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| Section A | marks] |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q | Marking Points | Marks | Totals |  |
| 1 (a) | wave approaching slit with same wavelength as wave leaving slit wave emerging from slit as almost as if from a point source | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 2 | 13 |
| (b) (i) | 1 (path difference $=$ ) $5.2 \times 10^{-6} \times \sin 10^{\circ}=9.03 \times 10^{-7}(\mathrm{~m})$ | 1 |  |  |
|  | $2 \begin{aligned} & d \sin \theta=\lambda \\ & \begin{array}{l} \sin \theta=5.9 \times 10^{-7} / 5.2 \times 10^{-6} \\ \theta=6.51 \text { (degrees) } \end{array} \quad \text { ecf from (b)(i)1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | $35.9 \times 10^{-7} / 5.2 \times 10^{-6}=0.113$ $1 / 0.113=8.8$, so maximum 8 $8+8+1\left(\right.$ at $\left.\theta=0^{\circ}\right)=17$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| (ii) | 1 either diagram showing a phase difference of $90^{\circ}$ resultant wave indicated and with an amplitude of 1.41a | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | 2 intensity $\alpha$ amplitude ${ }^{2}$ <br> intensity of two waves in phase $=4 \times$ intensity of one wave intensity of resultant here is $(1.41 a)^{2}=2 \times$ intensity of one wave $\text { (intensity }=\text { maximum intensity } \times \text { ) } \frac{1}{2}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \end{aligned}$ | 11 |  |


| 2 (a) | first law: planets move in elliptical orbits with the Sun at one focus second law: Sun-planet line sweeps out equal areas in equal times third law: orbital period squared of a planet is proportional to its mean distance from the Sun cubed <br> maximum 2 marks for all circular orbits | 1 <br> 1 $2$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (b) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { acceleration }=v^{2} / r=F / \mathrm{m}=(-) G M / r \\ & v=2 \pi r / T \\ & \text { combine to get } 4 \pi^{2} r^{3} / T^{2}=G M \text { and hence } r^{3} \alpha T^{2} \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 1 | 3 |
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| (c) (i) | $\begin{aligned} & (29.5 / 1)^{2}=r_{\mathrm{s}}^{3} /\left(1.50 \times 10^{11}\right)^{3} \\ & r_{\mathrm{s}}=\sqrt[3]{2.937 \times 10^{36}} \\ & =1.43 \times 10^{12}(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | any one from <br> Saturn and Earth are considered as point masses the Sun's mass is so large that other masses are insignificant in comparison | 1 | 4 |  |
| (d) | use of $4 \pi^{2} r^{3} / T^{2}=G M$ $\begin{aligned} & r^{3}=(23.4 \times 24 \times 3600)^{2} \times 6.67 \times 10^{-11} \times 1.99 \times 10^{30} / 4 \pi^{2} \\ & r=\sqrt[3]{1.734 \times 10^{31}}=2.4 \times 10^{10}(\mathrm{~m}) \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 | 2 | 13 |


| 3 (a) (i) | (electric field strength) is the force acting per unit positive charge | 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (i) | equally spaced, parallel straight lines with arrows down over most of space between plates curved lines shown at edges (showing weaker, non-uniform field) | 1 1 |  |  |
| (iii) | $1(W=) V Q$ | 1 |  |  |
|  | $2(W=) F d$ | 1 |  |  |
| (iv) | $V Q=F d$ so force per unit charge (electric field strength) $=F / Q$ <br> $=V / d$ (as potential gradient) | 1 1 | 7 |  |
| (b) (i) | use of $F=q_{1} q_{2} / 4 \pi \varepsilon_{0} d^{2}$ and knowing what each term represents $(F=) 1 \times 10^{-6} \times 4 \times 10^{-6} / 4 \times 8.85 \times 10^{-12} \times(0.06)^{2}=9.99(\mathrm{~N})$ accept 10 ( N ) | 1 1 |  |  |
| (ii) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 / d^{2}=4 /\left(6.0-d^{2}\right) \\ & 4 d^{2}=6.0-d^{2} \\ & 2 d=6.0-d, \text { so } d=2.0(\mathrm{~cm}) \text { or } 0.02 \mathrm{~m} \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 1 |  |  |
| (iii) | any three from <br> field lines at right angles to equipotential lines more equipotential lines around $4 \mu \mathrm{C}$ charge than $1 \mu \mathrm{C}$ charge equipotential lines dipping towards neutral point equipotential lines encompassing both charges further out | 3 | 8 | 15 |

