MARK SCHEME for the May/June 2015 series

9777 GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES & INDEPENDENT RESEARCH

9777/03

Paper 3 (Presentation), maximum raw mark 40

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2015 series for most Cambridge IGCSE[®], Cambridge International A and AS Level components and some Cambridge O Level components.

® IGCSE is the registered trademark of Cambridge International Examinations.



Page 2	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
	Cambridge Pre-U – May/June 2015	9777	03	

AO1: 15% (6) AO2: 15% (6) AO3: 40% (16) AO4: 30% (12)

- The assessment objectives (AOs) are to some extent inter-dependent and the presentations should be marked holistically using the levelbased mark scheme below.
- Examiners should look at each section of the level descriptors. If all are solidly attained for a level, the top mark for the level is to be awarded. Descriptors describe the top mark of each level.
- There will frequently be some aspects of the answer which fall within a level and some within the level below. Examiners should award a lower mark in the higher band according to this balance. All marking will be positive. Examiners will use the full range of marks and look for the 'best fit', not a 'perfect fit'.
- There is no requirement for candidates to use technical Critical Thinking terms to access any level and candidates will **not** be rewarded for their use unless they are directly linked to the demands of the question.

Level	Marks	Indicative content				
5	33–40	The presentation is clearly focused on an issue of global significance and is firmly based on the source material. The presentation is logically structured and coherently argued with clear lines of reasoning and well-supported judgements. The candidate reflects sympathetically on the global issues and perspectives, based on sufficient insightful and enquiring research. A range of relevant and credible sources has been synthesised , cited and fully referenced. The candidate shows genuine empathy with alternative perspectives and arguments and either justifies disagreement with these perspectives or finds a viable compromise between them. The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based logically on the evidence, reasoning and personal reflection presented and effectively answers the question posed.				

• The running time for the presentation must not exceed 15 minutes. Examiners will not credit material after the 15 minute limit.

		Page 3	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper]
			Cambridge Pre-U – May/June 2015	9777	03	
4	25–32	 The presentation is focused on an issue of global significance and is based on the source material. The presentation is well structured and well argued with some lines of reasoning and some well-supported judgements. The candidate reflects on the global issues and perspectives with some sympathy and based on some insightful or enquiring research. A range of relevant or credible sources has been selected, some of which has been synthesised, cited and accurately referenced. The candidate shows some empathy with alternative perspectives and arguments and adequately justifies disagreement with these perspectives, finding an appropriate compromise between them. The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based on the evidence, reasoning and personal reflection presented and adequately answers the question posed. 				
3	17–24	 The presentation has some global significance and is based on the source material. The presentation has some structure and contains some well argued points, some lines of reasoning and some suppor judgements. The candidate shows some reflection on the global issues and perspectives with some sympathy and based on some enquiring research. A range of sources has been selected, but they may lack some relevance or credibility. Some references are cited and accurately referenced. The candidate shows some empathy for alternative perspectives and arguments and partially justifies disagreement with these perspectives, finding a compromise between them. The candidate's conclusion, or personal perspective is based partly on the evidence, reasoning and personal reflection presented which provides a partial answer to the question posed. 				based on some bes are cited and disagreement with

		Page 4	Mark Scheme	Syllabus	Paper	
			Cambridge Pre-U – May/June 2015	9777	03	
	9–16		ation has some relevance to the source material, but of limited globation has some structure and contains some argued points, some limited by the source of the	0		
2		The candidat research.	e shows some reflection on the global issues or perspectives with s	some sympa	thy and bas	sed on some
		A range of so referenced.	ources has been used , but they lack some relevance and some cre	edibility. Sor	ne sources	are cited and
			e shows some empathy with alternative perspectives or arguments ectives, without finding a compromise between them.	and partially	y justifies d	lisagreement with
			e's conclusion, or personal perspective is based partly on the eviden nich provides a partial answer to the question posed.	nce, reasoni	ng or perso	onal reflection
	1–8	The presenta	ation lacks a single focus or has limited relevance to the source ma	terial.		
1		The presenta which lack si	ation lacks structure and makes arguments which are limited , with l upport.	imited lines	of reasonin	g and judgements
		The candidat research.	e shows limited reflection on the global issues or perspectives with	little sympa	thy and ba	sed on limited
		A limited ran referenced.	nge of sources has been used , most of which lack relevance and/or	credibility.	Few source	es are cited and
			e shows limited empathy with alternative perspectives and/or argunt with these perspectives.	ments and la	cks justific	ation for any
			e's conclusion or personal perspective is limited and lacks evidence provides a limited answer to the question posed.	e, reasoning	and perso	nal reflection. The
0	0	No creditwor	thy material has been submitted.			