

Cambridge Assessment International Education

Cambridge Pre-U Certificate

MANDARIN CHINESE (PRINCIPAL)

9778/03

Paper 3 Writing and Usage

May/June 2018

MARK SCHEME
Maximum Mark: 60

Published

This mark scheme is published as an aid to teachers and candidates, to indicate the requirements of the examination. It shows the basis on which Examiners were instructed to award marks. It does not indicate the details of the discussions that took place at an Examiners' meeting before marking began, which would have considered the acceptability of alternative answers.

Mark schemes should be read in conjunction with the question paper and the Principal Examiner Report for Teachers.

Cambridge International will not enter into discussions about these mark schemes.

Cambridge International is publishing the mark schemes for the May/June 2018 series for most Cambridge IGCSE™, Cambridge International A and AS Level and Cambridge Pre-U components, and some Cambridge O Level components.

 $\mathsf{IGCSE}^{\intercal \mathsf{M}} \text{ is a registered trademark}.$

This syllabus is approved for use in England, Wales and Northern Ireland as a Cambridge International Level 3 Pre-U Certificate.



Generic Marking Principles

These general marking principles must be applied by all examiners when marking candidate answers. They should be applied alongside the specific content of the mark scheme or generic level descriptors for a question. Each question paper and mark scheme will also comply with these marking principles.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 1:

Marks must be awarded in line with:

- the specific content of the mark scheme or the generic level descriptors for the question
- the specific skills defined in the mark scheme or in the generic level descriptors for the question
- the standard of response required by a candidate as exemplified by the standardisation scripts.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 2:

Marks awarded are always whole marks (not half marks, or other fractions).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 3:

Marks must be awarded **positively**:

- marks are awarded for correct/valid answers, as defined in the mark scheme. However, credit is given for valid answers which go beyond the scope of the syllabus and mark scheme, referring to your Team Leader as appropriate
- marks are awarded when candidates clearly demonstrate what they know and can do
- marks are not deducted for errors
- marks are not deducted for omissions
- answers should only be judged on the quality of spelling, punctuation and grammar when these features are specifically assessed by the question as indicated by the mark scheme. The meaning, however, should be unambiguous.

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 4:

Rules must be applied consistently e.g. in situations where candidates have not followed instructions or in the application of generic level descriptors.

© UCLES 2018 Page 2 of 8

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 5:

Marks should be awarded using the full range of marks defined in the mark scheme for the question (however; the use of the full mark range may be limited according to the quality of the candidate responses seen).

GENERIC MARKING PRINCIPLE 6:

Marks awarded are based solely on the requirements as defined in the mark scheme. Marks should not be awarded with grade thresholds or grade descriptors in mind.

© UCLES 2018 Page 3 of 8

Question	Answer	Marks
1(a)	Each of the three correctly identified radical is awarded 1 mark.	3
	ıJ	
	//	
475		
1(b)	Each of the three characters' stroke order correctly written is awarded 1 mark.	3
	到一千千千千百日到	
	第一个个件件等等	
	#一十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十十	
2	a. 到 or (v) – 1 mark b. 过 or (i) – 1 mark c. 的 or (viii) – 1 mark d. 不 or (ii) – 1 mark	4

© UCLES 2018 Page 4 of 8

Question	Answer		Mar
3	Mark breakdown:		
	Communication	Mark	
	Information on what the school facilities are like	1	
	Information regarding Chinese study in your school	1	
	A description of joint activity	1	
	A question about students in China	1	
	Appropriate opening and closing in a formal register	1	
	Accuracy of Characters	Mark	
	Highly accurate, with a wide range of characters including some more difficult or unusual ones correctly written, with occasional minor slips.	5	
	Not as consistently accurate nor as wide a range as the highest level, but a good range of characters attempted with easy and moderately easy characters correctly written.	4	
	A more limited range with most easy characters correctly written.	3	
	Substantially inaccurate despite several examples of correctly written characters.	2	
	Substantially inaccurate, with only isolated examples of correctly written characters.	1	
	No relevant material presented.	0	

© UCLES 2018 Page 5 of 8

Question	Answer		Mark
3	Accuracy of Grammar and Structures	Mark	
	Highly accurate including use of more complex structures, but with occasional minor slips.	10/9	
	Accurate in use of simpler structures, except for occasional more serious errors/more frequent slips.	8/7	
	Generally accurate, but with increased incidence of more serious errors (or an extremely limited range of structures).	6/5	
	Substantially inaccurate, despite several examples of accurate usage.	4/3	
	Substantially inaccurate, with only isolated examples of accurate usage.	2/1	
	No relevant material presented.	0	

© UCLES 2018 Page 6 of 8

Question	Answer		Mark
4			3
	Accuracy and Linguistic Range	Mark	
	Excellent. Almost flawless. Excellent range of vocabulary and complex sentence patterns. Good sense of idiom.	19-20	
	Very good. Highly accurate. Wide range of vocabulary and complex sentence patterns. Some sense of idiom.	16-18	
	Good. Generally accurate. Good range of vocabulary and some complex sentence patterns.	12-15	
	Satisfactory. Predominantly simple sentence patterns correctly used and/or some complex language attempted, but with variable success. Adequate range of vocabulary, but some repetition.	8-11	
	Weak. Persistent errors. Simple and repetitive sentence patterns. Limited vocabulary.	4-7	
	Poor. Little evidence of grammatical awareness. Very limited vocabulary.	1-3	
	No relevant material presented	0	

© UCLES 2018 Page 7 of 8

Question	Answer		Mark
4			
	Development and Organisation of Ideas	Mark	
	Excellent. Implications of question fully grasped. Ideas and arguments very effectively organised, illustrated with relevant examples. Wholly convincing.	10	
	Very good. Most implications of question explored. Ideas and arguments well organised, illustrated with relevant examples. Coherent argument.	8-9	
	Good. Main implications of question explored. Organisation generally clear but lacking coherence in places. Some relevant examples. Some ability to develop argument.	6-7	
	Satisfactory. Some implications of question explored. Patchy or unambitious organisation, but with some attempt at illustration. Some irrelevant material.	4-5	
	Weak. Limited understanding of question. A few relevant points made. Rambling and/or repetitive. Ideas and arguments poorly developed.	2-3	
	Poor. Minimal response. Implications of question only vaguely grasped. Very limited relevant content. Disorganised, unsubstantiated and undeveloped.	1	
	No relevant material presented	0	

© UCLES 2018 Page 8 of 8