



BUSINESS AND MANAGEMENT

9771/02

Paper 2 Strategic Decisions

May/June 2017

MARK SCHEME

Maximum Mark: 100

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2017 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

© IGCSE is a registered trademark.

This syllabus is approved for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland as a Cambridge International Level 3 Pre-U Certificate.

This document consists of **10** printed pages.

Section 1

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	<p>Calculate the Return on Capital Employed (ROCE) as at 27 September 2014.</p> <p>Operating profit / capital employed × 100 (1 mark)</p> <p>= 18 186 / 94 732 – 42 004 = 18 186 / 52 728 (1 mark)</p> <p>Award 1 mark for correct calculation of CE = 52 728</p> <p>= 34.5% (3 marks for correct answer)</p> <p>Allow for rounding variations</p>	3
1(b)	<p>Calculate the current ratio as at 27 September 2014.</p> <p>Current assets / current liabilities (1 mark)</p> <p>53,193 / 42,004 (1 mark)</p> <p>= 1.27 (3 marks for correct answer)</p> <p>Allow for rounding variations</p>	3

Question	Answer		Marks
1(c)	Comment on the significance to TPT directors of the result obtained in either 1(a) or 1(b).		6
	Level	Knowledge AO1 2 marks	Application AO2 4 marks
	2	2 marks: Candidate shows clear and precise knowledge.	3–4 marks: Candidate clearly explains the usefulness of the results using two examples of distinct case context.
	1	1 mark: Candidate shows some knowledge.	1–2 marks: Candidate uses limited case context.
	0	No rewardable response.	
	Return on Capital Employed: OFR rule to be applied		
	Knowledge (K) may include:		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Definition of ROCE • Useful profitability measure • A useful key performance indicator (KPI) for the directors • Directors can compare ROCE with other potential returns such as bank deposits. • Informs the directors about how effective the capital employed in the business generates profits 		
	Application (P) may include:		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 34.5% in 2014 v 30.14% in 2013 (trend is up) • Useful profitability benchmark v competition. See table 2 (24% and 20%). TPT has a higher ROCE 		
	ARA		
	Current Ratio: OFR rule to be applied		
	Knowledge (K): may include:		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Definition • Industry specific 		
	Application (P) may include:		
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1.27 in 2014 v 1.29 2013 (marginal increase) • Current assets such as the stock of tiles are likely to be relatively quickly turned into cash sales • Regular inflows of cash mean this low value is of less significance • Competitor analysis. See table 2 (1= low liquidity and 2= high opportunity cost). TPT probably has the most favourable value (given the retail sector) • Is the acid test ratio more applicable 		
	ARA		

Question	Answer			Marks																				
1(d)	<p>Analyse whether TPT will be likely to increase its dividend payment in the future. Use the accounts and any other relevant information to support your answer.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Level</th> <th>Knowledge AO1 2 marks</th> <th>Application AO2 5 marks</th> <th>Analysis AO3 6 marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>5 marks: Candidate fully engages with the case context and accounts (relevant ratios used)</td> <td>5–6 marks: Candidate fully develops analytical points.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>2 marks: Candidate shows clear and precise knowledge of dividends.</td> <td>3–4 marks: Candidate links case material to his answer with some use of the accounts.</td> <td>3–4 marks: Developed analysis of arguments.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>1 mark: Candidate offers a basic definition / understanding of dividends</td> <td>1–2 marks: Candidate makes a limited attempt to apply knowledge to the case study.</td> <td>1–2 marks: Weak analysis of ideas, failure to develop points.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td colspan="3">No rewardable response.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Level	Knowledge AO1 2 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 6 marks	3		5 marks: Candidate fully engages with the case context and accounts (relevant ratios used)	5–6 marks: Candidate fully develops analytical points.	2	2 marks: Candidate shows clear and precise knowledge of dividends.	3–4 marks: Candidate links case material to his answer with some use of the accounts.	3–4 marks: Developed analysis of arguments.	1	1 mark: Candidate offers a basic definition / understanding of dividends	1–2 marks: Candidate makes a limited attempt to apply knowledge to the case study.	1–2 marks: Weak analysis of ideas, failure to develop points.	0	No rewardable response.			13
Level	Knowledge AO1 2 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 6 marks																					
3		5 marks: Candidate fully engages with the case context and accounts (relevant ratios used)	5–6 marks: Candidate fully develops analytical points.																					
2	2 marks: Candidate shows clear and precise knowledge of dividends.	3–4 marks: Candidate links case material to his answer with some use of the accounts.	3–4 marks: Developed analysis of arguments.																					
1	1 mark: Candidate offers a basic definition / understanding of dividends	1–2 marks: Candidate makes a limited attempt to apply knowledge to the case study.	1–2 marks: Weak analysis of ideas, failure to develop points.																					
0	No rewardable response.																							
<p>Knowledge (K) may include:</p>																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Definition of dividends or dividend cover 																								
<p>Application (P) may include:</p>																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dividend cover ratio: profit for the year / annual dividend cost. 4.04 2014 v 4.81 2013 (well covered dividend) • Trading conditions are positive • Profitability is improving: Gross profit increased to £118 870 000 from £107 023 000, operating profit and ROCE also increased between 2013 and 2014 • Improving economic outlook • Dividend yield is 2.1% in 2014 ($2.25 / 107 \times 100$) in 2013 it was 1.7% ($1.50 / 88 \times 100$) • Directors want to fund growth through internal sources of funds so possibly less money for dividends 																								
<p>Analysis (A) may include:</p>																								
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Priority of the directors. Market share v dividend growth • Shareholders are likely to put pressure on the directors for improving dividends • Depends on strategic goal being reached • Increased competition could threaten profits and therefore dividends • The economic outlook could worsen 																								
<p>ARA</p>																								

