



Cambridge O Level

CANDIDATE
NAME

--

CENTRE
NUMBER

--	--	--	--	--

CANDIDATE
NUMBER

--	--	--	--



GLOBAL PERSPECTIVES

2069/12

Paper 1 Written Examination

May/June 2022

1 hour 15 minutes

You must answer on the question paper.

You will need: Insert (enclosed)

INSTRUCTIONS

- Answer **all** questions.
- Use a black or dark blue pen.
- Write your name, centre number and candidate number in the boxes at the top of the page.
- Write your answer to each question in the space provided.
- Do **not** use an erasable pen or correction fluid.
- Do **not** write on any bar codes.

INFORMATION

- The total mark for this paper is 70.
- The number of marks for **each** question or part question is shown in brackets [].
- The insert contains all the **sources** referred to in the questions.

This document has **12** pages. Any blank pages are indicated.

Read the information in the insert and answer **all** questions.

1 Study Sources 1 and 2.

(a) From Source 1, identify the estimated number of motor vehicles in the world in 2020.


1600(million).....
 [1]

(b) From Source 2, identify **two** consequences of large numbers of motor vehicles in cities.

Noise.....
 Congestion.....
 [2]

(c) Which consequence of large numbers of motor vehicles in cities do you think is the most significant? Explain why.


I think air pollution is the most significant consequence.....
 of large numbers of motor vehicles as it has the greatest.....
 impact and affects most people. Not only that it reflects.....
 UN's opinion.....
 [3]



- (d) Explain why large numbers of motor vehicles in cities is an important global issue.

The number of people living in cities across the world is increasing which is why the number of cars used increases leading to increased air pollution and leads to the spread of several respiratory diseases all over the world. Vehicle emissions are the causes of global climate change, which is not confined to one country.

[6]




2 Study Source 3.

(a) 'We can improve transport in our cities.'

What are the strengths and weaknesses of the argument supporting this claim?

To mark out the strengths of this argument, firstly, it is well-structured, clear and logical. Some evidence have been incorporated mentioning the interest groups university research and personal opinion. It is balanced since some counter arguments have been acknowledged and many examples have been used. However, the statistical data provided is limited and there are signs of emotions and exaggeration which means it could be biased.

[6]



- (b) 'Many people would like to travel by electric bus and tram.'

How could you test this claim?

You should consider the types of information, sources of evidence and methods you might use.

Considering types of information, it could be useful to compare data and statistics or use material and evidence from international organizations or local NGOs or pressure groups which deal with similar causes individual testimony of people regarding this claim could also be a useful type of information. The best sources of evidence to test this claim include local and national governments as they may have evidence. There are also several case studies and research reports created by international organisations that are available on worldwide web. Experts and professionals in the fields of sociology and psychology can also be excellent sources of evidence. There are various methods to test this claim, however the most effective would be to send out questionnaires and surveys to see whether people believe this is true. Review of secondary sources such as case studies is equally important and could be done through internet research. [8]

3 Study Source 4.

- (a) Identify **one** value judgement from Mio's statement. Explain why it is a value judgement.

"It is right to care for your health" is value judgement because it is about what is right and wrong or what is regarded as important, or similar.

[2]

- (b) Ren argues that government action is the best way to solve transport problems in cities.

- (i) Identify **one** opinion from Ren's statement.


"Mio, you are too helpful"

[1]

- (ii) Explain how well this opinion supports Ren's argument.

It supports well as the opinion is based on evidence from personal experience that justifies the claim.

[2]



(c) Which argument is more convincing, Mio's or Ren's?

Your answer should consider both arguments and you should support your point of view with their words.

You should also consider:

- the strength of their reasoning and evidence
- their use of language
- the different kinds of information used.

I think mio's statement is more convincing as it is more logical, objective, well-structured and clear. She logically uses different types of information to support the claims she makes. She incorporated more factual evidence and there is lesser reliance on opinions. Therefore, the range and depth of the information she uses is much more. she included an expert testimony of a doctor. Although her argument is one-sided and unbalanced. The reasoning Mio uses is much more objective. She uses a precise tone to present her argument. On the other hand, Ren seems to be completely biased towards her opinion. She did not give evidence or statistics from international organisations such as the UN. Her argument was biased but well-stated and clear. People are more likely to agree and accept Mio's statement as it is well-supported.

[15]

4 A government wants to improve transport in cities.

The following actions are being considered:

- Research successful transport improvement projects used in other countries.
- Provide money to cities to promote cycling and walking.
- Charge more money to drive and park in cities.

Which **one** of these actions would you recommend to the government, and why?

In your answer, you should:

- state your recommendation
- give reasons and evidence to support your choice
- use the material in the sources and/or any of your own ideas
- consider different arguments and perspectives.

I would recommend the government to research successful transport improvement projects used in other countries as I feel this is the most relevant and feasible action to improve transport in cities. According to sources there were 1600 (million) cars in the world and in 2030 there would be an estimate of 2350 (million) cars. This means if transport is not improved, it could cause extreme congestion and traffic problems. Researching successful transport could help find better ways to solve the problem.

According to source 3, "many people would like to travel by electric buses and tram, which could be done if proper research is done on how to manage the public transport successfully. On the other hand, providing money to cities to promote cycling and walking should help improve transport but it involves maximum need for an access to various resources and may prove to be very costly. Moreover, many developing countries could not afford to allocate large amounts of funds for transport and may not have access to several resources.

Charging more money to drive and park in cities would not be a favourable decision since many disabled people who could not walk would have to give extra money to park in cities.