4 (a) (i) $3 \times 1.38 \times 10^{-23} \times(27+273) / 2=6.2 \times 10^{-21}$
Joules or J
$1 \underline{m}$ : mass of a molecule
$2 \quad{\left\langle c^{2}\right\rangle}^{2}$ : mean value of all the squares of the speeds of the molecules
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|  | $3 \quad \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~m}\left\langle\mathrm{c}^{2}\right\rangle$ : mean value of kinetic energy of a molecule | 1 | 5 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (b) | $\begin{aligned} & c_{n} / c_{0}=(32 / 28)^{\frac{1}{2}}=(0.875)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & =1.07 \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 2 |  |
| (c) | (... equals) the work done on the system plus heat supplied to the system | 1 | 2 |  |
| (d) (i) | $\begin{aligned} & (W=p \Delta V)=8.00 \times 10^{6} \times(7.10-3.00) \times 10^{-5} \\ & =328(\mathrm{~J}) \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  |
| (ii) | $\begin{aligned} & (Q=m c \Delta \theta)=1.27 \times 10^{-3} \times 1.01 \times 10^{3} \times 900 \\ & 1154(\mathrm{~J}) \end{aligned}$ | 1 |  |  |
| (iii) | $1154-328=826(\mathrm{~J}) \quad$ ecf from (d)(i) and (d)(ii) | 1 | 5 | 14 |

5 (a) (i) the random nature of decay (of a particular nucleus) implies that the only factor affecting the decay is the number $N$ of particles present
the fixed probability of decay per unit time is the decay constant $\lambda$

(b) for $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{i}}-59, \lambda=\ln 2 / 80000=8.66 \times 10^{-6}$
hence activity $=2.1 \times 10^{12} \times \mathrm{e}^{-8.66 \times 0.001}=2.08 \times 10^{12}(\mathrm{~Bq})$
for $N_{i}-63, \lambda=\ln 2 / 92=7.53 \times 10^{-3}$
hence activity $=2.1 \times 10^{12} \times \mathrm{e}^{-7.53}=0.123 \times 10^{12}(\mathrm{~Bq})$
(c)

Ni-59: its (low) activity remains approximately constant for a long time
Ni-63: activity will decrease to the same low level after a long time


3

6 (a) (i) $E_{1}=-13.6 \mathrm{eV} / 1, E_{3}=-13.6 \mathrm{eV} / 9=-1.51(\mathrm{eV})$
$E_{\mathrm{n}}=-1.51 \mathrm{eV}-(-13.6 \mathrm{eV})=12.1(\mathrm{eV})$
$=12.1 \times 1.60 \times 10^{-19}=1.94 \times 10^{-18}(\mathrm{~J})$
(ii) $E=h c / \lambda$ so $\lambda=h c / E$
$=6.63 \times 10^{-34} \times 3.00 \times 10^{8} / 1.94 \times 10^{-18}=1.07 \times 10^{-7}(\mathrm{~m})$
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| (b) (i) | any two from <br> radiation from a moving source will have its wavelength altered or <br> there is a Doppler effect/shift <br> the change (in wavelength) depends on speed of the radiation and <br> the speed of the source <br> galaxy is moving away (receding) from the Earth | 2 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | use of $\Delta \lambda / \lambda$ is approximately equal to $v / c$ and knowing what each <br> term represents <br> $(v=) 3.00 \times 10^{8} \times(1.28-1.03) / 1.03=7.3 \times 10^{7}\left(\mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ | 1 | 1 |


