

Cambridge Pre-U

ECONOMICS

Paper 1 Multiple Choice, Short Answers and Data Response MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 80 9772/01 For examination from 2020

Specimen

This specimen paper has been updated for assessments from 2020. The specimen questions and mark schemes remain the same. The layout and wording of the front covers have been updated to reflect the new Cambridge International branding and to make instructions clearer for candidates.

This syllabus is regulated for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland as a Cambridge International Level 3 Pre-U Certificate.

This document has 10 pages. Blank pages are indicated.

Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit
 is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme,
 referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

Cambridge Pre-U – Mark Scheme **SPECIMEN**

Section A

Multiple Choice Answer Key

Question	Answer	Marks
1	D	1
2	D	1
3	C	1
4	Α	1
5	C	1
6	В	1
7	A	1
8	D	1
9	D	1
10	В	1
11	Α	1
12	В	1
13	D	1
14	В	1
15	С	1
16	В	1
17	Α	1
18	D	1
19	D	1
20	A	1
21	С	1
22	В	1
23	D	1
24	Α	1
25	С	1
26	В	1
27	A	1
28	Α	1
29	D	1
30	C	1

Section B

Question	Answer		
31(a)	For an answer that explains that the mpc reflects the proportion (or similar phrase) of any extra income received that will be spent [1]. For an appropriate example, e.g. if mpc = 0.8 and income increase by £100, then an extra £80 will be spent [1].	2	
31(b)	k = 5 [1]. From 1/ (1–0.8) although working not required. No marks awarded if error made but note that incorrect answer is not penalised again in part (c)	1	
31(c)	Final change = $k \times initial$ change. For calculating that initial change = £16bn [1]. For candidate's answer to (b) × candidate's initial change [1]. This should be $5 \times £16bn = £80bn$. Full marks to be awarded if correct answer is provided regardless of any working shown.	2	

Question	Answer		
32(a)	For statement that MC = Price [1]	1	
32(b)	For an answer that clearly explains that MC represents the 'cost to society' of producing the marginal unit, whereas Price reflects society's valuation of this unit [2]. Similarly if the answer explains that if we do not have equality in these two variables then either it is costing society more to produce than the additional benefit gained or vice versa [max 2 marks].		
32(c)	For explanation that negative externalities will mean that MC = Price will cause an inefficient allocation of resources since what we actually want is MSC = MSB and that MC = Price only gives us this when there are no externalities since for 'decision makers' MC = MPC and Price reflects MPB – or similar (e.g. if deadweight loss is demonstrated on the diagram then this should be rewarded) [2]. Award a mark if answer states that there will be an 'over-provision' but doesn't explain why.	2	

Question	Answer	Marks
33(a)	Goods Y and Z are complementary goods [1] because the relevant cross- price elasticities of demand are negative (or similar explanation) [1].	2
33(b)	Given own price elasticity of demand is –0.8, this means that it is (price) inelastic demand [1] hence if the price of the good were to fall, there will be a fall in total revenue (TR) received [1]. The final mark should be awarded either for an explanation that the percentage increase in quantity demanded is less than the percentage fall in price (or similar) or for use of a diagram showing both average revenue (AR) and TR and a clear indication that a movement down the AR curve at the relevant point leads to a fall in TR. If diagram clearly shows lower TR then this should be rewarded [1].	3

Question	Answer	
34(a)	Since average rate of tax (ART) for income of £10000 is 10% and for income of £50000 it is 18% (or similar such explanation) [1] hence the system is progressive [1]. (Key word is 'explain' not 'state'.)	2

Question	Answer	
34(b)	For mention of the fact that there will be less incentive to work / less net reward from working [1]. For further explanation that the 'substitution effect' will have made work less attractive and leisure more attractive, or that the 'opportunity cost' of leisure has fallen or similar – up to [2].	3

Section C

Question	Answer			
35(a)	number o	information in the first paragra f workers who were receiving le s introduction, according to the	ess than the relevant NMW rate	2
		Knowledge and Application		
	2 marks	Accurate calculation of 23 m \times 8 Give full marks if 1909000 is give	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	1 mark	An attempt is made to find 8.3% and answer is incorrect – or 1.9		
	0 mark	No use of the relevant figures is	made.	
35(b)	Using Tab	ble 35.1		
35(b)(i)		the total percentage change in ween its start in April 1999 and (
			Application	
	1 mark		Correct application of 64%.	
35(b)(ii)	-	s figure and other evidence fron 'a significant rise in real terms'	•	
		Knowledge	Application	
	1 mark	Clear understanding demonstrated of the need to show that the percentage increase in the NMW has been greater than the percentage increase in the CPI over the	Correct calculation of change in CPI being roughly 24.7%.	