Section 2

Question	Answer				Marks
2	Discuss whether a sustained decrease in UK unemployment should impact upon the strategic decisions of TPT.				25
	Level	Knowledge AO1 4 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 8 marks	Evaluation AO4 8 marks
	3		5 marks Arguments are consistently based upon case context.	6–8 marks Candidate fully develops analytical points.	6–8 marks Extensive, high-quality judgement shown.
	2	3–4 marks Good knowledge / understanding shown.	3–4 marks Candidate makes a good attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case scenario.	3–5 marks Developed analysis of arguments.	3–5 marks Good judgement shown.
	1	1–2 marks Some knowledge / understanding shown.	1–2 marks Candidate makes a very limited attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case study.	1–2 marks Weak analysis of the ideas, failure to develop points.	1–2 marks Weak judgement shown.
	0	No rewardable response.			
	<p>Knowledge (K) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Definition of unemployment • Knowledge / definition of ‘strategic decisions’ <p>Application (P) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Falling unemployment is a sign of an improving economic outlook • Average real wages are equally important • Falling unemployment should make expansion easier • Falling unemployment often leads to wage growth and the income elasticity demand figure is positive 0.78 • Lower unemployment makes recruitment more difficult (7 more stores opened in the last year) and the case states that falling unemployment is making recruitment more difficult • TPT may find it hard to retain good staff as unemployment falls 				

Question	Answer	Marks
2	<p>Analysis (A) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Type of jobs being created and pay levels • Regional differences in unemployment • Falling unemployment improves consumer confidence and may improve DIY spending on tiles • Lower unemployment could lead to higher wage demands increasing costs / prices • Higher living standards may prompt a move to more premium tiles and products • Price elasticity figures (-1.7 and -2.4 may become more elastic) if incomes fall • Lower unemployment could lead to inflation • Should the above points impact upon the strategic decisions of TPT <p>Evaluation (E) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other factors are also crucial such as the state of the housing market • 'should' is a key word in the question • Sustained decrease? Time period? Types of unemployment? • Unemployment is not the only factor to consider <p>ARA</p>	

Question	Answer				Marks
3	Evaluate the use of employee profit sharing at TPT.				25
Level	Knowledge AO1 4 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 8 marks	Evaluation AO4 8 marks	
3		5 marks Arguments are consistently based upon case context.	6–8 marks Candidate fully develops analytical points.	6–8 marks Extensive, high-quality judgement shown.	
2	3–4 marks Good knowledge / understanding shown.	3–4 marks Candidate makes a good attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case scenario.	3–5 marks Developed analysis of arguments.	3–5 marks Good judgement shown.	
1	1–2 marks Some knowledge / understanding shown.	1–2 marks Candidate makes a very limited attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case study.	1–2 marks Weak analysis of the ideas, failure to develop points.	1–2 marks Weak judgement shown.	
0	No rewardable response.				
<p>Knowledge (K) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Definition of employee profit sharing • Monetary v non-monetary (a mix) <p>Application (P) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Employees favour this approach • Some employees prefer an increase in basic pay • Productivity has increased. Is this due to the profit sharing or other factors • Is the cost sustainable: £9 827 000 in 2014, £6 251 000 in 2013 • Average bonus is £9 827 000 / 1817 = £5408.37 (if shared equally) • Employee incomes are likely to suffer in an economic downturn <p>Analysis (A) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of relevant motivational theories • Could it continue in a recession • How are profits shared? Is it fair? • Not the only way of motivating staff • Are the KPI fair? • What is the best criteria for deciding how profit sharing is allocated • Profit sharing can lead to resentment and demotivation • Employee incomes are less certain when relying on bonuses 					

