June 2010	2158

26 Narrative: A two-fold part, 1970s/1980s. For high marks ensure that in each decade

purposeful focus on 'relations' and broad coverage of events in each.

Analysis: For marks in the higher range there should be reference to each super-pow

named and reference to the influence that is political, economic and military.

Section F: Asia

27 Narrative: A three-fold part. For high marks in each of (a), (b) and (c) there should be distinct

attention given to the wording of each of them. In (a) permit modest pre-1917 material on Sun Yat-sen, if offered, but do not penalise those who start in 1917. NB

1945 end date.

Analysis: NB Limitation to the 1930s. Reserve marks in the higher range for those who focus

purposefully on reasons, even if this emerges from narrative material.

28 Narrative: Mark out of 14, anticipating most attention to the years 1931-33 and 1937-42.

Analysis: For marks in the higher range there should be focus both on motives from within

Japan and on circumstances and attitudes from other countries towards Japan.

29 Narrative: A three-fold part. Permit some modest background in each of (a), (b) and (c).

Analysis: For marks in the higher range there should be a distinct focus on reasons, possibly

emerging from a base in a narrative of events.

30 Narrative: A two-fold part, 1920s/1930s, covering a period fairly balanced with 'main features'.

British policy is of relevance if clearly linked to the question's main theme.

Analysis: The reasons should be broadly based, involving both events in India and the

experience of Britain of the Second World War. Marks in the higher range should

have a distinct focus on reasons.

31 Narrative: A two-fold part, with the need in both for a sharp focus on the role of Sukarno.

Analysis: For marks in the higher range there should be references to criticism from both

within and outside Indonesia and a suitable focus on 'why'.