| Section B [60 marks] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 (a) | direction of oscillation of molecules/particles is parallel to the direction of travel/wave propagation |  | $1$ | 2 |
| (b) | any two points that refer to both types of waves |  | 2 | 2 |
|  | Progressive waves | Standing waves |  |  |
|  | Transfer energy | Store energy |  |  |
|  | There is a varying phase relationship between particles in a wave | Particles between adjacent nodes are in phase |  |  |
|  | All particles have the maximum displacement | Only the antinodal particles have the maximum displacement. |  |  |
| (c) (i) | 1 correctly identified particle (labelled O ) which is $\pi$ radians out of phase with particle $X$ |  | 1 |  |
|  | 2 arrow drawn (at X) pointing vertically upwards |  | 1 |  |
| (ii) | twice distance from X to horizontal axis $=2 \times 0.06 \mathrm{~cm}=0.12$ (cm) |  | 1 | 3 |
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| (d) | evidence that $\frac{1}{6}$ of wavelength $/ 2 \pi$ has been sought distance between given peak and drawn adjacent peak is $\frac{1}{6}$ of wavelength <br> a complete wave is drawn reasonably consistently placed relative to the given wave |  | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (e) (i) | droplets are at the nodes or where there is no net displacement | 1 |  |  |
| (ii) | evidence that candidate realises that $\lambda=2 \times$ nodal separation $\begin{aligned} & \lambda=(2.4 \times 2) \times 0.25=1.2 \mathrm{~cm} \\ & f=v / \lambda=9.7 / 1.2=8.1(\mathrm{~Hz}) \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 4 |  |
| (f) (i) | 1 <br> one mark for each correct column | 2 |  |  |
|  | 2 All points plotted and smooth line of best fit (curve) drawn | 1 |  |  |
| (ii) | $1 \begin{aligned} & 180=10 \log \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{w}}}{P_{\mathrm{s}}}\right) \text { and } 200=10 \log _{10}\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{ps}}}{P_{\mathrm{s}}}\right) \\ & \frac{20}{18}=\log \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{ps}}}{P_{\mathrm{s}}}\right) / \log \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{w}}}{P_{\mathrm{s}}}\right) \\ & \left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{ps}}}{P_{\mathrm{w}}}\right)=\frac{10^{20}}{10^{18}}=10^{2}=100 \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 |  |  |
|  | 2 the rate at which the shrimp provides energy exceeds that of the whale, but the whale's wave carries far more energy/is more intense | 1 | 6 | 20 |


| 8 (a) | acceleration is proportional to displacement acceleration directed to equilibrium point | 1 | 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (b) (i) | $v=-A \omega \sin (\omega t)$ | 1 |  |  |
| (ii) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (from graph in Fig. 8.2) } \\ & T=0.58(\mathrm{~s}) \\ & A=0.65(\mathrm{~cm}) \\ & \omega=2 \pi / T \text { or substitution } v=-0.65 \times 10.83 \sin (10.83 \times 0.94) \\ & v=(-) 1.25\left(\mathrm{~cm} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right) \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 1 1 |  |  |
| (iii) | a arrow upwards $v$ arrow upwards | 1 1 |  |  |
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| $9 \quad$ (a) (i) | any three from <br> electrons experience a force (as they move) in the magnetic field direction of force given by Fleming's LHR <br> build-up of electrons at (upper) surface/E field created between (upper and lower) surfaces <br> $V_{H}$ is pd between surfaces when electric and magnetic forces balance | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (ii) | force due to electric field vertically upwards and force due to magnetic field vertically downwards | 1 |  |
| (iii) | 1 recalls $F_{B}=\operatorname{Bev}$ (or $B Q v$ ) <br> recalls $F_{\mathrm{E}}=E e=V_{\mathrm{H}} e / d$ <br> equates $F_{\mathrm{B}}$ to $F_{\mathrm{E}}$ $B e v=\frac{V_{H}}{d} e$ <br> substitutes for $v$ and A correctly and cancels $\frac{B e I}{n t d e}=\frac{V_{H}}{d} e$ | 1 1 |  |
|  | 2 identifies $t=0.56 \mathrm{~mm}$ <br> correct identification of quantities in $B=\left(V_{H} n t e\right) / I$ or equivalent equation must see value of $e$ $\begin{aligned} & B=\frac{\text { Vnte }}{I}=\frac{62 \times 10^{-3} \times 4.3 \times 10^{21} \times 0.56 \times 10^{-3} \times 1.6 \times 10^{-19}}{140 \times 10^{-3}} \\ & =0.17(\mathrm{~T}) \end{aligned}$ | 1 1 | 11 |
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| 10 | (a) | light is diffracted as it enters the microscope <br> accept other instrumental limits to resolution as an alternative <br> to a diffraction limit <br> we cannot be sure which direction the light has come from so we <br> cannot be sure of the location of the object that scattered the light <br> (owtte) | 1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (b) | gamma-rays have a shorter wavelength <br> there would be 'less diffraction' and so a sharper image (owwte) <br> elaboration on 'less diffraction' referring to angles of scattering or <br> angle to minimum of diffraction pattern or else correct use of the <br> Rayleigh criterion formula | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| (c) (i)photons have momentum <br> it is a collision so momentum/energy are transferred or momentum <br> is conserved <br> give credit for making analogy between photon/electron <br> collision and particle collisions | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| (ii)The shorter the wavelength the greater the momentum transfer <br> correct use of de Broglie relation (inverse proportion) or a clarity that <br> it is the uncertainty in the amount of momentum transferred that has <br> increased | 1 | 1 |  |  |
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\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline (iii) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
any three from \\
demonstrates an understanding of the meaning of \(\Delta x\) and \(\Delta p\) HUP implies reducing uncertainty in position increases uncertainty in momentum \\
relates minimising \(\Delta x\) to use of shorter wavelengths (or gammarays) \\
or vice versa \\
relates minimising \(\Delta p\) to use of longer wavelengths (or light) \\
or vice versa \\
uncertainty in momentum is along \(x\)-axis \\
plus \\
realises that there is a reciprocal relationship between position and momentum (measurements) in the Heisenberg thought experiment (e.g. using gamma-rays reduces the uncertainty in position but increases the uncertainty in momentum)
\end{tabular} \& 3