Question	Answer	Marks
3	Evaluation (E) may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Is profit sharing more effective than the share scheme• Is manager performance appraisal subjective? ARA	

Question	Answer				Marks																									
4	<p>‘The primary goal for the business is to take profitable market share’ (Lines 10–11). Recommend and justify a potential strategy for TPT to achieve profitably its target market share.</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Level</th> <th>Knowledge AO1 4 marks</th> <th>Application AO2 5 marks</th> <th>Analysis AO3 8 marks</th> <th>Evaluation AO4 8 marks</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>3</td> <td></td> <td>5 marks Arguments are consistently based upon case context.</td> <td>6–8 marks Candidate fully develops analytical points.</td> <td>6–8 marks Extensive, high-quality judgement shown.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>3–4 marks Good knowledge / understanding shown.</td> <td>3–4 marks Candidate makes a good attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case scenario.</td> <td>3–5 marks Developed analysis of arguments.</td> <td>3–5 marks Good judgement shown.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>1–2 marks Some knowledge / understanding shown.</td> <td>1–2 marks Candidate makes a very limited attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case study.</td> <td>1–2 marks Weak analysis of the ideas, failure to develop points.</td> <td>1–2 marks Weak judgement shown.</td> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td colspan="4">No rewardable response.</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>				Level	Knowledge AO1 4 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 8 marks	Evaluation AO4 8 marks	3		5 marks Arguments are consistently based upon case context.	6–8 marks Candidate fully develops analytical points.	6–8 marks Extensive, high-quality judgement shown.	2	3–4 marks Good knowledge / understanding shown.	3–4 marks Candidate makes a good attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case scenario.	3–5 marks Developed analysis of arguments.	3–5 marks Good judgement shown.	1	1–2 marks Some knowledge / understanding shown.	1–2 marks Candidate makes a very limited attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case study.	1–2 marks Weak analysis of the ideas, failure to develop points.	1–2 marks Weak judgement shown.	0	No rewardable response.				25
Level	Knowledge AO1 4 marks	Application AO2 5 marks	Analysis AO3 8 marks	Evaluation AO4 8 marks																										
3		5 marks Arguments are consistently based upon case context.	6–8 marks Candidate fully develops analytical points.	6–8 marks Extensive, high-quality judgement shown.																										
2	3–4 marks Good knowledge / understanding shown.	3–4 marks Candidate makes a good attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case scenario.	3–5 marks Developed analysis of arguments.	3–5 marks Good judgement shown.																										
1	1–2 marks Some knowledge / understanding shown.	1–2 marks Candidate makes a very limited attempt to apply knowledge to the specific case study.	1–2 marks Weak analysis of the ideas, failure to develop points.	1–2 marks Weak judgement shown.																										
0	No rewardable response.																													
<p>Knowledge (K) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Definition of market share, profitably, strategy • Application (P) may include: • Target MS is 33%. 9% greater than 2013 (2.7 / 30.3) • Increased competition may make this aim difficult (Table 2 businesses) • Increasing reliance on trade customers could be problematic (lower margins) <p>Analysis (A) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use of a strategic model (Boston, Ansoff, Porter, 4 p’s) • Strategy could focus on higher margin DIY customers • Increased marketing spend • Potential diseconomies of scale <p>Evaluation (E) may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Profitable market share is key • Depends on the external economic environment <p>ARA</p>																														

Question	Answer	Marks
4	<p>A: Providing an inspirational shopping experience</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Store refurbishment• Staff expertise <p>B: Product development</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Increased range of tiles and accessories• Quality of the tiles• Competitive pricing of the tiles• Renewed focus on DIY customers <p>C: Multi-channel distribution</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Open more stores• Improve the online offering	