

Cambridge International Examinations Cambridge Pre-U Certificate

GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES AND INDEPENDENT RESEARCH (INTERNATIONAL)

9766/03 May/June 2016

Paper 3 Presentation MARK SCHEME Maximum Mark: 40

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2016 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.

International Examinations

Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
	Cambridge Pre-U – May/June 2016	9766	03	

AO1: 15% (6) AO2: 15% (6) AO3: 40% (16) AO4: 30% (12)

- The assessment objectives (AOs) are to some extent inter-dependent and the presentations should be marked holistically using the levelbased mark scheme below.
- Examiners should look at each section of the level descriptors. If all are solidly attained for a level, the top mark for the level is to be awarded. Descriptors describe the top mark of each level.
- There will frequently be some aspects of the answer which fall within a level and some within the level below. Examiners should award a lower mark in the higher band according to this balance. All marking will be positive. Examiners will use the full range of marks and look for the 'best fit', not a 'perfect fit'.
- There is no requirement for candidates to use technical Critical Thinking terms to access any level and candidates will **not** be rewarded for their use unless they are directly linked to the demands of the question.

Level	Marks	Indicative content				
5	33–40	The presentation is clearly focused on an issue of global significance and is firmly based on the source material. The presentation is logically structured and coherently argued with clear lines of reasoning and well-supported judgements. The candidate reflects sympathetically on the global issues and perspectives, based on sufficient insightful and enquiring research. A range of relevant and credible sources has been synthesised , cited and fully referenced. The candidate shows genuine empathy with alternative perspectives and arguments and either justifies disagreement with these perspectives or finds a viable compromise between them. The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based logically on the evidence, reasoning and personal reflection presented and effectively answers the question posed.				

• The running time for the presentation must not exceed 15 minutes. Examiners will not credit material after the 15 minute limit.

		Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper]	
			Cambridge Pre-U – May/June 2016	9766	03		
4	25–32	 The presentation is focused on an issue of global significance and is based on the source material. The presentation is well structured and well argued with some lines of reasoning and some well-supported judgements. The candidate reflects on the global issues and perspectives with some sympathy and based on some insightful or enquiring research. A range of relevant or credible sources has been selected, some of which has been synthesised, cited and accurately referenced. The candidate shows some empathy with alternative perspectives and arguments and adequately justifies disagreement with these perspectives, finding an appropriate compromise between them. The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based on the evidence, reasoning and personal reflection presented 					
3	17–24	 and adequately answers the question posed. The presentation has some global significance and is based on the source material. The presentation has some structure and contains some well argued points, some lines of reasoning and some suppor judgements. The candidate shows some reflection on the global issues and perspectives with some sympathy and based on some enquiring research. A range of sources has been selected, but they may lack some relevance or credibility. Some references are cited and accurately referenced. The candidate shows some empathy for alternative perspectives and arguments and partially justifies disagreement with these perspectives, finding a compromise between them. The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based partly on the evidence, reasoning and personal reflection presented which provides a partial answer to the question posed. 					

		Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
			Cambridge Pre-U – May/June 2016	9766	03	
	9–16	 The presentation has some relevance to the source material, but of limited global significance or focus. The presentation has some structure and contains some argued points, some lines of reasoning and some supported judgements. The candidate shows some reflection on the global issues or perspectives with some sympathy and based on some 				
2		research. A range of s referenced.	sources has been used , but they lack some relevance and some cre	edibility. Sor	ne sources	s are cited and
			te shows some empathy with alternative perspectives or arguments ectives, without finding a compromise between them.	and partiall y	/ justifies c	lisagreement with
			te's conclusion, or personal perspective is based partly on the evider hich provides a partial answer to the question posed.	nce, reasoni	ng or pers	onal reflection
	1–8	The present	ation lacks a single focus or has limited relevance to the source mat	erial.		
1		The present which lack s	ation lacks structure and makes arguments which are limited , with li support.	mited lines (of reasonir	ng and judgements
		The candida research.	te shows limited reflection on the global issues or perspectives with	little sympa	thy and ba	sed on limited
		A limited ra referenced.	nge of sources has been used , most of which lack relevance and/or	credibility.	ew source	es are cited and
			te shows limited empathy with alternative perspectives and/or argur nt with these perspectives.	nents and la	cks justific	cation for any
			te's conclusion or personal perspective is limited and lacks evidence provides a limited answer to the question posed.	e, reasoning	and perso	onal reflection. The
0	0	No creditwo	rthy material has been submitted.			