1 \& 8 \& <br>
\hline (d) \& calculates the uncertainty in momentum from $\Delta \mathrm{p}=\frac{h}{2 \pi \Delta x}$ obtains $\Delta p=8.8 \times 10^{-35} \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ (approx.) shows that this is tiny compared with original linear momentum $\left(m v=160 \mathrm{~kg} \mathrm{~m} \mathrm{~s}^{-1}\right)$ \& 1
1
1 \& 3 \& <br>

\hline (e) \& | demonstrates an understanding of the distinction between deterministic and indeterministic |
| :--- |
| need to know initial conditions and rules to predict the future quantum theory/HUP, etc. tells us it is impossible to know/ measure the present states precisely hence future states can only be predicted statistically |
| give credit for valid arguments referring to determinism versus indeterminism |
| accept answers that give clear and relevant examples showing indeterministic quantum processes and contrasting them with deterministic processes - e.g. the Copenhagen interpretation of the double slit experiment | \& 1

1
1
1 \& 4 \& 20 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

| 11 | (a) | (i) | it would not obey the law of conservation of energy (owtte) | 1 |
| ---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | (ii) | it would not obey the second law of thermodynamics <br> elaboration required for second mark: <br> e.g. entropy would decrease or it would involve an increase in order <br> (decrease in disorder) | 1 | 1 |
| (b) | (i) | the energy output of the generator is greater than the amount of <br> energy needed to turn the motor and generator or its efficiency is <br> greater than 100\% | 1 |  |
|  | (ii) | first kind - it creates more energy than it uses | 1 |  |
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| (iii) | any explanation of why it cannot work from <br> the energy output of the generator cannot exceed the energy input <br> there is no external source of energy so there will be no excess | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | any two explanations of why it stops from <br> the only energy in the system is put there at the beginning when it is <br> initially spun <br> enegy losses from system (friction in bearings/ohmic heating) <br> therefore system's energy reduces and it eventually stops | 2 |  |
| (c)(i) Lenz's law tells us the direction of the induced emf (induced <br> accept valid alternative approaches - e.g. using an energy flow <br> (Sankey) diagram to show that the system must be inefficient 1 <br> direction of induced emf (current) is such as to oppose the change   <br> that caused the induction (owwte)   | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| (ii)falling magnet creates a changing flux-linkage in copper walls and <br> hence an induced emf (currents) <br> induced (emf leads to induced) currents in create a magnetic force <br> on the falling magnet <br> upwards (opposing change) <br> idea of balanced forces and terminal velocity | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| (iii) | force would increase velocity (cause acceleration) <br> higher velocity would increase force <br> energy would be created from nothing | 1 | 1 |
| (d) | any two from <br> this would be equivalent to building a perpetual motion machine of <br> the second kind <br> it would violate the second law of thermodynamics <br> the efficiency is limited because entropy has to increase overall <br> heat engines need a large temperature difference to achieve a high <br> efficiency <br> thermal energy has very high entropy or electrical energy has low <br> entropy <br> it would result in a decrease of entropy | 2 | 2 |



| Page 11 | Mark Scheme | Syllabus | Paper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pre-U - October/November 2013 | 9792 | 03 |