Question		Answer		Marks
35(c)		nat the benefit system shou to avoid creating poverty tra ' (Extract 2 lines 13–15)		
35(c)(i)	Explain what is meant by	this sentence.		2
35(c)(ii)	Using both the extract and your own knowledge explain how this issue has been addressed in recent years.			3
	Knowledge – for part (i)	Application – for part (ii)	Analysis – for part (i	i)
2 marks	Good demonstration of knowledge in the explanation of what is meant by the poverty trap and why the level of the NMW is of relevance.	Both mention from extract (lines 14 to 19) and demonstration of some knowledge of recent developments over and above what is mentioned in the extract.		
1 mark	A superficial display of knowledge.	Either just correct 'regurgitation' from extract OR demonstration of some knowledge of recent developments but not both.	e.g. analysis of whet changes in legislatio had an effect on the of the poverty trap in last year or so.	n have issue
0 mark	No knowledge displayed in answer – simply statement of provided facts.	No relevant application of knowledge.	No relevant analysis	

Candidates should be using the extract as a stimulus for example but will have to show knowledge of recent developments in the UK to gain full marks. For example, at the time of writing, lain Duncan Smith, Secretary of State for Work and Pensions, has just been putting forward his proposals aimed at making it impossible to be better off on benefits than in work. Clearly candidates will have to have kept up with how this has developed.

Question	Answer			Marks
35(d)	analysis,	evidence provided in Extract 2 evaluate the statement that it is and experience.		10
		Analysis	Evaluation	
	6 marks		Clear evidence of evaluation and excellent awareness of the relative strengths of the arguments. At this top level there will need to be discussion of the idea of 'right for whom'.	
	5 marks		Clear evidence of evaluation and excellent awareness of the relative strengths of the arguments given.	
	4 marks	Good use of relevant statistics from the table to substantiate any arguments made, along with clear analysis of the arguments put forward in Extract 2. At this top level the candidate will also draw on points from their own knowledge over and above those provided.	Some clear evidence of evaluation, but limited discussion of the relative strengths of the arguments given.	
	3 marks	A reasonable attempt to consider the implications of the different levels for the NMW.	Some evidence of evaluation or limited awareness of the relative strengths of the arguments given but not both.	
	2 marks	Either superficial analysis of several points or greater in-depth analysis of just a single issue. Unlikely to see any statistical justifications used or the use of the candidate's own knowledge.	Some evidence of an attempt at evaluation but rather superficial.	
	1 mark	An attempt is made to analyse the effects, but there are major inaccuracies and/or omissions.	Very limited evaluation.	
	0 mark	No relevant analysis.	No evaluation.	
	young wor Candidate favour of y prior to 20 to support the idea of as in 'corre	nentions both the need for greater kers and a direct attempt to try to s may see this as a form of 'positi young workers or as greater exploi 04/2010. Better candidates should their arguments. It is important th f 'right' and better candidates will r ect' and right as in 'fair'. There ma	reduce youth unemployment. ve discrimination' working in the tation of this group – particularly d use the figures from Table 35.1 at the question stays focused on nake a distinction between right	

Question		Answer		Marks
35(d)	workers. At the top end there will be definite discussion of how more than one group is affected by the policy – i.e. the answer will need to be about more than if it is right for young workers. Similarly to achieve full marks there needs to be some discussion of both age and experience. For example, the answer may comment upon the fact that apprentices in Oct 2010 received £2.50 per hour in the first year of their apprenticeship, regardless of age.			
35(e)	Evaluate whether the increased use of internships is beneficial for employers, for potential interns and for the UK economy overall.		10	
		Analysis	Evaluation	
	6 marks		Clear evidence of evaluation and excellent awareness of the relative strengths of the arguments given.	
	5 marks		Clear evidence of evaluation and very good awareness of the relative strengths of the arguments given.	
	4 marks	Good explanation of a suitable range of relevant issues within a clear structure.	Clear evidence of evaluation and good awareness of the relative strengths of the arguments given.	
	3 marks	Reasonable explanation of a limited range of relevant issues: some structure to the answer.	Some evidence of evaluation and/or limited awareness of the relative strengths of the arguments given.	
	2 marks	Partial explanation given: a limited or unstructured answer.	Some evidence of evaluation.	
	1 mark	Partial explanation given; a very limited answer.	Limited evaluation.	
	0 mark	No relevant explanation.	No evaluation.	
	extracts bu candidate not only fro also from t economy of for the pot linking in t of the mar justified as majority of	ion requires candidates to use the at in particular in Extract 1. As imp to score highly it will be necessary om the point of view of the person the employer's perspective and fro overall. The candidate is likely to fre ential intern – and it is possible that he recent rise in tuition fees and the ket'. However, credit should only to be being of relevance to the question interns are graduates, recent char n become an intern'.	lied by the question, for a y for the issue to be looked at undertaking the internship, but om the perspective of the UK ocus on the financial implications at there may be some discussion he issue of 'pricing students out be given here if its inclusion is n, e.g. 'One could argue that if the	
	Better ans exclusively	wers will consider a range of issue	es, including but by no means	

• Firms being able to try out potential workers, without commitment.

Question	Answer			
35(e)	 Firms clearly being able to use the system as a way of reducing their labour costs. Prohibitive 'costs' to interns leading to the best candidates never being able to be considered by firms. A huge barrier to entry into certain industries for people of limited means. Would even a NMW for interns be enough to allow them to live in a city e.g. London? A way for students to impress prior to interview. Possible exploitation of 'desperate young people' by unscrupulous agencies. 			

BLANK PAGE